

Review for CMSC 452

Midterm: Grammars

Context Free Languages

Examples of Context Free Grammars

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow e$$

The set of all strings **Generated** is

Examples of Context Free Grammars

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow e$$

The set of all strings **Generated** is

$$L = \{a^n b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Examples of Context Free Grammars

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow e$$

The set of all strings **Generated** is

$$L = \{a^n b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Note L is context free lang that is not regular.

Context Free Grammar for $\{a^{2^n}b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

$$S \rightarrow aaSb$$

$$S \rightarrow e$$

The set of all strings **Generated** is

Context Free Grammar for $\{a^{2^n}b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

$$S \rightarrow aaSb$$

$$S \rightarrow e$$

The set of all strings **Generated** is

$$L = \{a^{2^n}b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Context Free Grammar for $\{a^{2^n}b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

$$S \rightarrow aaSb$$

$$S \rightarrow e$$

The set of all strings **Generated** is

$$L = \{a^{2^n}b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Note L is context free lang that is not regular.

Context Free Grammar for $\{a^m b^n : m > n\}$

Context Free Grammar for $\{a^m b^n : m > n\}$

$$S \rightarrow AT$$

$$T \rightarrow aTb$$

$$T \rightarrow e$$

$$A \rightarrow Aa$$

$$A \rightarrow a$$

Context Free Grammars

Def A **Context Free Grammar** is a tuple $G = (N, \Sigma, R, S)$

- ▶ N is a finite set of **nonterminals**.
- ▶ Σ is a finite **alphabet**. Note $\Sigma \cap N = \emptyset$.
- ▶ $R \subseteq N \times (N \cup \Sigma)^*$ and are called **Rules**.
- ▶ $S \in N$, the **start symbol**.

L(G)

If A is non-terminal then the CFG gives us gives us rules like:

- ▶ $A \rightarrow AB$
- ▶ $A \rightarrow a$

L(G)

If A is non-terminal then the CFG gives us gives us rules like:

- ▶ $A \rightarrow AB$
- ▶ $A \rightarrow a$

For any string of **terminals and non-terminals** α , $A \Rightarrow \alpha$ means that, starting from A , some combination of the rules produces α .

L(G)

If A is non-terminal then the CFG gives us gives us rules like:

- ▶ $A \rightarrow AB$
- ▶ $A \rightarrow a$

For any string of **terminals and non-terminals** α , $A \Rightarrow \alpha$ means that, starting from A , some combination of the rules produces α .

Examples:

- ▶ $A \Rightarrow a$
- ▶ $A \Rightarrow aB$

L(G)

If A is non-terminal then the CFG gives us gives us rules like:

- ▶ $A \rightarrow AB$
- ▶ $A \rightarrow a$

For any string of **terminals and non-terminals** α , $A \Rightarrow \alpha$ means that, starting from A , some combination of the rules produces α .

Examples:

- ▶ $A \Rightarrow a$
- ▶ $A \Rightarrow aB$

Then, if w is string of **non-terminals only**, we define $L(G)$ by:

$$L(G) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid S \Rightarrow w\}$$

Number of a 's = Number of b 's

Is

$$L = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$$

context free?

YES

Let G be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow bSa$$

$$S \rightarrow SS$$

$$S \rightarrow e$$

YES

Let G be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow bSa$$

$$S \rightarrow SS$$

$$S \rightarrow e$$

Thm $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$.

YES

Let G be the CFG

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow bSa$$

$$S \rightarrow SS$$

$$S \rightarrow e$$

Thm $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$.

Note This Theorem is **not obvious**. Deserves a proof! But I won't give one.

Example of a Lang that is NOT a CFL

1) $\{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is NOT a CFL.

Example of a Lang that is NOT a CFL

- 1) $\{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is NOT a CFL.
- 2) $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is NOT a CFL.

Example of a Lang that is NOT a CFL

- 1) $\{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is NOT a CFL.
- 2) $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is NOT a CFL.
- 3) If $L \subseteq a^*$ and L is not regular then L is not a CFL.

Example of a Lang that is NOT a CFL

1) $\{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is NOT a CFL.

2) $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is NOT a CFL.

3) If $L \subseteq a^*$ and L is not regular than L is not a CFL.

One proves theorems NON CFL using the PL for CFL's (next slide).

Pumping Theorem for CFL's

Pumping Lemma (PL) If L is a CFL then there exist n_0 and n_1 such that the following holds:

Pumping Theorem for CFL's

Pumping Lemma (PL) If L is a CFL then there exist n_0 and n_1 such that the following holds:

For all $w \in L$, $|w| \geq n_0$ there exist u, v, x, y, z such that:

Pumping Theorem for CFL's

Pumping Lemma (PL) If L is a CFL then there exist n_0 and n_1 such that the following holds:

For all $w \in L$, $|w| \geq n_0$ there exist u, v, x, y, z such that:

1. $w = uvxyz$ and either $v \neq \epsilon$ or $y \neq \epsilon$.

Pumping Theorem for CFL's

Pumping Lemma (PL) If L is a CFL then there exist n_0 and n_1 such that the following holds:

For all $w \in L$, $|w| \geq n_0$ there exist u, v, x, y, z such that:

1. $w = uvxyz$ and either $v \neq \epsilon$ or $y \neq \epsilon$.
2. $|vxy| \leq n_1$.

Pumping Theorem for CFL's

Pumping Lemma (PL) If L is a CFL then there exist n_0 and n_1 such that the following holds:

For all $w \in L$, $|w| \geq n_0$ there exist u, v, x, y, z such that:

1. $w = uvxyz$ and either $v \neq \epsilon$ or $y \neq \epsilon$.
2. $|vxy| \leq n_1$.
3. For all $i \geq 0$, $uv^i xy^i z \in L$.

Pumping Theorem for CFL's

Pumping Lemma (PL) If L is a CFL then there exist n_0 and n_1 such that the following holds:

For all $w \in L$, $|w| \geq n_0$ there exist u, v, x, y, z such that:

1. $w = uvxyz$ and either $v \neq \epsilon$ or $y \neq \epsilon$.
2. $|vxy| \leq n_1$.
3. For all $i \geq 0$, $uv^i xy^i z \in L$.

Proof involves looking at the Parse Tree for w and finding some nonterminal T twice in the tree. We will not be doing the proof.

Closure Properties and $REG \subset CFL$

$L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cup L_2 \text{ CFL}$

L_1 is CFL via CFG (N_1, Σ, R_1, S_1) .

L_2 is CFL via CFG (N_2, Σ, R_2, S_2) .

L_1, L_2 CFL $\rightarrow L_1 \cup L_2$ CFL

L_1 is CFL via CFG (N_1, Σ, R_1, S_1) .

L_2 is CFL via CFG (N_2, Σ, R_2, S_2) .

CFL for $L_1 \cup L_2$:

Just add $S \rightarrow S_1$ and $S \rightarrow S_2$ to union of grammars.

$L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cap L_2 \text{ CFL}$

NOT TRUE: $a^n b^n c^* \cap a^* b^n c^n = a^n b^n c^n$.

$L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cdot L_2 \text{ CFL}$

L_1 is CFL via CFG (N_1, Σ, R_1, S_1) .

L_2 is CFL via CFG (N_2, Σ, R_2, S_2) .

L_1, L_2 CFL $\rightarrow L_1 \cdot L_2$ CFL

L_1 is CFL via CFG (N_1, Σ, R_1, S_1) .

L_2 is CFL via CFG (N_2, Σ, R_2, S_2) .

CFL for $L_1 \cup L_2$:

Just add $S \rightarrow S_1 S_2$ to union of grammars.

$L \text{ CFL} \rightarrow \bar{L} \text{ CFL}$

FALSE.

Let

$$L = \overline{\{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$$

$L \text{ CFL} \rightarrow \bar{L} \text{ CFL}$

FALSE.

Let

$$L = \overline{\{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$$

This is a CFL. This will a HW.

$L \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L^* \text{ CFL}$

L is CFL via CFG (N, Σ, R, S) .

$L \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L^* \text{ CFL}$

L is CFL via CFG (N, Σ, R, S) .

This one I leave to you to look up my slides on it.

REG contained in CFL

For every **regex** α , $L(\alpha)$ is a CFL.

REG contained in CFL

For every **regex** α , $L(\alpha)$ is a CFL.

Prove by ind on the length of α .

REG contained in CFL

For every **regex** α , $L(\alpha)$ is a CFL.

Prove by ind on the length of α .

We omit from this review.

Examples of CFL's and Size of CFG's

Chomsky Normal Form

Def CFG G is in **Chomsky Normal Form** if the rules are all of the following form:

Chomsky Normal Form

Def CFG G is in **Chomsky Normal Form** if the rules are all of the following form:

1) $A \rightarrow BC$ where $A, B, C \in N$ (nonterminals).

Chomsky Normal Form

Def CFG G is in **Chomsky Normal Form** if the rules are all of the following form:

- 1) $A \rightarrow BC$ where $A, B, C \in N$ (nonterminals).
- 2) $A \rightarrow \sigma$ (where $A \in N$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$).

Chomsky Normal Form

Def CFG G is in **Chomsky Normal Form** if the rules are all of the following form:

- 1) $A \rightarrow BC$ where $A, B, C \in N$ (nonterminals).
- 2) $A \rightarrow \sigma$ (where $A \in N$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$).
- 3) $S \rightarrow e$ (where S is the start state).

Example of Chomsky Normal Form

Chomsky Normal form CFG that generates $\{aaaaaaaa\}$
 $S \rightarrow AA$

Example of Chomsky Normal Form

Chomsky Normal form CFG that generates $\{aaaaaaaa\}$

$S \rightarrow AA$

$A \rightarrow BB$

Example of Chomsky Normal Form

Chomsky Normal form CFG that generates $\{aaaaaaaa\}$

$S \rightarrow AA$

$A \rightarrow BB$

$B \rightarrow CC$

Example of Chomsky Normal Form

Chomsky Normal form CFG that generates $\{aaaaaaaa\}$

$S \rightarrow AA$

$A \rightarrow BB$

$B \rightarrow CC$

$C \rightarrow a$

Example of Chomsky Normal Form

Chomsky Normal form CFG that generates $\{aaaaaaaa\}$

$S \rightarrow AA$

$A \rightarrow BB$

$B \rightarrow CC$

$C \rightarrow a$

So $\{aaaaaaaa\}$ has a CFG of size 4.

Example of Chomsky Normal Form

Chomsky Normal form CFG that generates $\{aaaaaaaa\}$

$S \rightarrow AA$

$A \rightarrow BB$

$B \rightarrow CC$

$C \rightarrow a$

So $\{aaaaaaaa\}$ has a CFG of size 4.

By the same trick \exists a CFG for $\{a^n\}$ of size $O(\log n)$.

- ▶ Any DFA or NFA that recognizes $\{a^n\}$ has $n + \Omega(1)$ states.
- ▶ There is a CFG that generates $\{a^n\}$ with $O(\log n)$ rules.

$$\{a, b\}^* a \{a, b\}^n$$

1) DFA: exactly 2^{n+1} size DFA. NFA: exactly $n + 2$ states.

$$\{a, b\}^* a \{a, b\}^n$$

- 1) DFA: exactly 2^{n+1} size DFA. NFA: exactly $n + 2$ states.
- 2) CFG: We obtain $O(\log n)$ size.

$\{a, b\}^* a \{a, b\}^n$

1) DFA: exactly 2^{n+1} size DFA. NFA: exactly $n + 2$ states.

2) CFG: We obtain $O(\log n)$ size.

$\{a, b\}^*$ CONCAT $a \{a, b\}^n$

$\{a, b\}^* a \{a, b\}^n$

1) DFA: exactly 2^{n+1} size DFA. NFA: exactly $n + 2$ states.

2) CFG: We obtain $O(\log n)$ size.

$\{a, b\}^*$ CONCAT $a \{a, b\}^n$

$\{a, b\}^* a \{a, b\}^n$

1) DFA: exactly 2^{n+1} size DFA. NFA: exactly $n + 2$ states.

2) CFG: We obtain $O(\log n)$ size.

$\{a, b\}^*$ CONCAT $a \{a, b\}^n$

$\{a, b\}^* a$. Has 5-rule CFG:

$\{a, b\}^* a \{a, b\}^n$

1) DFA: exactly 2^{n+1} size DFA. NFA: exactly $n + 2$ states.

2) CFG: We obtain $O(\log n)$ size.

$\{a, b\}^*$ CONCAT $a \{a, b\}^n$

$\{a, b\}^* a$. Has 5-rule CFG:

$a \{a, b\}^n$. A $O(\log n)$ rule CFG.

$\{a, b\}^* a \{a, b\}^n$

1) DFA: exactly 2^{n+1} size DFA. NFA: exactly $n + 2$ states.

2) CFG: We obtain $O(\log n)$ size.

$\{a, b\}^*$ CONCAT $a \{a, b\}^n$

$\{a, b\}^* a$. Has 5-rule CFG:

$a \{a, b\}^n$. A $O(\log n)$ rule CFG.

$\{a, b\}^*$ CONCAT $a \{a, b\}^n$ has $O(\log n)$ rule CFG.

Any CFG can be Put Into Chomsky Normal Form

Recall the CFG for $\{a^m b^n : m > n\}$. We put it into Chomsky Normal Form.

1) $S \rightarrow AT$

2) $T \rightarrow aTb$

3) $T \rightarrow e$

4) $A \rightarrow Aa$

5) $A \rightarrow a$

Any CFG can be Put Into Chomsky Normal Form

Recall the CFG for $\{a^m b^n : m > n\}$. We put it into Chomsky Normal Form.

$$1) S \rightarrow AT$$

$$2) T \rightarrow aTb$$

$$3) T \rightarrow e$$

$$4) A \rightarrow Aa$$

$$5) A \rightarrow a$$

Use nonterminals $[aT]$, $[b]$, $[a]$. Replace $T \rightarrow aTb$ with:

$$T \rightarrow [aT][b]$$

$$[aT] \rightarrow [a]T$$

$$[b] \rightarrow b.$$

$$[a] \rightarrow a$$

Any CFG can be Put Into Chomsky Normal Form

Recall the CFG for $\{a^m b^n : m > n\}$. We put it into Chomsky Normal Form.

$$1) S \rightarrow AT$$

$$2) T \rightarrow aTb$$

$$3) T \rightarrow e$$

$$4) A \rightarrow Aa$$

$$5) A \rightarrow a$$

Use nonterminals $[aT]$, $[b]$, $[a]$. Replace $T \rightarrow aTb$ with:

$$T \rightarrow [aT][b]$$

$$[aT] \rightarrow [a]T$$

$$[b] \rightarrow b.$$

$$[a] \rightarrow a$$

Repeat the process with the other rules.

MISC

1) If L_1 is a CFL and L_2 is regular then $L_1 \cap L_2$ is a CFL.

MISC

- 1) If L_1 is a CFL and L_2 is regular then $L_1 \cap L_2$ is a CFL.
- 2) Recall: DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**.
CFG's are **Generators**. There is a **Recognizer** equivalent to it:
PDA: Push Down Automata

They are NFAs with a stack.

MISC

- 1) If L_1 is a CFL and L_2 is regular then $L_1 \cap L_2$ is a CFL.
- 2) Recall: DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**. CFG's are **Generators**. There is a **Recognizer** equivalent to it:
PDA: Push Down Automata

They are NFAs with a stack.

The proof that PDA-recognizers and CFG-generators are equivalent is messy so we won't be doing it. We won't deal with PDA's in this course at all.

CNF for $\{w\}$

CNF for $\{aabbbab\}$

Example CNF for $\{aabbbab\}$

CNF for $\{aabbab\}$

Example CNF for $\{aabbab\}$

$S \rightarrow [A][ABBBAB]$

CNF for $\{aabbbab\}$

Example CNF for $\{aabbbab\}$

$S \rightarrow [A][ABBBAB]$

$[ABBBAB] \rightarrow [A][BBBAB]$

CNF for $\{aabbbab\}$

Example CNF for $\{aabbbab\}$

$S \rightarrow [A][ABBBAB]$

$[ABBBAB] \rightarrow [A][BBBAB]$

$[BBBAB] \rightarrow [B][BBAB]$

CNF for $\{aabbbab\}$

Example CNF for $\{aabbbab\}$

$S \rightarrow [A][ABBBAB]$

$[ABBBAB] \rightarrow [A][BBBAB]$

$[BBBAB] \rightarrow [B][BBAB]$

$[BBAB] \rightarrow [B][BAB]$

CNF for $\{aabbbab\}$

Example CNF for $\{aabbbab\}$

$$S \rightarrow [A][ABBBAB]$$

$$[ABBBAB] \rightarrow [A][BBBAB]$$

$$[BBBAB] \rightarrow [B][BBAB]$$

$$[BBAB] \rightarrow [B][BAB]$$

$$[BAB] \rightarrow [B][AB]$$

CNF for $\{aabbbab\}$

Example CNF for $\{aabbbab\}$

$$S \rightarrow [A][ABBBAB]$$

$$[ABBBAB] \rightarrow [A][BBBAB]$$

$$[BBBAB] \rightarrow [B][BBAB]$$

$$[BBAB] \rightarrow [B][BAB]$$

$$[BAB] \rightarrow [B][AB]$$

$$[AB] \rightarrow [A][B]$$

CNF for $\{aabbbab\}$

Example CNF for $\{aabbbab\}$

$$S \rightarrow [A][ABBBAB]$$

$$[ABBBAB] \rightarrow [A][BBBAB]$$

$$[BBBAB] \rightarrow [B][BBAB]$$

$$[BBAB] \rightarrow [B][BAB]$$

$$[BAB] \rightarrow [B][AB]$$

$$[AB] \rightarrow [A][B]$$

$$[A] \rightarrow a$$

CNF for $\{aabbbab\}$

Example CNF for $\{aabbbab\}$

$$S \rightarrow [A][ABBBAB]$$

$$[ABBBAB] \rightarrow [A][BBBAB]$$

$$[BBBAB] \rightarrow [B][BBAB]$$

$$[BBAB] \rightarrow [B][BAB]$$

$$[BAB] \rightarrow [B][AB]$$

$$[AB] \rightarrow [A][B]$$

$$[A] \rightarrow a$$

$$[B] \rightarrow b$$

CNF for $\{w\}$

CNF for $\{w\}$

1. You can do something similar for any w .

CNF for $\{w\}$

1. You can do something similar for any w .
2. If $|w| = n$ then the CFG will be $O(n)$ rules.

CNF for $\{w\}$

1. You can do something similar for any w .
2. If $|w| = n$ then the CFG will be $O(n)$ rules.
3. Question we will come back to LATER:
($\exists w$) such that $\{w\}$ requires large CFG?

CFL \subset P

Poly Time Algorithm for CFG Membership

Let L be a CFL. Let G be the Chomsky Normal Form CFG for L .

Poly Time Algorithm for CFG Membership

Let L be a CFL. Let G be the Chomsky Normal Form CFG for L .

$$w = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n.$$

Poly Time Algorithm for CFG Membership

Let L be a CFL. Let G be the Chomsky Normal Form CFG for L .

$$w = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n.$$

We want to know if $w \in L$. We assume $w \neq \epsilon$.

Poly Time Algorithm for CFG Membership

Let L be a CFL. Let G be the Chomsky Normal Form CFG for L .

$w = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n$.

We want to know if $w \in L$. We assume $w \neq \epsilon$.

For $i \leq j$ let

$$\text{GEN}[i, j] = \{A : A \Rightarrow \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_j\}$$

Poly Time Algorithm for CFG Membership

Let L be a CFL. Let G be the Chomsky Normal Form CFG for L .

$w = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n$.

We want to know if $w \in L$. We assume $w \neq \epsilon$.

For $i \leq j$ let

$$\text{GEN}[i, j] = \{A : A \Rightarrow \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_j\}$$

We will find **all** $\text{GEN}[i, j]$. Hence we will find $\text{GEN}[1, n]$. Hence we will find if $S \in \text{GEN}[1, n]$.

Bottom Up View

Bottom Up View

$$\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{i-1} \overbrace{\sigma_i}^A \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_n$$

Bottom Up View

$$\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{i-1} \overbrace{\sigma_i}^A \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_n$$

$$\text{GEN}[i, i] = \{A : A \rightarrow \sigma_i\}$$

Bottom Up View

$$\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{i-1} \overbrace{\sigma_i}^A \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_n$$

$$\text{GEN}[i, i] = \{A : A \rightarrow \sigma_i\}$$

$$\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{i-1} \overbrace{\sigma_i}^B \overbrace{\sigma_{i+1}}^C \sigma_{i+2} \cdots \sigma_n$$

Bottom Up View

$$\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{i-1} \overbrace{\sigma_i}^A \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_n$$

$$\text{GEN}[i, i] = \{A : A \rightarrow \sigma_i\}$$

$$\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{i-1} \overbrace{\sigma_i}^B \overbrace{\sigma_{i+1}}^C \sigma_{i+2} \cdots \sigma_n$$

$$\text{GEN}[i, i+1] = \{A : A \rightarrow BC \wedge B \rightarrow \sigma_i \wedge C \rightarrow \sigma_{i+1}\}$$

Bottom Up View

$$\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{i-1} \overbrace{\sigma_i}^A \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_n$$

$$\text{GEN}[i, i] = \{A : A \rightarrow \sigma_i\}$$

$$\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{i-1} \overbrace{\sigma_i}^B \overbrace{\sigma_{i+1}}^C \sigma_{i+2} \cdots \sigma_n$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GEN}[i, i+1] &= \{A : A \rightarrow BC \wedge B \rightarrow \sigma_i \wedge C \rightarrow \sigma_{i+1}\} \\ &= \{A : A \rightarrow BC \\ &\quad \wedge B \in \text{GEN}[i, i] \wedge C \in \text{GEN}[i+1, i+1]\} \end{aligned}$$

Recurrence

Recurrence

$$\text{GEN}[i, j] = \{A : A \Rightarrow \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_j\}$$

Recurrence

$$\text{GEN}[i, j] = \{A : A \Rightarrow \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_j\}$$

$$\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{i-1} \overbrace{\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_k}^B \overbrace{\sigma_{k+1} \sigma_{k+2} \cdots \sigma_j}^C \sigma_{j+1} \cdots \sigma_n$$

Recurrence

$$\text{GEN}[i, j] = \{A : A \Rightarrow \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_j\}$$

$$\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{i-1} \overbrace{\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_k}^B \overbrace{\sigma_{k+1} \sigma_{k+2} \cdots \sigma_j}^C \sigma_{j+1} \cdots \sigma_n$$

$$\text{GEN}[i, j] = \bigcup_{i \leq k < j} \{A : A \rightarrow BC \wedge B \Rightarrow \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_k \wedge C \Rightarrow \sigma_{k+1} \cdots \sigma_j\}$$

Recurrence

$$\text{GEN}[i, j] = \{A : A \Rightarrow \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_j\}$$

$$\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{i-1} \overbrace{\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_k}^B \overbrace{\sigma_{k+1} \sigma_{k+2} \cdots \sigma_j}^C \sigma_{j+1} \cdots \sigma_n$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GEN}[i, j] &= \bigcup_{i \leq k < j} \{A : A \rightarrow BC \wedge B \Rightarrow \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_k \wedge C \Rightarrow \sigma_{k+1} \cdots \sigma_j\} \\ &= \bigcup_{i \leq k < j} \{A : A \rightarrow BC \wedge B \in \text{GEN}[i, k] \wedge C \in \text{GEN}[k+1, j]\} \end{aligned}$$

The Algorithm

```
for i = 1 to n do
  for j = i to n do
    GEN[i,j] ← ∅

for i = 1 to n do
  for all rules  $A \rightarrow \sigma_i$  do
    GEN[i,i] ← GEN[i,i] with A

for s = 2 to n do
  for i = 1 to n-s+1 do
    j ← i+s-1 do
      for k = i to j-1 do
        for all rules  $A \rightarrow BC$ 
          where  $B \in \text{GEN}[i,k]$  and  $C \in \text{GEN}[k+1,j]$ 
            GEN[i,j] ← GEN[i,j] with A
```