Exposition by William Gasarch-U of MD

Writing a number as a sum of powers of 2.

$$1000 = 2^9 + 2^8 + 2^7 + 2^6 + 2^5 + 2^3$$

Writing a number as a sum of powers of 2.

$$1000 = 2^9 + 2^8 + 2^7 + 2^6 + 2^5 + 2^3$$

But we can also write the exponents as sums of power of 2

$$1000 = 2^{2^3 + 2^0} + 2^{2^3} + 2^{2^2 + 2^1 + 2^0} + 2^{2^1 + 2^0}$$

Writing a number as a sum of powers of 2.

$$1000 = 2^9 + 2^8 + 2^7 + 2^6 + 2^5 + 2^3$$

But we can also write the exponents as sums of power of 2

$$1000 = 2^{2^3 + 2^0} + 2^{2^3} + 2^{2^2 + 2^1 + 2^0} + 2^{2^1 + 2^0}$$

We can even write the exponents that are not already powers of 2 as sums of powers of 2.

$$1000 = 2^{2^{2^1+2^0}+2^0} + 2^{2^{2^1+2^0}} + 2^{2^2+2^1+2^0} + 2^{2^1+2^0}$$

Writing a number as a sum of powers of 2.

$$1000 = 2^9 + 2^8 + 2^7 + 2^6 + 2^5 + 2^3$$

But we can also write the exponents as sums of power of 2

$$1000 = 2^{2^3 + 2^0} + 2^{2^3} + 2^{2^2 + 2^1 + 2^0} + 2^{2^1 + 2^0}$$

We can even write the exponents that are not already powers of 2 as sums of powers of 2.

$$1000 = 2^{2^{2^{1}+2^{0}}+2^{0}} + 2^{2^{2^{1}+2^{0}}} + 2^{2^{2}+2^{1}+2^{0}} + 2^{2^{1}+2^{0}}$$

This is called **Hereditary Base** *n* **Notation** 

$$1000 = 2^{2^{2^{1}+2^{0}}+2^{0}} + 2^{2^{2^{1}+2^{0}}} + 2^{2^{2}+2^{1}+2^{0}} + 2^{2^{1}+2^{0}}$$

Replace all of the 2's with 3's:

$$3^{3^{3^1+3^0}+3^0} + 3^{3^{3^1+3^0}} + 3^{3^3+3^1+3^0} + 3^{3^1+3^0}$$

$$1000 = 2^{2^{2^{1}+2^{0}}+2^{0}} + 2^{2^{2^{1}+2^{0}}} + 2^{2^{2}+2^{1}+2^{0}} + 2^{2^{1}+2^{0}}$$

Replace all of the 2's with 3's:

$$3^{3^{3^1+3^0}+3^0} + 3^{3^{3^1+3^0}} + 3^{3^3+3^1+3^0} + 3^{3^1+3^0}$$

This number just went WAY up. Now subtract 1.

$$3^{3^{3^1+3^0}+3^0} + 3^{3^{3^1+3^0}} + 3^{3^3+3^1+3^0} + 3^{3^1+3^0} - 1$$

$$1000 = 2^{2^{2^1+2^0}+2^0} + 2^{2^{2^1+2^0}} + 2^{2^2+2^1+2^0} + 2^{2^1+2^0}$$

Replace all of the 2's with 3's:

$$3^{3^{3^1+3^0}+3^0} + 3^{3^{3^1+3^0}} + 3^{3^3+3^1+3^0} + 3^{3^1+3^0}$$

This number just went WAY up. Now subtract 1.

$$3^{3^{3^1}+3^0}+3^0+3^{3^{3^1}+3^0}+3^{3^3+3^1+3^0}+3^{3^1+3^0}-1$$

Repeat the process:

Replace 3 by 4, and subtract 1, Replace 4 by 5, and subtract  $1, \cdots$ .

$$1000 = 2^{2^{2^1+2^0}+2^0} + 2^{2^{2^1+2^0}} + 2^{2^2+2^1+2^0} + 2^{2^1+2^0}$$

Replace all of the 2's with 3's:

$$3^{3^{3^1+3^0}+3^0} + 3^{3^{3^1+3^0}} + 3^{3^3+3^1+3^0} + 3^{3^1+3^0}$$

This number just went WAY up. Now subtract 1.

$$3^{3^{3^1+3^0}+3^0} + 3^{3^{3^1+3^0}} + 3^{3^3+3^1+3^0} + 3^{3^1+3^0} - 1$$

Repeat the process:

Replace 3 by 4, and subtract 1, Replace 4 by 5, and subtract  $1, \dots$ . **Vote** Does the seq:

- Goto infinity (and if so how fast- perhaps Ack-like?)
- ► Eventually stabilizes (e.g., goes to 18 and then stops there)
- Cycles- goes UP then DOWN then UP then DOWN ....



$$1000 = 2^{2^{2^{1}+2^{0}}+2^{0}} + 2^{2^{2^{1}+2^{0}}} + 2^{2^{2}+2^{1}+2^{0}} + 2^{2^{1}+2^{0}}$$

Replace all of the 2's with 3's:

$$3^{3^{3^1+3^0}+3^0} + 3^{3^{3^1+3^0}} + 3^{3^3+3^1+3^0} + 3^{3^1+3^0}$$

This number just went WAY up. Now subtract 1.

$$3^{3^{3^1+3^0}+3^0} + 3^{3^{3^1+3^0}} + 3^{3^3+3^1+3^0} + 3^{3^1+3^0} - 1$$

Repeat the process:

Replace 3 by 4, and subtract 1, Replace 4 by 5, and subtract 1, · · · . **Vote** Does the seq:

- Goto infinity (and if so how fast- perhaps Ack-like?)
- ► Eventually stabilizes (e.g., goes to 18 and then stops there)
- ► Cycles- goes UP then DOWN then UP then DOWN ....

Answer on Next Slide



# The Sequence ...

The seq goes to 0.

## The Sequence ...

The seq goes to 0.

The number of steps for n to goto 0 is roughly ACK(n, n).

## The Sequence ...

The seq goes to 0.

The number of steps for n to goto 0 is roughly ACK(n, n).

Really? Really!

We will not deal with the actual Goodstein Sequence defined above.

We will not deal with the actual Goodstein Sequence defined above. **Boo!** 

We will not deal with the actual Goodstein Sequence defined above. **Boo!** 

We will instead deal with a weaker version that

We will not deal with the actual Goodstein Sequence defined above. **Boo!** 

We will instead deal with a weaker version that

1. Contains most of the ideas.

We will not deal with the actual Goodstein Sequence defined above. **Boo!** 

We will instead deal with a weaker version that

1. Contains most of the ideas. Yeah!

We will not deal with the actual Goodstein Sequence defined above. **Boo!** 

We will instead deal with a weaker version that

- 1. Contains most of the ideas. Yeah!
- 2. Will go to 0 before the heat death of the Universe.

We will not deal with the actual Goodstein Sequence defined above. **Boo!** 

We will instead deal with a weaker version that

- 1. Contains most of the ideas. Yeah!
- 2. Will go to 0 before the heat death of the Universe. Yeah!

Take a number in base 10.

Take a number in base 10.

$$(986)_{10} = 9 \times 10^2 + 8 \times 10^1 + 6 \times 10^0.$$

Take a number in base 10.

$$(986)_{10} = 9 \times 10^2 + 8 \times 10^1 + 6 \times 10^0.$$

Increase the base and subtract 1. Assume BWOC that the seq goes on forever.

$$9^2 + 8 \times 11^1 + 6 \times 11^0 - 1 = 9 \times 11^2 + 8 \times 11^1 + 5 \times 11^0 = (985)_{11}.$$

Take a number in base 10.

$$(986)_{10} = 9 \times 10^2 + 8 \times 10^1 + 6 \times 10^0.$$

Increase the base and subtract 1. Assume BWOC that the seq goes on forever.

$$9^2 + 8 \times 11^1 + 6 \times 11^0 - 1 = 9 \times 11^2 + 8 \times 11^1 + 5 \times 11^0 = (985)_{11}.$$

Repeat this to get:  $(984)_{12}$ ,  $(983)_{13}$ ,  $(982)_{14}$ ,  $(981)_{15}$ ,  $(980)_{16}$ .



Take a number in base 10.

$$(986)_{10} = 9 \times 10^2 + 8 \times 10^1 + 6 \times 10^0.$$

Increase the base and subtract 1. Assume BWOC that the seq goes on forever.

$$9^2 + 8 \times 11^1 + 6 \times 11^0 - 1 = 9 \times 11^2 + 8 \times 11^1 + 5 \times 11^0 = (985)_{11}.$$

Repeat this to get:  $(984)_{12}$ ,  $(983)_{13}$ ,  $(982)_{14}$ ,  $(981)_{15}$ ,  $(980)_{16}$ .

$$(980)_{16} = 9 \times 16^2 + 8 \times 16^1$$

Take a number in base 10.

$$(986)_{10} = 9 \times 10^2 + 8 \times 10^1 + 6 \times 10^0.$$

Increase the base and subtract 1. Assume BWOC that the seq goes on forever.

$$9^2 + 8 \times 11^1 + 6 \times 11^0 - 1 = 9 \times 11^2 + 8 \times 11^1 + 5 \times 11^0 = (985)_{11}.$$

Repeat this to get:  $(984)_{12}$ ,  $(983)_{13}$ ,  $(982)_{14}$ ,  $(981)_{15}$ ,  $(980)_{16}$ .

$$(980)_{16} = 9 \times 16^2 + 8 \times 16^1$$

Note that the right most digit is 0. That will happen  $\infty$  often.



$$(980)_{16} = 9 \times 16^2 + 8 \times 16^1$$

Increase the base and subtract 1 to get

$$(980)_{16} = 9 \times 16^2 + 8 \times 16^1$$

Increase the base and subtract 1 to get

$$9 \times 17^2 + 8 \times 17^1 - 1 = 9 \times 17^2 + 7 \times 17^1 + 16 \times 17^0 = (97(16))_{17}$$

$$(980)_{16} = 9 \times 16^2 + 8 \times 16^1$$

Increase the base and subtract 1 to get

$$9\times 17^2 + 8\times 17^1 - 1 = 9\times 17^2 + 7\times 17^1 + 16\times 17^0 = (97(16))_{17}$$

The second digit decreased!

$$(980)_{16} = 9 \times 16^2 + 8 \times 16^1$$

Increase the base and subtract 1 to get

$$9 \times 17^2 + 8 \times 17^1 - 1 = 9 \times 17^2 + 7 \times 17^1 + 16 \times 17^0 = (97(16))_{17}$$

The second digit decreased!

$$(980)_{16} = 9 \times 16^2 + 8 \times 16^1$$

Increase the base and subtract 1 to get

$$9 \times 17^2 + 8 \times 17^1 - 1 = 9 \times 17^2 + 7 \times 17^1 + 16 \times 17^0 = (97(16))_{17}$$

The second digit decreased!

$$(986)_{10} \rightarrow (980)_{16} \rightarrow (97(16))_{17} \rightarrow (970)_{23}$$

$$(980)_{16} = 9 \times 16^2 + 8 \times 16^1$$

Increase the base and subtract 1 to get

$$9 \times 17^2 + 8 \times 17^1 - 1 = 9 \times 17^2 + 7 \times 17^1 + 16 \times 17^0 = (97(16))_{17}$$

The second digit decreased!

$$(986)_{10} \rightarrow (980)_{16} \rightarrow (97(16))_{17} \rightarrow (970)_{23}$$

$$\rightarrow (96(23))_{24} \rightarrow (960)_{47} \rightarrow (95(47))_{48}$$

$$(980)_{16} = 9 \times 16^2 + 8 \times 16^1$$

Increase the base and subtract 1 to get

$$9\times 17^2 + 8\times 17^1 - 1 = 9\times 17^2 + 7\times 17^1 + 16\times 17^0 = (97(16))_{17}$$

The second digit decreased!

$$(986)_{10} \to (980)_{16} \to (97(16))_{17} \to (970)_{23}$$

$$\to (96(23))_{24} \to (960)_{47} \to (95(47))_{48}$$

$$(95(47))_{48} \to \cdots \to (900)_{x}$$

$$(900)_x = 9 \times x^2$$

$$(900)_x = 9 \times x^2$$

Increase base and subtract 1 to get

$$(900)_x = 9 \times x^2$$

Increase base and subtract 1 to get

$$9 \times (x+1)^2 - 1 = 8 \times (x+1)^2 + x(x+1)^1 + x(x+1)^0 = (8xx)x + 1$$

#### Weak Goodstein: Second Position

$$(900)_x = 9 \times x^2$$

Increase base and subtract 1 to get

$$9 \times (x+1)^2 - 1 = 8 \times (x+1)^2 + x(x+1)^1 + x(x+1)^0 = (8xx)x + 1$$

$$(8xx)_{x+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (0yy)_{y+1}$$

#### Weak Goodstein: Second Position

$$(900)_x = 9 \times x^2$$

Increase base and subtract 1 to get

$$9 \times (x+1)^2 - 1 = 8 \times (x+1)^2 + x(x+1)^1 + x(x+1)^0 = (8xx)x + 1$$

$$(8xx)_{x+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (0yy)_{y+1}$$

Now its a 2-digit number and use induction.

1. If original number is 1-digit long then it will clearly go to 0.

- 1. If original number is 1-digit long then it will clearly go to 0.
- 2. If the original number is *L* digits long then

- 1. If original number is 1-digit long then it will clearly go to 0.
- 2. If the original number is L digits long then
  - 2.1 The left most digit is  $0 \infty$  often.

- 1. If original number is 1-digit long then it will clearly go to 0.
- 2. If the original number is L digits long then
  - 2.1 The left most digit is  $0 \infty$  often.
  - 2.2 Within that the second digit is  $0 \infty$  often.

- 1. If original number is 1-digit long then it will clearly go to 0.
- 2. If the original number is L digits long then
  - 2.1 The left most digit is  $0 \infty$  often.
  - 2.2 Within that the second digit is  $0 \infty$  often.
  - $2.3 \cdots$  within that the lead digit is eventually 0.

- 1. If original number is 1-digit long then it will clearly go to 0.
- 2. If the original number is *L* digits long then
  - 2.1 The left most digit is  $0 \infty$  often.
  - 2.2 Within that the second digit is  $0 \infty$  often.
  - 2.3  $\cdots$  within that the lead digit is eventually 0. Then the problem is an L-1 digit long seq.

- 1. If original number is 1-digit long then it will clearly go to 0.
- 2. If the original number is L digits long then
  - 2.1 The left most digit is  $0 \infty$  often.
  - 2.2 Within that the second digit is  $0 \infty$  often.
  - 2.3  $\cdots$  within that the lead digit is eventually 0. Then the problem is an L-1 digit long seq. Use Induction.

1. From what I've presented you can prove rigorously that the weak Goodstein seq always goes to 0.

- 1. From what I've presented you can prove rigorously that the weak Goodstein seq always goes to 0.
- 2. The proof for strong Goldstein is similar but requires some other ideas.

- 1. From what I've presented you can prove rigorously that the weak Goodstein seq always goes to 0.
- 2. The proof for strong Goldstein is similar but requires some other ideas.

Goodstein's Thm The strong Goodstein seq always goes to 0.

- 1. From what I've presented you can prove rigorously that the weak Goodstein seq always goes to 0.
- 2. The proof for strong Goldstein is similar but requires some other ideas.

Goodstein's Thm The strong Goodstein seq always goes to 0.

Do you find his theorem to be natural? This is not a VOTE since its a matter of opinion and opinion and is not well defined.

- 1. From what I've presented you can prove rigorously that the weak Goodstein seq always goes to 0.
- 2. The proof for strong Goldstein is similar but requires some other ideas.

Goodstein's Thm The strong Goodstein seq always goes to 0.

Do you find his theorem to be natural? This is not a VOTE since its a matter of opinion and opinion and is not well defined.

Next Slide will indicate why am asking this.

**Peano Arithmetic (PA)** is a standard system of axioms. Almost all theorems from Number Theory and combinatorics can be proven in PA.

**Peano Arithmetic (PA)** is a standard system of axioms. Almost all theorems from Number Theory and combinatorics can be proven in PA.

**Godel's Inc Thm**  $\exists$  statements that are TRUE but cannot be proven in PA.

**Peano Arithmetic (PA)** is a standard system of axioms. Almost all theorems from Number Theory and combinatorics can be proven in PA.

**Godel's Inc Thm**  $\exists$  statements that are TRUE but cannot be proven in PA.

The statements Godel obtained were **not natural**. They were designed for the whole purpose of being unprovable in PA.

**Peano Arithmetic (PA)** is a standard system of axioms. Almost all theorems from Number Theory and combinatorics can be proven in PA.

**Godel's Inc Thm**  $\exists$  statements that are TRUE but cannot be proven in PA.

The statements Godel obtained were **not natural**. They were designed for the whole purpose of being unprovable in PA.

The question arose: Are there Natural statements that are not provable in PA?

**Peano Arithmetic (PA)** is a standard system of axioms. Almost all theorems from Number Theory and combinatorics can be proven in PA.

**Godel's Inc Thm**  $\exists$  statements that are TRUE but cannot be proven in PA.

The statements Godel obtained were **not natural**. They were designed for the whole purpose of being unprovable in PA.

The question arose: Are there Natural statements that are not provable in PA?

There are a few such statements.

**Peano Arithmetic (PA)** is a standard system of axioms. Almost all theorems from Number Theory and combinatorics can be proven in PA.

**Godel's Inc Thm**  $\exists$  statements that are TRUE but cannot be proven in PA.

The statements Godel obtained were **not natural**. They were designed for the whole purpose of being unprovable in PA.

The question arose: Are there Natural statements that are not provable in PA?

There are a few such statements.

1. Every strong Goodstein Sequence goes to 0.

**Peano Arithmetic (PA)** is a standard system of axioms. Almost all theorems from Number Theory and combinatorics can be proven in PA.

**Godel's Inc Thm**  $\exists$  statements that are TRUE but cannot be proven in PA.

The statements Godel obtained were **not natural**. They were designed for the whole purpose of being unprovable in PA.

The question arose: Are there Natural statements that are not provable in PA?

There are a few such statements.

- 1. Every strong Goodstein Sequence goes to 0.
- 2. The Paris-Harrington Ramsey Thm