

0.0.1 If ... then (b_1, \dots, b_n) is distinct-regular

We will prove the following theorem due to Rado [?, ?].

Theorem 0.0.1 *If (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) is regular and there exists $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ distinct such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i b_i = 0$ then (b_1, \dots, b_n) is distinct-regular.*

To prove this we need a Key Lemma:

Key lemma

The lemma is in three parts. The first one we use to characterize which vectors are distinct-regular. The second and third are used in a later section when we prove the Full Rado Theorem.

The following definitions are used in the third part of the lemma.

Def 0.0.2 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

1. A set $G \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ is *homogeneous* if, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(e_1, \dots, e_n) \in G \implies (\alpha e_1, \dots, \alpha e_n) \in G.$$

2. A set $G \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ is *regular* if, for all c , there exists $R = R(G; c)$ such that the following holds: For all c -colorings $\chi: [R] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists $\vec{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n) \in G$ such that all of the e_i 's are colored the same.

Example 0.0.3

1. Let $G = \{(a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d) \mid a, d \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be the set of k -APs in \mathbb{N} . G is homogeneous. By VDW, G is also regular.
2. Let $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $G = \{(e_1, \dots, e_n) \mid \sum_{i=1}^n b_i e_i = 0\}$. G is homogeneous. G is regular if and only if (b_1, \dots, b_n) is.
3. Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix. Let $G = \{\vec{e} \mid A\vec{e} = \vec{0}\}$. G is homogeneous. G is regular if and only if M is.

Lemma 0.0.4

1. For all $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ regular, for all $c, M \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $L = L(b_1, \dots, b_n; c, M)$ with the following property. For any c -coloring $\chi: [L] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists $e_1, \dots, e_n, d \in [L]$ such that the following hold.

(a) $b_1e_1 + \dots + b_n e_n = 0$.

(b) All of these numbers have the same color:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} e_1 - Md, & \dots, & e_1 - d, & e_1, & e_1 + d, & \dots, & e_1 + Md \\ e_2 - Md, & \dots, & e_2 - d, & e_2, & e_2 + d, & \dots, & e_2 + Md \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ e_n - Md, & \dots, & e_n - d, & e_n, & e_n + d, & \dots, & e_n + Md. \end{array}$$

2. For all $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ regular, for all $c, M, s \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $L_2 = L_2(b_1, \dots, b_n; c, M, s)$ with the following property. For any c -coloring $\chi: [L_2] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists $e_1, \dots, e_n, d \in [L_2]$ such that the following hold.

(a) $b_1e_1 + \dots + b_n e_n = 0$.

(b) All of these numbers have the same color:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} e_1 - Md, & \dots, & e_1 - d, & e_1, & e_1 + d, & \dots, & e_1 + Md \\ e_2 - Md, & \dots, & e_2 - d, & e_2, & e_2 + d, & \dots, & e_2 + Md \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ e_n - Md, & \dots, & e_n - d, & e_n, & e_n + d, & \dots, & e_n + Md \\ & & & & & & sd. \end{array}$$

3. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $G \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$, G regular and homogeneous, for all $c, M, s \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $L_3 = L_3(G; c, M, s)$ with the following property. For any c -coloring $\chi: [L_3] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists $e_1, \dots, e_n, d \in [L_3]$ such that the following hold.

(a) $(e_1, \dots, e_n) \in G$.

(b) All of these numbers have the same color:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
e_1 - Md, & \dots, & e_1 - d, & e_1, & e_1 + d, & \dots, & e_1 + Md \\
e_2 - Md, & \dots, & e_2 - d, & e_2, & e_2 + d, & \dots, & e_2 + Md \\
\vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
e_n - Md, & \dots, & e_n - d, & e_n, & e_n + d, & \dots, & e_n + Md \\
& & & & sd. & &
\end{array}$$

Proof: (Part 1)

Since b_1, \dots, b_n is regular, by Definition ?? there exists $R = R(b_1, \dots, b_n; c)$ such that for any c -coloring of $[R]$ there exists e_1, \dots, e_n such that

(1) all of the e_i 's are the same color, and

(2) $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i e_i = 0$.

We will choose the desired number L later. Throughout the proof we will add conditions to L . The first one is that R divides L .

Let $\chi: [L] \rightarrow [c]$ be a coloring.

We want to show that the conclusion of the theorem holds for χ .

We define a new coloring $\chi^*: [L/R] \rightarrow [c]^R$ as follows:

$$\chi^*(n) = (\chi(n), \chi(2n), \chi(3n), \dots, \chi(Rn)).$$

In order to find an arithmetic progression, we will pick L so that $L/R \geq W(2X + 1, c^R)$. We will determine X later.

Apply (a slight variant of) VDW to the c^R -coloring χ to obtain the following: There exists a, D (but not our desired d) such that

$$\chi^*(a - XD) = \chi^*(a - (X - 1)D) = \dots = \chi^*(a) = \dots = \chi^*(a + XD).$$

Since we know

$$\chi^*(n) = (\chi(n), \chi(2n), \dots, \chi(Rn)),$$

this gives us

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\chi(a - XD) & = & \chi(a - (X - 1)D) & = & \dots & = & \chi(a) & = & \dots & = & \chi(a + XD) \\
\chi(2(a - XD)) & = & \chi(2(a - (X - 1)D)) & = & \dots & = & \chi(2a) & = & \dots & = & \chi(2(a + XD)) \\
\chi(3(a - XD)) & = & \chi(3(a - (X - 1)D)) & = & \dots & = & \chi(3a) & = & \dots & = & \chi(3(a + XD)) \\
\vdots & = & \vdots & = & \dots & = & \vdots & = & \dots & = & \vdots \\
\chi(R(a - XD)) & = & \chi(R(a - (X - 1)D)) & = & \dots & = & \chi(Ra) & = & \dots & = & \chi(R(a + XD)).
\end{array}$$

We need a subset of these that are all the same color. Consider the coloring $\chi^{**}: [R] \rightarrow [c]$ defined by

$$\chi^{**}(n) = \chi(na).$$

By the definition of R there exists f_1, \dots, f_n such that

1. $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i f_i = 0$. Hence $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i (a f_i) = a \sum_{i=1}^n b_i f_i = 0$.
2. $\chi^{**}(f_1) = \chi^{**}(f_2) = \dots = \chi^{**}(f_n)$.

By the definition of χ^{**} we have

$$\chi(a f_1) = \chi(a f_2) = \dots = \chi(a f_n).$$

Note that we have that the following are *all* the same color:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (a - XD)f_1, & (a - (X - 1)D)f_1, & \dots, & a f_1, & \dots, & (a + XD)f_1 \\ (a - XD)f_2, & (a - (X - 1)D)f_2, & \dots, & a f_2, & \dots, & (a + XD)f_2 \\ (a - XD)f_3, & (a - (X - 1)D)f_3, & \dots, & a f_3, & \dots, & (a + XD)f_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ (a - XD)f_n, & (a - (X - 1)D)f_n, & \dots, & a f_n, & \dots, & (a + XD)f_n. \end{array}$$

For all i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ let $e_i = a f_i$. We rewrite the above:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} e_1 - f_1 XD, & e_1 - f_1(X - 1)D, & \dots, & e_1, & \dots, & e_1 + f_1 XD \\ e_2 - f_2 XD, & e_2 - f_2(X - 1)D, & \dots, & e_2, & \dots, & e_2 + f_2 XD \\ e_3 - f_3 XD, & e_3 - f_3(X - 1)D, & \dots, & e_3, & \dots, & e_3 + f_3 XD \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ e_n - f_n XD, & e_n - f_n(X - 1)D, & \dots, & e_n, & \dots, & e_n + f_n XD. \end{array}$$

We are almost there — we have our e_1, \dots, e_n that are the same color, and lots of additive terms from them are also that color. We just need a value of d such that

$$\begin{aligned} \{d, 2d, 3d, \dots, Md\} &\subseteq \{f_1 D, 2f_1 D, 3f_1 D, \dots, X f_1 D\}, \\ \{d, 2d, 3d, \dots, Md\} &\subseteq \{f_2 D, 2f_2 D, 3f_2 D, \dots, X f_2 D\}, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

$$\{d, 2d, 3d, \dots, Md\} \subseteq \{f_n D, 2f_n D, 3f_n D, \dots, X f_n D\}.$$

We have no control over D , but we haven't chosen X or d yet. We know that, for all i , $f_i \leq R$. Clearly $d = f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n D \leq R^n D$ is a sensible choice, so we use that.

We need, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\left\{ \left(\prod_{j=1}^n f_j \right) D, 2 \left(\prod_{j=1}^n f_j \right) D, \dots, M \left(\prod_{j=1}^n f_j \right) D \right\} \subseteq \{f_i D, 2f_i D, \dots, X f_i D\}.$$

Equivalently, we need

$$\left\{ \left(\prod_{j=1}^n f_j \right), 2 \left(\prod_{j=1}^n f_j \right), \dots, M \left(\prod_{j=1}^n f_j \right) \right\} \subseteq \{f_i, 2f_i, \dots, X f_i\}.$$

Taking $X = MR^{n-1}$ will suffice.

Since we have $X = R^{n-1}M$, we now know our bound for L :

$$L = R \cdot W(2R^{n-1}M + 1, c^R), \text{ where } R = R(b_1, \dots, b_n; c).$$

(Part 2)

We prove this by induction on c .

Base Case: For $c = 1$ this is easy; however, we find the actual bound anyway. The only issue here is to make sure that the objects we want to color are actually in $[L(b_1, \dots, b_n; 1, M, s)]$. Let $(e_1, \dots, e_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ be a solution to $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i e_i = 0$ such that $e_{\min} = \min\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} > M$. Let $e_{\max} = \max\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} > M$. Let $L_2 = L_2(b_1, \dots, b_n; 1, M, s) = \max\{e_{\max} + M, s\}$. Let $\chi: [L_2] \rightarrow [1]$. We claim that $e_1, \dots, e_n, 1$ work. Note that, for all $i \in [n]$ and $j \in \{-M, \dots, M\}$, we have $e_i + j \times 1 \in [L_2]$. Also note that $s \times 1 \in [L_2]$. Thus, taking $d = 1$, we have our solution.

Induction Hypothesis: We assume the theorem is true for $c - 1$ colors. In particular, for any M' , $L_2(b_1, \dots, b_n; c - 1, M', s)$ exists. This proof will be similar to the proof of Lemma ??.

Induction Step: We want to show that $L_2(b_1, \dots, b_n; c, M, s)$ exists. We show that there is M' so that, if you c -color $[L]$ (where $L = L(b_1, \dots, b_n; c, M')$ from part 1), then there exists the required e_1, \dots, e_n, d . The M' will depend

on L_2 for $c - 1$ colors. Let χ be a c -coloring of $[L]$. By part 1 there exists E_1, \dots, E_n, D such that $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i E_i = 0$ and the following are all the same color, which we will call RED.

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} E_1 - M'D, & \dots, & E_1 - D, & E_1, & E_1 + D, & \dots, & E_1 + M'D \\ E_2 - M'D, & \dots, & E_2 - D, & E_2, & E_2 + D, & \dots, & E_2 + M'D \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ E_n - M'D, & \dots, & E_n - D, & E_n, & E_n + D, & \dots, & E_n + M'D. \end{array}$$

There are now several cases.

Case 1: If sD is RED then we are done so long as $M' \geq M$. Use $d = D$.

Case 2: If $2sD$ is RED then we are done so long as $M' \geq 2M$. Use $d = 2D$.

\vdots

Case X: If XsD is RED then so long as $M' \geq MX$ we are done. Use $d = XD$.

Case X+1: None of the above cases hold. Hence

$$sD, 2sD, \dots, XsD$$

are all *not* RED. Hence the coloring restricted to this set is a $c - 1$ coloring. Let $X = L_2(b_1, \dots, b_n; c - 1, M, s)$, and $M' = MX$. Consider the $(c - 1)$ -coloring χ^* of $[M']$ defined by

$$\chi^*(x) = \chi(xsD).$$

By the induction hypothesis and the definition of M' there exists e_1, \dots, e_n, d such that $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i e_i = 0$ and all of the following are the same color under χ^* :

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} e_1 - Md, & e_1 - (M - 1)d, & \dots, & e_1, & \dots, & e_1 + Md \\ e_2 - Md, & e_2 - (M - 1)d, & \dots, & e_2, & \dots, & e_2 + Md \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ e_n - Md, & e_n - (M - 1)d, & \dots, & e_n, & \dots, & e_n + Md \end{array}$$

sd .

By the definition of χ^* , the following have the same color via χ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
(e_1 - Md)sD, & (e_1 - (M - 1)d)sD, & \dots, & e_1sD, & \dots, & (e_1 + Md)sD \\
(e_2 - Md)sD, & (e_2 - (M - 1)d)sD, & \dots, & e_2sD, & \dots, & (e_2 + Md)sD \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
(e_n - Md)sD, & (e_n - (M - 1)d)sD, & \dots, & e_nsD, & \dots, & (e_n + Md)sD
\end{array}$$

$sdsD$.

By taking the vector (e_1sD, \dots, e_nsD) and common difference $sdsD$, we obtain the result.

(Part 3)

In both of the above parts, the only property of the set

$$\left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i = 0 \right\}$$

that we used is that it was homogeneous and regular. Hence all of the proofs go through without any change and we obtain this part of the lemma. ■

Back to our Story

Theorem 0.0.5 *If (b_1, \dots, b_n) is regular and there exists $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i b_i = 0$ and all of the λ_i are distinct, then (b_1, \dots, b_n) is distinct-regular.*

Proof: Let M be a parameter to be picked later. Let $L = L(b_1, \dots, b_n; c, M)$ from part 1 of Lemma 0.0.4. Let χ be a c -coloring of $[L]$. We know that there exists $e_1, \dots, e_n, d \in [L]$ such that the following occur.

1. $b_1 e_1 + \dots + b_n e_n = 0$.
2. The following are the same color:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
e_1 - Md, & \dots, & e_1 - d, & e_1, & e_1 + d, & \dots, & e_1 + Md \\
e_2 - Md, & \dots, & e_2 - d, & e_2, & e_2 + d, & \dots, & e_2 + Md \\
\vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
e_n - Md, & \dots, & e_n - d, & e_n, & e_n + d, & \dots, & e_n + Md.
\end{array}$$

Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a constant to be picked later. Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n b_i(e_i + Ad\lambda_i) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i e_i \right) + \left(Ad \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \lambda_i \right) = 0.$$

Thus $(e_1 + Ad\lambda_1, \dots, e_n + Ad\lambda_n)$ is a solution. For it to be monochromatic, we need M to be such that there exists an A with

1. $e_1 + Ad\lambda_1, \dots, e_n + Ad\lambda_n$ are all distinct, and
2. For all i , $|A\lambda_i| \leq M$.

Since $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$, there is at most 1 value of A which makes $e_i + Ad\lambda_i = e_j + Ad\lambda_j$ — viewing this condition as a linear equation in A . Therefore, there are at most $\binom{n}{2}$ values of A which make item 1 false.

In order to satisfy item 2 we need, for all i , $|A| \leq M/|\lambda_i|$. Let $\lambda = \max\{|\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_n|\}$. We let $M = \binom{n}{2}\lambda$. Any choice of A with $|A| \leq \binom{n}{2}$ will satisfy condition 2. There are more than $\binom{n}{2}$ values of A that satisfy this, hence we can find a value of A one that satisfies items 1 and 2. ■

Exercise 1 (Open-ended)

- a) Consider the equation $10x_1 + 13x_2 - 40x_3 = 0$. By Theorem ?? there is a 40-coloring of \mathbb{N} such that there is no monochromatic solution. Exercise ?? gives a 6-coloring with the same property, but we do not know whether it is best. Find the value of c such that
 - There is a c -coloring of \mathbb{N} such that $10x_1 + 13x_2 - 40x_3 = 0$ has no monochromatic solution.
 - For every $c-1$ -coloring of \mathbb{N} there is a monochromatic solution to $10x_1 + 13x_2 - 40x_3 = 0$.
- b) We define (b_1, \dots, b_n) be c -regular if, for every c -coloring of \mathbb{N} , there is a monochromatic solution to $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i = 0$. Find some condition X such that, for all (b_1, \dots, b_n) and c , (b_1, \dots, b_n) is c -regular iff X.
- c) Define c -distinct-regular in the analogous way. Repeat the problem above with that notion of c -distinct regular.