

# History of Upper Bounds On: VDW Numbers

**Exposition by William Gasarch**

December 20, 2024

## Recall VDW's Theorem

**Thm**  $(\forall k, c \in \mathbb{N})(\exists W = W(k, c))(\forall \text{COL}: W(k, c) \rightarrow [c])$   
there exists  $a, d$  such that

$$\text{COL}(a) = \text{COL}(a+d) = \text{COL}(a+2d) = \cdots = \text{COL}(a+(k-1)d)$$

## Recall VDW's Theorem

**Thm**  $(\forall k, c \in \mathbb{N})(\exists W = W(k, c))(\forall \text{COL}: W(k, c) \rightarrow [c])$   
there exists  $a, d$  such that

$$\text{COL}(a) = \text{COL}(a+d) = \text{COL}(a+2d) = \dots = \text{COL}(a+(k-1)d)$$

VDW's proof gave bounds on  $W(k, c)$  that were not prim. rec.

## Recall VDW's Theorem

**Thm**  $(\forall k, c \in \mathbb{N})(\exists W = W(k, c))(\forall \text{COL}: W(k, c) \rightarrow [c])$   
there exists  $a, d$  such that

$$\text{COL}(a) = \text{COL}(a+d) = \text{COL}(a+2d) = \dots = \text{COL}(a+(k-1)d)$$

VDW's proof gave bounds on  $W(k, c)$  that were not prim. rec.

Erdős and Turan had an idea!

# The Erdős-Turan Conjecture

# The Erdős-Turan Conjecture

**Speculation** If  $A \geq W(k, c)$  and  $\text{COL}: A \rightarrow [c]$ , and **R** is the most common color then we suspect there is a **R**  $k$ -AP.

# The Erdős-Turan Conjecture

**Speculation** If  $A \geq W(k, c)$  and  $\text{COL}: A \rightarrow [c]$ , and  $\mathbf{R}$  is the most common color then we suspect there is a  $\mathbf{R}$   $k$ -AP.

**Skip The Coloring** If  $A \subseteq [N]$  and is “dense enough” then we suspect that  $A$  has a  $k$ -AP.

# The Erdős-Turan Conjecture

**Speculation** If  $A \geq W(k, c)$  and  $\text{COL}: A \rightarrow [c]$ , and  $\mathbf{R}$  is the most common color then we suspect there is a  $\mathbf{R}$   $k$ -AP.

**Skip The Coloring** If  $A \subseteq [N]$  and is “**dense enough**” then we suspect that  $A$  has a  $k$ -AP.

**Def** If  $A \subseteq [N]$  then the **density** of  $A$  is  $|A|/N$ .



# The Erdős-Turan Conjecture

**Speculation** If  $A \geq W(k, c)$  and  $\text{COL}: A \rightarrow [c]$ , and  $\mathbf{R}$  is the most common color then we suspect there is a  $\mathbf{R}$   $k$ -AP.

**Skip The Coloring** If  $A \subseteq [N]$  and is “dense enough” then we suspect that  $A$  has a  $k$ -AP.

**Def** If  $A \subseteq [N]$  then the **density** of  $A$  is  $|A|/N$ .

**Erdős-Turan Conjecture** For all  $\delta > 0$ , for all  $k$ , there exists  $N = N(\delta, k)$  such that the following holds:

*If  $A \subseteq [N]$  and  $A$  has density  $\geq \delta$  then  $A$  has a  $k$ -AP.*

# The Erdős-Turan Conjecture

**Speculation** If  $A \geq W(k, c)$  and  $\text{COL}: A \rightarrow [c]$ , and  $\mathbf{R}$  is the most common color then we suspect there is a  $\mathbf{R}$   $k$ -AP.

**Skip The Coloring** If  $A \subseteq [N]$  and is “dense enough” then we suspect that  $A$  has a  $k$ -AP.

**Def** If  $A \subseteq [N]$  then the **density** of  $A$  is  $|A|/N$ .

**Erdős-Turan Conjecture** For all  $\delta > 0$ , for all  $k$ , there exists  $N = N(\delta, k)$  such that the following holds:

*If  $A \subseteq [N]$  and  $A$  has density  $\geq \delta$  then  $A$  has a  $k$ -AP.*

**Easy** ET-conj implies VDW's Theorem.

# The Erdős-Turan Conjecture

**Speculation** If  $A \geq W(k, c)$  and  $\text{COL}: A \rightarrow [c]$ , and  $\mathbf{R}$  is the most common color then we suspect there is a  $\mathbf{R}$   $k$ -AP.

**Skip The Coloring** If  $A \subseteq [N]$  and is “dense enough” then we suspect that  $A$  has a  $k$ -AP.

**Def** If  $A \subseteq [N]$  then the **density** of  $A$  is  $|A|/N$ .

**Erdős-Turan Conjecture** For all  $\delta > 0$ , for all  $k$ , there exists  $N = N(\delta, k)$  such that the following holds:

*If  $A \subseteq [N]$  and  $A$  has density  $\geq \delta$  then  $A$  has a  $k$ -AP.*

**Easy** ET-conj implies VDW's Theorem.

**Hope** The proof of the ET-conj will be a diff proof of VDW's theorem that gives better bounds on the VDW numbers.

# Upper Bounds on VDW Numbers: 1927-1953

# Upper Bounds on VDW Numbers: 1927-1953

1) 1927: VDW's Proof. Bounds are not prim. rec.

# Upper Bounds on VDW Numbers: 1927-1953

- 1) 1927: VDW's Proof. Bounds are not prim. rec.
- 2) 1936: Erdős-Turan make their conjecture.

# Upper Bounds on VDW Numbers: 1927-1953

- 1) 1927: VDW's Proof. Bounds are not prim. rec.
- 2) 1936: Erdős-Turan make their conjecture.
- 3) 1953: Roth proves ET-conj for  $k = 3$ . Used Fourier Analysis.

# Upper Bounds on VDW Numbers: 1927-1953

- 1) 1927: VDW's Proof. Bounds are not prim. rec.
- 2) 1936: Erdős-Turan make their conjecture.
- 3) 1953: Roth proves ET-conj for  $k = 3$ . Used Fourier Analysis.  
We will prove this later in the course.



# Upper Bounds on VDW Numbers: 1974-1988

## Upper Bounds on VDW Numbers: 1974-1988

4) 1974: Szemerédi proves ET-conj for  $k = 4$ . Proof is combinatorial but difficult. Uses VDW theorem so does not give better bounds on  $W(4, c)$ .

## Upper Bounds on VDW Numbers: 1974-1988

4) 1974: Szemerédi proves ET-conj for  $k = 4$ . Proof is combinatorial but difficult. Uses VDW theorem so does not give better bounds on  $W(4, c)$ .

5) 1975: Szemerédi proves ET-conj. Proof is combinatorial but difficult. Uses VDW's theorem so does not give better bounds on  $W(k, c)$ . The ET-Conj is now called Szemerédi's Theorem.

## Upper Bounds on VDW Numbers: 1974-1988

- 4) 1974: Szemerédi proves ET-conj for  $k = 4$ . Proof is combinatorial but difficult. Uses VDW theorem so does not give better bounds on  $W(4, c)$ .
- 5) 1975: Szemerédi proves ET-conj. Proof is combinatorial but difficult. Uses VDW's theorem so does not give better bounds on  $W(k, c)$ . The ET-Conj is now called Szemerédi's Theorem.
- 6) 1977: Furstenberg proves ET-conj using Ergodic methods. Proof does not give bounds on  $W(k, c)$ . Later proof theorists extract out bounds from the proof. They are worse than VDW's bounds.

## Upper Bounds on VDW Numbers: 1974-1988

- 4) 1974: Szemerédi proves ET-conj for  $k = 4$ . Proof is combinatorial but difficult. Uses VDW theorem so does not give better bounds on  $W(4, c)$ .
- 5) 1975: Szemerédi proves ET-conj. Proof is combinatorial but difficult. Uses VDW's theorem so does not give better bounds on  $W(k, c)$ . The ET-Conj is now called Szemerédi's Theorem.
- 6) 1977: Furstenberg proves ET-conj using Ergodic methods. Proof does not give bounds on  $W(k, c)$ . Later proof theorists extract out bounds from the proof. They are worse than VDW's bounds.
- 7) 1988: The Hales-Jewitt Thm implies VDW's Theorem. Shelah gives a new proof of the HJ Thm which gives primitive recursive (though still quite large) bounds on the VDW numbers. The proof is elementary and does not use any of the ET stuff.

# Upper Bounds on VDW Numbers: 1974-1988

# Upper Bounds on VDW Numbers: 1974-1988

8) 2001: Gowers proves Szemerédi's Thm a new way using combinatorics and Fourier Analysis to obtain the following:

# Upper Bounds on VDW Numbers: 1974-1988

8) 2001: Gowers proves Szemerédi's Thm a new way using combinatorics and Fourier Analysis to obtain the following:

$$W(k, c) \leq 2^{2^c 2^{2^{k+9}}} .$$