

$$PH(1) \leq 7$$

**Proof by Morgan Bryant, Issac Mammal,
Adam Melrod. Exposition by William
Gasarch**

April 3, 2022

Review of $\text{PH}(k)$

Def $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is **large** if $|A| > \min(A)$.

Review of $\text{PH}(k)$

Def $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is **large** if $|A| > \min(A)$.

Def $\text{PH}(k)$ is the least $n \geq k$ such that for all 2-colorings of $\binom{\{k, \dots, n\}}{2}$ there exists a set H such that the following hold.

Review of $\text{PH}(k)$

Def $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is **large** if $|A| > \min(A)$.

Def $\text{PH}(k)$ is the least $n \geq k$ such that for all 2-colorings of $\binom{\{k, \dots, n\}}{2}$ there exists a set H such that the following hold.

- ▶ H is homog.

Review of $\text{PH}(k)$

Def $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is **large** if $|A| > \min(A)$.

Def $\text{PH}(k)$ is the least $n \geq$ such that for all 2-colorings of $\binom{\{k, \dots, n\}}{2}$ there exists a set H such that the following hold.

- ▶ H is homog.
- ▶ $|H| > \min(H)$.

Review of $\text{PH}(k)$

Def $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is **large** if $|A| > \min(A)$.

Def $\text{PH}(k)$ is the least $n \geq$ such that for all 2-colorings of $\binom{\{k, \dots, n\}}{2}$ there exists a set H such that the following hold.

- ▶ H is homog.
- ▶ $|H| > \min(H)$.
- ▶ $|H| \geq 3$.

Review of $\text{PH}(k)$

Def $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is **large** if $|A| > \min(A)$.

Def $\text{PH}(k)$ is the least $n \geq$ such that for all 2-colorings of $\binom{\{k, \dots, n\}}{2}$ there exists a set H such that the following hold.

- ▶ H is homog.
- ▶ $|H| > \min(H)$.
- ▶ $|H| \geq 3$.

(PH stands for Paris-Harrington.)

Review of $\text{PH}(k)$

Def $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is **large** if $|A| > \min(A)$.

Def $\text{PH}(k)$ is the least $n \geq$ such that for all 2-colorings of $\binom{\{k, \dots, n\}}{2}$ there exists a set H such that the following hold.

- ▶ H is homog.
- ▶ $|H| > \min(H)$.
- ▶ $|H| \geq 3$.

(PH stands for Paris-Harrington.)

Notation We call a set like H a **Large Homog Set** and abbreviate this by **LHS**.

PH(1) \leq 7

Let COL: $(\{1, \dots, 7\}) \rightarrow [2]$.
We show there is a LHS.

PH(1) \leq 7

Let COL: $(\{1, \dots, 7\}) \rightarrow [2]$.

We show there is a LHS.

Note The graph has 7 vertices so every point has degree 6.

PH(1) \leq 7

Let $\text{COL}: \binom{\{1, \dots, 7\}}{2} \rightarrow [2]$.

We show there is a LHS.

Note The graph has 7 vertices so every point has degree 6.

Assume We can assume $\text{COL}(1, 2) = R$.

Case 1: $\deg_{\mathbf{R}}(1) \geq 3$

The 3 smallest R -Nbhs of 1 are $2 = x_1 < x_2 < x_3$.

Case 1: $\deg_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{1}) \geq 3$

The 3 smallest \mathbf{R} -Nbhs of 1 are $2 = x_1 < x_2 < x_3$.

► $(\exists 1 \leq i < j \leq 3)[\text{COL}(x_i, x_j) = \mathbf{R}]$. LHS: $\{1, x_i, x_j\}$.

Case 1: $\deg_{\mathbf{R}}(1) \geq 3$

The 3 smallest R -Nbhs of 1 are $2 = x_1 < x_2 < x_3$.

- ▶ $(\exists 1 \leq i < j \leq 3)[\text{COL}(x_i, x_j) = R]$. LHS: $\{1, x_i, x_j\}$.
- ▶ $(\forall 1 \leq i < j \leq 3)[\text{COL}(x_i, x_j) = B]$. LHS: $\{2, x_2, x_3\}$

Case 2: $\deg_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{1}) \geq 4$

The 4 smallest R -Nbhs are $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4$.

Case 2: $\deg_B(1) \geq 4$

The 4 smallest R -Nbhs are $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4$.

▶ $(\exists 1 \leq i < j \leq 4)[\text{COL}(x_i, x_j) = B]$. LHS: $\{1, x_i, x_j\}$.

Case 2: $\deg_B(1) \geq 4$

The 4 smallest R -Nbhs are $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4$.

- ▶ $(\exists 1 \leq i < j \leq 4)[\text{COL}(x_i, x_j) = B]$. LHS: $\{1, x_i, x_j\}$.
- ▶ $(\forall 1 \leq i < j \leq 4)[\text{COL}(x_i, x_j) = R \wedge x_1 = 3]$.
LHS: $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$.

Case 2: $\deg_B(1) \geq 4$

The 4 smallest R -Nbhs are $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4$.

- ▶ $(\exists 1 \leq i < j \leq 4)[\text{COL}(x_i, x_j) = B]$. LHS: $\{1, x_i, x_j\}$.
- ▶ $(\forall 1 \leq i < j \leq 4)[\text{COL}(x_i, x_j) = R \wedge x_1 = 3]$.
LHS: $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$.

Last Case on Next Slide.

Case 2 Still: $\deg_{\mathbf{B}}(1) = 4$

The 4 smallest R -neighbors of 1 be $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4$.

Case 2 Still: $\deg_{\mathbf{B}}(1) = 4$

The 4 smallest R -neighbors of 1 be $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4$.

Remaining Case

Case 2 Still: $\deg_B(1) = 4$

The 4 smallest R -neighbors of 1 be $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4$.

Remaining Case

$(\forall 1 \leq i < j \leq 4[\text{COL}(x_i, x_j) = B \wedge x_1 \geq 4]$.

1) $x_1 \geq 5$. Then $x_2 \geq 6$, $x_3 \geq 7$, $x_4 \geq 8$. Contradiction.

Case 2 Still: $\deg_B(1) = 4$

The 4 smallest R -neighbors of 1 be $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4$.

Remaining Case

$(\forall 1 \leq i < j \leq 4[\text{COL}(x_i, x_j) = B \wedge x_1 \geq 4])$.

1) $x_1 \geq 5$. Then $x_2 \geq 6$, $x_3 \geq 7$, $x_4 \geq 8$. Contradiction.

2) $x_1 = 4$, $x_2 = 5$, $x_3 = 6$, $x_4 = 7$. So R -nbhs of 1 are $\{2, 3\}$.

Case 2 Still: $\deg_B(1) = 4$

The 4 smallest R -neighbors of 1 be $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4$.

Remaining Case

$(\forall 1 \leq i < j \leq 4[\text{COL}(x_i, x_j) = B \wedge x_1 \geq 4])$.

1) $x_1 \geq 5$. Then $x_2 \geq 6$, $x_3 \geq 7$, $x_4 \geq 8$. Contradiction.

2) $x_1 = 4$, $x_2 = 5$, $x_3 = 6$, $x_4 = 7$. So R -nbhs of 1 are $\{2, 3\}$.

Cases

Case 2 Still: $\deg_B(1) = 4$

The 4 smallest R -neighbors of 1 be $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4$.

Remaining Case

$(\forall 1 \leq i < j \leq 4[\text{COL}(x_i, x_j) = B \wedge x_1 \geq 4]$.

1) $x_1 \geq 5$. Then $x_2 \geq 6$, $x_3 \geq 7$, $x_4 \geq 8$. Contradiction.

2) $x_1 = 4$, $x_2 = 5$, $x_3 = 6$, $x_4 = 7$. So R -nbhs of 1 are $\{2, 3\}$.

Cases

- ▶ $\text{COL}(2, 3) = R$. LHS: $\{1, 2, 3\}$.

Case 2 Still: $\deg_B(1) = 4$

The 4 smallest R -neighbors of 1 be $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4$.

Remaining Case

$(\forall 1 \leq i < j \leq 4)[\text{COL}(x_i, x_j) = B \wedge x_1 \geq 4]$.

1) $x_1 \geq 5$. Then $x_2 \geq 6$, $x_3 \geq 7$, $x_4 \geq 8$. Contradiction.

2) $x_1 = 4$, $x_2 = 5$, $x_3 = 6$, $x_4 = 7$. So R -nbhs of 1 are $\{2, 3\}$.

Cases

▶ $\text{COL}(2, 3) = R$. LHS: $\{1, 2, 3\}$.

▶ $\text{COL}(2, 3) = B$.

a) $(\exists 4 \leq i \leq 7)[\text{COL}(i, 2) = \text{COL}(i, 3) = B$. LHS: $\{2, 3, i\}$.

Case 2 Still: $\deg_B(1) = 4$

The 4 smallest R -neighbors of 1 be $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4$.

Remaining Case

$(\forall 1 \leq i < j \leq 4)[\text{COL}(x_i, x_j) = B \wedge x_1 \geq 4]$.

1) $x_1 \geq 5$. Then $x_2 \geq 6$, $x_3 \geq 7$, $x_4 \geq 8$. Contradiction.

2) $x_1 = 4$, $x_2 = 5$, $x_3 = 6$, $x_4 = 7$. So R -nbhs of 1 are $\{2, 3\}$.

Cases

▶ $\text{COL}(2, 3) = R$. LHS: $\{1, 2, 3\}$.

▶ $\text{COL}(2, 3) = B$.

a) $(\exists 4 \leq i \leq 7)[\text{COL}(i, 2) = \text{COL}(i, 3) = B]$. LHS: $\{2, 3, i\}$.

b) $(\forall 4 \leq i \leq 7)[\text{COL}(i, 2) = R \vee \text{COL}(i, 3) = R]$.

Map $i \in \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$ to $j \in \{2, 3\}$ st $\text{COL}(i, j) = R$.

Case 2 Still: $\deg_B(1) = 4$

The 4 smallest R -neighbors of 1 be $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4$.

Remaining Case

$(\forall 1 \leq i < j \leq 4)[\text{COL}(x_i, x_j) = B \wedge x_1 \geq 4]$.

1) $x_1 \geq 5$. Then $x_2 \geq 6$, $x_3 \geq 7$, $x_4 \geq 8$. Contradiction.

2) $x_1 = 4$, $x_2 = 5$, $x_3 = 6$, $x_4 = 7$. So R -nbhs of 1 are $\{2, 3\}$.

Cases

▶ $\text{COL}(2, 3) = R$. LHS: $\{1, 2, 3\}$.

▶ $\text{COL}(2, 3) = B$.

a) $(\exists 4 \leq i \leq 7)[\text{COL}(i, 2) = \text{COL}(i, 3) = B]$. LHS: $\{2, 3, i\}$.

b) $(\forall 4 \leq i \leq 7)[\text{COL}(i, 2) = R \vee \text{COL}(i, 3) = R]$.

Map $i \in \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$ to $j \in \{2, 3\}$ st $\text{COL}(i, j) = R$.

$(\exists i, j \in \{4, 5, 6, 7\})$ map to 2 \rightarrow LHS $\{2, i, j\}$.

Case 2 Still: $\deg_B(1) = 4$

The 4 smallest R -neighbors of 1 be $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4$.

Remaining Case

$(\forall 1 \leq i < j \leq 4)[\text{COL}(x_i, x_j) = B \wedge x_1 \geq 4]$.

1) $x_1 \geq 5$. Then $x_2 \geq 6$, $x_3 \geq 7$, $x_4 \geq 8$. Contradiction.

2) $x_1 = 4$, $x_2 = 5$, $x_3 = 6$, $x_4 = 7$. So R -nbhs of 1 are $\{2, 3\}$.

Cases

▶ $\text{COL}(2, 3) = R$. LHS: $\{1, 2, 3\}$.

▶ $\text{COL}(2, 3) = B$.

a) $(\exists 4 \leq i \leq 7)[\text{COL}(i, 2) = \text{COL}(i, 3) = B]$. LHS: $\{2, 3, i\}$.

b) $(\forall 4 \leq i \leq 7)[\text{COL}(i, 2) = R \vee \text{COL}(i, 3) = R]$.

Map $i \in \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$ to $j \in \{2, 3\}$ st $\text{COL}(i, j) = R$.

$(\exists i, j \in \{4, 5, 6, 7\})$ map to 2 \rightarrow LHS $\{2, i, j\}$.

$(\exists i, j, k \in \{4, 5, 6, 7\})$ map to 3 \rightarrow then LHS $\{3, i, j, k\}$.

Case 2 Still: $\deg_B(1) = 4$

The 4 smallest R -neighbors of 1 be $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4$.

Remaining Case

$(\forall 1 \leq i < j \leq 4)[\text{COL}(x_i, x_j) = B \wedge x_1 \geq 4]$.

1) $x_1 \geq 5$. Then $x_2 \geq 6$, $x_3 \geq 7$, $x_4 \geq 8$. Contradiction.

2) $x_1 = 4$, $x_2 = 5$, $x_3 = 6$, $x_4 = 7$. So R -nbhs of 1 are $\{2, 3\}$.

Cases

▶ $\text{COL}(2, 3) = R$. LHS: $\{1, 2, 3\}$.

▶ $\text{COL}(2, 3) = B$.

a) $(\exists 4 \leq i \leq 7)[\text{COL}(i, 2) = \text{COL}(i, 3) = B]$. LHS: $\{2, 3, i\}$.

b) $(\forall 4 \leq i \leq 7)[\text{COL}(i, 2) = R \vee \text{COL}(i, 3) = R]$.

Map $i \in \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$ to $j \in \{2, 3\}$ st $\text{COL}(i, j) = R$.

$(\exists i, j \in \{4, 5, 6, 7\})$ map to 2 \rightarrow LHS $\{2, i, j\}$.

$(\exists i, j, k \in \{4, 5, 6, 7\})$ map to 3 \rightarrow then LHS $\{3, i, j, k\}$.

If neither happens then ≤ 1 element of $\{4, 5, 6, 7\}$ maps to 2 and ≤ 2 elements of $\{4, 5, 6, 7\}$ map to 3. So ≤ 3 elements get mapped, contradiction.

Case 3: NOT Case 1 or 2

If neither Case 1 or Case 2 happens then

Case 3: NOT Case 1 or 2

If neither Case 1 or Case 2 happens then

▶ $\deg_{\mathbf{R}}(1) \leq 2$

Case 3: NOT Case 1 or 2

If neither Case 1 or Case 2 happens then

▶ $\deg_{\mathbf{R}}(1) \leq 2$

▶ $\deg_{\mathbf{B}}(1) \leq 3$

So $\deg(1) \leq 5$ which is a contradiction.