Primitive Recursive Function and Ramsey Theory

Exposition by William Gasarch-U of MD

Def $R_a(k)$ is the least n such that, for all COL: $\binom{[n]}{a} \to [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

Def $R_a(k)$ is the least n such that, for all COL: $\binom{[n]}{a} \to [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

Recall that we showed $R_2(k) < 2^{2k-1}$.

$$R_3(k) \leq 2$$
 . $R_3(k) \leq \text{TOW}(2k)$.

Def $R_a(k)$ is the least n such that, for all COL: $\binom{[n]}{a} \to [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

Recall that we showed

$$R_2(k) \leq 2^{2k-1}.$$

$$R_3(k) \leq \text{TOW}(2k)$$
.

What would the bound be on $R_4(k)$? We do not have a good way to write it down.

Def $R_a(k)$ is the least n such that, for all COL: $\binom{[n]}{a} \to [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

Recall that we showed

$$R_2(k) \leq 2^{2k-1}.$$

$$R_3(k) \leq \text{TOW}(2k)$$
.

What would the bound be on $R_4(k)$? We do not have a good way to write it down.

Consider the function (a, k) maps to $R_a(k)$. What are the bounds on that?

Def $R_a(k)$ is the least n such that, for all COL: $\binom{[n]}{a} \to [2]$ there exists a homog set of size k.

Recall that we showed

$$R_2(k) \le 2^{2k-1}$$
.

$$R_3(k) \leq \text{TOW}(2k)$$
.

What would the bound be on $R_4(k)$? We do not have a good way to write it down.

Consider the function (a, k) maps to $R_a(k)$. What are the bounds on that?

We need a way to express very fast growing functions.



Def $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is **PR** if either:

Def $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$ is **PR** if either:

1. $f(x_1,...,x_n)=0$;

Def $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is **PR** if either:

- 1. $f(x_1,...,x_n)=0$;
- 2. $f(x_1,...,x_n) = x_i$;

Def $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is **PR** if either:

- 1. $f(x_1,...,x_n)=0$;
- 2. $f(x_1,...,x_n) = x_i$;
- 3. $f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=x_i+1$;

Def $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$ is **PR** if either:

- 1. $f(x_1,...,x_n)=0$;
- 2. $f(x_1,...,x_n)=x_i;$
- 3. $f(x_1,...,x_n)=x_i+1$;
- 4. $g_1(x_1,...,x_k),...,g_n(x_1,...,x_k),h(x_1,...,x_n)$ PR \Longrightarrow

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_k)=h(g_1(x_1,\ldots,x_k),\ldots,g_n(x_1,\ldots,x_k))$$
 is PR

Def $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$ is **PR** if either:

- 1. $f(x_1,...,x_n)=0$;
- 2. $f(x_1,...,x_n)=x_i$;
- 3. $f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=x_i+1;$
- 4. $g_1(x_1,\ldots,x_k),\ldots,g_n(x_1,\ldots,x_k),h(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ PR \Longrightarrow

$$f(x_1,...,x_k) = h(g_1(x_1,...,x_k),...,g_n(x_1,...,x_k))$$
 is PR

5. $h(x_1,\ldots,x_{n+1})$ and $g(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})$ PR \Longrightarrow

$$f(x_1,...,x_{n-1},0) = g(x_1,...,x_{n-1})$$

$$f(x_1,...,x_{n-1},m+1) = h(x_1,...,x_{n-1},m,f(x_1,...,x_{n-1},m))$$

is PR.

$$f_0(x, y) = y + 1$$
. Successor.

$$f_0(x, y) = y + 1$$
. Successor. $f_1(x, y) = x + y$

$$f_0(x,y) = y+1$$
. Successor.
 $f_1(x,y) = x+y$
 $f_1(x,0) = x$
 $f_1(x,y+1) = f_1(x,y)+1$.
Used Rec Rule Once. Addition.

$$f_0(x,y) = y+1$$
. Successor.
 $f_1(x,y) = x+y$
 $f_1(x,0) = x$
 $f_1(x,y+1) = f_1(x,y)+1$.
Used Rec Rule Once. Addition.
 $f_2(x,y) = xy$:

$$f_0(x,y)=y+1$$
. Successor. $f_1(x,y)=x+y$ $f_1(x,0)=x$ $f_1(x,y+1)=f_1(x,y)+1$. Used Rec Rule Once. Addition. $f_2(x,y)=xy$: $f_2(x,1)=x$ (Didn't start at 0. A detail.) $f_2(x,y+1)=f_2(x,y)+x$. Used Rec Rule Twice. Once to get $x+y$ PR, and once here. Multiplication

$$f_0(x,y)=y+1$$
. Successor. $f_1(x,y)=x+y$ $f_1(x,0)=x$ $f_1(x,y+1)=f_1(x,y)+1$. Used Rec Rule Once. Addition. $f_2(x,y)=xy$: $f_2(x,1)=x$ (Didn't start at 0. A detail.) $f_2(x,y+1)=f_2(x,y)+x$. Used Rec Rule Twice. Once to get $x+y$ PR, and once here. Multiplication

The PR functions can be put in a hierarchy depending on how many times the recursion rule is used to build up to the function.

$$f_3(x,y)=x^y$$
:

```
f_3(x,y) = x^y:

f_3(x,0) = 1

f_3(x,y+1) = f_3(x,y)x.

Used Rec Rule three times. Exp.
```

```
f_3(x,y) = x^y:

f_3(x,0) = 1

f_3(x,y+1) = f_3(x,y)x.

Used Rec Rule three times. Exp.

f_4(x,y) = TOW(x,y).
```

$$f_3(x,y) = x^y$$
:
 $f_3(x,0) = 1$
 $f_3(x,y+1) = f_3(x,y)x$.
Used Rec Rule three times. Exp.
 $f_4(x,y) = TOW(x,y)$.
 $f_4(x,0) = 1$
 $f_4(x,y+1) = f_4(x,y)^x$.
Used Rec Rule four times. TOWER.

$$\begin{split} f_3(x,y) &= x^y \colon \\ f_3(x,0) &= 1 \\ f_3(x,y+1) &= f_3(x,y)x. \\ \text{Used Rec Rule three times. Exp.} \\ f_4(x,y) &= \mathrm{TOW}(x,y). \\ f_4(x,0) &= 1 \\ f_4(x,y+1) &= f_4(x,y)^x. \\ \text{Used Rec Rule four times. TOWER.} \\ f_5(x,y) &= \text{WHAT SHOULD WE CALL THIS?} \end{split}$$

$$f_3(x,y)=x^y$$
:
 $f_3(x,0)=1$
 $f_3(x,y+1)=f_3(x,y)x$.
Used Rec Rule three times. Exp.
 $f_4(x,y)=\mathrm{TOW}(x,y)$.
 $f_4(x,0)=1$
 $f_4(x,y+1)=f_4(x,y)^x$.
Used Rec Rule four times. TOWER.
 $f_5(x,y)=\mathrm{WHAT}$ SHOULD WE CALL THIS?
 $f_5(x,0)=1$
 $f_5(x,y+1)=\mathrm{TOW}(f_5(x,y),x)$.
Used Rec Rule five times.
What should we call this? Discuss

$$f_3(x,y)=x^y$$
:
 $f_3(x,0)=1$
 $f_3(x,y+1)=f_3(x,y)x$.
Used Rec Rule three times. Exp.
 $f_4(x,y)=\mathrm{TOW}(x,y)$.
 $f_4(x,0)=1$
 $f_4(x,y+1)=f_4(x,y)^x$.
Used Rec Rule four times. TOWER.
 $f_5(x,y)=\mathrm{WHAT}$ SHOULD WE CALL THIS?
 $f_5(x,y)=1$
 $f_5(x,y+1)=\mathrm{TOW}(f_5(x,y),x)$.
Used Rec Rule five times.
What should we call this? Discuss
Its been called WOWER.

 $f_a(x, y)$ is defined as

 $f_a(x, y)$ is defined as $f_a(x, 0) = 1$ $f_a(x, y + 1) = f_{a-1}(f_a(x, y), x, y)$

```
f_a(x, y) is defined as f_a(x, 0) = 1 f_a(x, y + 1) = f_{a-1}(f_a(x, y), x, y) f_0 is Successor
```

```
f_a(x, y) is defined as f_a(x, 0) = 1 f_a(x, y + 1) = f_{a-1}(f_a(x, y), x, y) f_0 is Successor f_1 is Addition
```

```
f_a(x, y) is defined as f_a(x, 0) = 1 f_a(x, y + 1) = f_{a-1}(f_a(x, y), x, y) f_0 is Successor f_1 is Addition f_2 is Multiplication
```

```
f_a(x,y) is defined as f_a(x,0)=1 f_a(x,y+1)=f_{a-1}(f_a(x,y),x,y) f_0 is Successor f_1 is Addition f_2 is Multiplication f_3 is Exp
```

```
f_a(x,y) is defined as f_a(x,0)=1 f_a(x,y+1)=f_{a-1}(f_a(x,y),x,y) f_0 is Successor f_1 is Addition f_2 is Multiplication f_3 is Exp f_4 is Tower (This name has become standard.)
```

```
f_a(x,y) is defined as f_a(x,0)=1 f_a(x,y+1)=f_{a-1}(f_a(x,y),x,y) f_0 is Successor f_1 is Addition f_2 is Multiplication f_3 is Exp f_4 is Tower (This name has become standard.) f_5 is Wower (This name is not standard.)
```

```
f_a(x, y) is defined as
f_a(x,0) = 1
f_a(x, y + 1) = f_{a-1}(f_a(x, y), x, y)
f_0 is Successor
f_1 is Addition
f<sub>2</sub> is Multiplication
f_3 is Exp
f_4 is Tower (This name has become standard.)
f_5 is Wower (This name is not standard.)
f_6 and beyond have no name.
```

Levels

Def PR_a is the set of PR functions that can be defined with $\leq a$ uses of the Recursion rule.

Levels

Def PR_a is the set of PR functions that can be defined with $\leq a$ uses of the Recursion rule.

Note One can show that any finite number of exponentials is in $\mathrm{PR}_3.$

$$R_2(k) \le 2^{2k} = f_3(O(k))$$
. Level 3.

$$R_2(k) \le 2^{2k} = f_3(O(k))$$
. Level 3. $R_3(k) \le \text{TOW}(2k) = f_4(O(k))$. Level 4.

$$R_2(k) \le 2^{2k} = f_3(O(k))$$
. Level 3. $R_3(k) \le \text{TOW}(2k) = f_4(O(k))$. Level 4. $R_a(k) \le f_{a+1}(O(k))$. Level $a+1$.

$$R_2(k) \le 2^{2k} = f_3(O(k))$$
. Level 3.

$$R_3(k) \leq \text{TOW}(2k) = f_4(O(k))$$
. Level 4.

$$R_a(k) \le f_{a+1}(O(k))$$
. Level $a + 1$.

I can now state my questions and add some more.

$$R_2(k) \le 2^{2k} = f_3(O(k))$$
. Level 3.

$$R_3(k) \leq \text{TOW}(2k) = f_4(O(k))$$
. Level 4.

$$R_a(k) \le f_{a+1}(O(k))$$
. Level $a + 1$.

I can now state my questions and add some more.

▶ Is $R_3(k)$ in PR₃?

$$R_2(k) \le 2^{2k} = f_3(O(k))$$
. Level 3.

$$R_3(k) \leq \text{TOW}(2k) = f_4(O(k))$$
. Level 4.

$$R_a(k) \le f_{a+1}(O(k))$$
. Level $a + 1$.

I can now state my questions and add some more.

- ▶ Is $R_3(k)$ in PR₃?
- ▶ Is the function $f(a, k) = R_a(k)$ PR?

1.
$$f(x, y) = x - y$$
 if $x \ge y$, 0 otherwise.

- 1. f(x,y) = x y if $x \ge y$, 0 otherwise.
- 2. f(x, y) =the quotient when you divide x by y.

- 1. f(x,y) = x y if $x \ge y$, 0 otherwise.
- 2. f(x, y) =the quotient when you divide x by y.
- 3. f(x, y) =the remainder when you divide x by y.

- 1. f(x,y) = x y if $x \ge y$, 0 otherwise.
- 2. f(x, y) =the quotient when you divide x by y.
- 3. f(x, y) =the remainder when you divide x by y.
- 4. $f(x,y) = x \pmod{y}$.

- 1. f(x,y) = x y if $x \ge y$, 0 otherwise.
- 2. f(x,y) =the quotient when you divide x by y.
- 3. f(x, y) =the remainder when you divide x by y.
- 4. $f(x, y) = x \pmod{y}$.
- $5. \ f(x,y) = GCD(x,y).$

- 1. f(x,y) = x y if $x \ge y$, 0 otherwise.
- 2. f(x,y) =the quotient when you divide x by y.
- 3. f(x, y) =the remainder when you divide x by y.
- 4. $f(x, y) = x \pmod{y}$.
- 5. f(x,y) = GCD(x,y).
- 6. f(x) = 1 if x is prime, 0 if not.

- 1. f(x,y) = x y if $x \ge y$, 0 otherwise.
- 2. f(x, y) =the quotient when you divide x by y.
- 3. f(x, y) =the remainder when you divide x by y.
- 4. $f(x, y) = x \pmod{y}$.
- 5. f(x,y) = GCD(x,y).
- 6. f(x) = 1 if x is prime, 0 if not.
- 7. f(x) = 1 if x is the sum of 2 primes, 0 otherwise.

Most Functions are PR

Virtually any computable function from N^k to N that you encounter in mathematics is primitive recursive.

Most Functions are PR

Virtually any computable function from N^k to N that you encounter in mathematics is primitive recursive.

Are there any computable functions that are not primitive recursive?

Discuss.

Most Functions are PR

Virtually any computable function from N^k to N that you encounter in mathematics is primitive recursive.

Are there any computable functions that are not primitive recursive?

Discuss.

Yes. We will see a contrived one on the next slide.

A Contrived Not PR Function

The PR functions are formed by building up rules. One can encode the derivation of a PR function as a number. One can then assign to every number a PR function easily.

A Contrived Not PR Function

The PR functions are formed by building up rules. One can encode the derivation of a PR function as a number. One can then assign to every number a PR function easily.

Let f_1, f_2, \ldots be all of the PR functions.

A Contrived Not PR Function

The PR functions are formed by building up rules. One can encode the derivation of a PR function as a number. One can then assign to every number a PR function easily.

Let f_1, f_2, \ldots be all of the PR functions.

$$F(x) = f_x(x) + 1$$

is computable but not a PR function.

Def Ackerman's function is the function defined by

$$A(0,y) = y+1$$

 $A(x+1,0) = A(x,1)$
 $A(x+1,y+1) = A(x,A(x+1,y))$

Def Ackerman's function is the function defined by

$$A(0,y) = y+1$$

 $A(x+1,0) = A(x,1)$
 $A(x+1,y+1) = A(x,A(x+1,y))$

1. A is obviously computable.

Def Ackerman's function is the function defined by

$$A(0,y) = y+1$$

 $A(x+1,0) = A(x,1)$
 $A(x+1,y+1) = A(x,A(x+1,y))$

- 1. A is obviously computable.
- 2. A grows faster than any PR function.

Def Ackerman's function is the function defined by

$$A(0,y) = y+1$$

 $A(x+1,0) = A(x,1)$
 $A(x+1,y+1) = A(x,A(x+1,y))$

- 1. A is obviously computable.
- 2. A grows faster than any PR function.
- 3. Since A is defined using a recursion which involves applying the function to itself there is no obvious way to take the definition and make it PR. Not a proof, an intuition.

Ackerman's Function is Natural: Security

https://www.ackermansecurity.com/

Ackerman's Function is Natural: Security

https://www.ackermansecurity.com/

They are called Ackerman Security since they claim that Burglar would have to take time Ackerman(n) to break in.

DS is Data Structure.

DS is Data Structure.

A **Union-Find DS** for sets supports the following operations.

(1) If a is a number then make $\{a\}$ a set.

DS is Data Structure.

- (1) If a is a number then make $\{a\}$ a set.
- (2) If A, B are sets then make $A \cup B$ a set.

DS is Data Structure.

- (1) If a is a number then make $\{a\}$ a set.
- (2) If A, B are sets then make $A \cup B$ a set.
- (3) Given x find which, if any, set it is in.

DS is Data Structure.

- (1) If a is a number then make $\{a\}$ a set.
- (2) If A, B are sets then make $A \cup B$ a set.
- (3) Given x find which, if any, set it is in.
 - ► There is a DS for this problem that can do n operations in $nA^{-1}(n, n)$ steps.

DS is Data Structure.

- (1) If a is a number then make $\{a\}$ a set.
- (2) If A, B are sets then make $A \cup B$ a set.
- (3) Given x find which, if any, set it is in.
 - ► There is a DS for this problem that can do n operations in $nA^{-1}(n, n)$ steps.
 - ▶ One can show that there is no better DS.

DS is Data Structure.

A Union-Find DS for sets supports the following operations.

- (1) If a is a number then make $\{a\}$ a set.
- (2) If A, B are sets then make $A \cup B$ a set.
- (3) Given x find which, if any, set it is in.
 - ► There is a DS for this problem that can do n operations in $nA^{-1}(n, n)$ steps.
 - ▶ One can show that there is no better DS.

So $nA^{-1}(n, n)$ is the exact upper and lower bound!

More Natural Examples of Non-Prim Rec Fns

More natural examples of non-prim rec functions:

More Natural Examples of Non-Prim Rec Fns

More natural examples of non-prim rec functions:

1. Goodstein Sequences (next slide packet).

More Natural Examples of Non-Prim Rec Fns

More natural examples of non-prim rec functions:

- 1. Goodstein Sequences (next slide packet).
- 2. Finite Version of Kruskal's Tree Theorem (next next slide packet).