# BILL, RECORD LECTURE!!!!

### BILL RECORD LECTURE!!!



# Probabilistic Method Proof For Distinct Diff Sets

**Exposition by William Gasarch** 

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

Recall that we showed  $R(k) \ge \frac{1}{e\sqrt{2}}k2^{k/2}$  by the following thought experiment.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Recall that we showed  $R(k) \ge \frac{1}{e\sqrt{2}}k2^{k/2}$ by the following thought experiment.

1) Take a complete graph on  $n = \frac{1}{e\sqrt{2}}k2^{k/2}$  vertices (round up).

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Recall that we showed  $R(k) \ge \frac{1}{e\sqrt{2}}k2^{k/2}$ by the following thought experiment.

1) Take a complete graph on  $n = \frac{1}{e\sqrt{2}}k2^{k/2}$  vertices (round up).

2)  $\forall \{x, y\} \in {[n] \choose 2}$  color  $\{x, y\}$  by flipping a fair coin.

Recall that we showed  $R(k) \ge \frac{1}{e\sqrt{2}}k2^{k/2}$ by the following thought experiment.

1) Take a complete graph on  $n = \frac{1}{e\sqrt{2}}k2^{k/2}$  vertices (round up).

- 2)  $\forall \{x, y\} \in {[n] \choose 2}$  color  $\{x, y\}$  by flipping a fair coin.
- 3) Calc the Prob of a k-homog set. Find Prob < 1.

Recall that we showed  $R(k) \ge \frac{1}{e\sqrt{2}}k2^{k/2}$ by the following thought experiment.

- 1) Take a complete graph on  $n = \frac{1}{e\sqrt{2}}k2^{k/2}$  vertices (round up).
- 2)  $\forall \{x, y\} \in {\binom{[n]}{2}}$  color  $\{x, y\}$  by flipping a fair coin.
- 3) Calc the Prob of a k-homog set. Find Prob < 1.
- 4) Hence a coloring that has no homog set of size k must exist.

Recall that we showed  $R(k) \ge \frac{1}{e\sqrt{2}}k2^{k/2}$ by the following thought experiment.

- 1) Take a complete graph on  $n = \frac{1}{e\sqrt{2}}k2^{k/2}$  vertices (round up).
- 2)  $\forall \{x, y\} \in {[n] \choose 2}$  color  $\{x, y\}$  by flipping a fair coin.
- 3) Calc the Prob of a k-homog set. Find Prob < 1.
- 4) Hence a coloring that has no homog set of size k must exist.

### Note

Recall that we showed  $R(k) \ge \frac{1}{e\sqrt{2}}k2^{k/2}$ by the following thought experiment.

- 1) Take a complete graph on  $n = \frac{1}{e\sqrt{2}}k2^{k/2}$  vertices (round up).
- 2)  $\forall \{x, y\} \in {[n] \choose 2}$  color  $\{x, y\}$  by flipping a fair coin.
- 3) Calc the Prob of a k-homog set. Find Prob < 1.
- 4) Hence a coloring that has no homog set of size k must exist.

### Note

1) The proof is nonconstructive. It does not give the coloring. It just shows that such a coloring exists.

Recall that we showed  $R(k) \ge \frac{1}{e\sqrt{2}}k2^{k/2}$ by the following thought experiment.

- 1) Take a complete graph on  $n = \frac{1}{e\sqrt{2}}k2^{k/2}$  vertices (round up).
- 2)  $\forall \{x, y\} \in {[n] \choose 2}$  color  $\{x, y\}$  by flipping a fair coin.
- 3) Calc the Prob of a k-homog set. Find Prob < 1.
- 4) Hence a coloring that has no homog set of size k must exist.

### Note

1) The proof is nonconstructive. It does not give the coloring. It just shows that such a coloring exists.

2) This method is very powerful and is used a lot.

Recall that we showed  $R(k) \ge \frac{1}{e\sqrt{2}}k2^{k/2}$ by the following thought experiment.

- 1) Take a complete graph on  $n = \frac{1}{e\sqrt{2}}k2^{k/2}$  vertices (round up).
- 2)  $\forall \{x, y\} \in {[n] \choose 2}$  color  $\{x, y\}$  by flipping a fair coin.
- 3) Calc the Prob of a k-homog set. Find Prob < 1.
- 4) Hence a coloring that has no homog set of size k must exist.

### Note

1) The proof is nonconstructive. It does not give the coloring. It just shows that such a coloring exists.

- 2) This method is very powerful and is used a lot.
- 3) We will use it to prove that there are large distinct diff sets.

# **DISTINCT DIFF SETS**

**Exposition by William Gasarch** 

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

Given *n* try to find a set  $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  such that ALL of the differences of elements of *A* are DISTINCT.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Given *n* try to find a set  $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  such that ALL of the differences of elements of *A* are DISTINCT.

$$\{1, 2, 2^2, \dots, 2^{\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor}\} \sim \log_2 n$$
 elements

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Given *n* try to find a set  $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  such that ALL of the differences of elements of *A* are DISTINCT.

 $\{1, 2, 2^2, \dots, 2^{\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor}\} \sim \log_2 n$  elements

Can we do better?

STUDENTS break into small groups and try to either do better OR show that you best you can do is  $O(\log n)$ .

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

Let *a* be a number to be determined.



Let *a* be a number to be determined.

Pick a RANDOM  $A \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$  of size a.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Let *a* be a number to be determined.

Pick a RANDOM  $A \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$  of size a.

What is the probability that all of the diffs in A are distinct?

・ロト・日本・モト・モト・モー うへぐ

Let *a* be a number to be determined.

Pick a RANDOM  $A \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$  of size a.

What is the probability that all of the diffs in A are distinct?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

We hope the prob is strictly GREATER THAN 0.

Let *a* be a number to be determined.

Pick a RANDOM  $A \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$  of size a.

What is the probability that all of the diffs in A are distinct?

We hope the prob is strictly GREATER THAN 0.

**KEY:** If the prob is strictly greater than 0 then there must be SOME set of *a* elements where all of the diffs are distinct.

If you pick a RANDOM  $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  of size *a* what is the probability that all of the diffs in *A* are distinct?

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

If you pick a RANDOM  $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  of size *a* what is the probability that all of the diffs in *A* are distinct?

WRONG QUESTION!



If you pick a RANDOM  $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  of size *a* what is the probability that all of the diffs in *A* are distinct?

### WRONG QUESTION!

If you pick a RANDOM  $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  of size *a* what is the probability that all of the diffs in *A* are NOT distinct?

We hope the Prob is strictly LESS THAN 1.

# If you pick a RANDOM $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ of size *a* what is the probability that all of the diffs in *A* are NOT distinct?

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

# If you pick a RANDOM $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ of size *a* what is the probability that all of the diffs in *A* are NOT distinct? WRONG QUESTION!

# If you pick a RANDOM $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ of size *a* what is the probability that all of the diffs in *A* are NOT distinct? **WRONG QUESTION!**

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

We only need to show that the prob is LESS THAN 1.

### **Review a Little Bit of Combinatorics**

The number of ways to CHOOSE y elements out of x elements is

$$\binom{x}{y} = \frac{x!}{y!(x-y)!}$$

・ロト・日本・ヨト・ヨト・日・ つへぐ

- ▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶ - 国 - のへで

If a RAND  $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ , size *a*, want bound on prob all of the diffs in *A* are NOT distinct. Numb of ways to choose *a* elements out of  $\{1, ..., n\}$  is  $\binom{n}{a}$ .

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

If a RAND  $A \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , size *a*, want bound on prob all of the diffs in A are NOT distinct. Numb of ways to choose a elements out of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  is  $\binom{n}{2}$ .

Two ways to create a set with a diff repeated:



If a RAND  $A \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , size *a*, want bound on prob all of the diffs in *A* are NOT distinct. Numb of ways to choose *a* elements out of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  is  $\binom{n}{a}$ . Two ways to create a set with a diff repeated:

### Way One:

- Pick x < y. There are  $\binom{n}{2} \le n^2$  ways to do that.
- ▶ Pick diff d such that  $x + d \neq y$ ,  $x + d \leq n$ ,  $y + d \leq n$ . Can do  $\leq n$  ways. Put x, y, x + d, y + d into A.

▶ Pick a - 4 more elements out of the n - 4 left.

If a RAND  $A \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , size *a*, want bound on prob all of the diffs in *A* are NOT distinct. Numb of ways to choose *a* elements out of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  is  $\binom{n}{a}$ . Two ways to create a set with a diff repeated:

### Way One:

- Pick x < y. There are  $\binom{n}{2} \le n^2$  ways to do that.
- ▶ Pick diff d such that  $x + d \neq y$ ,  $x + d \leq n$ ,  $y + d \leq n$ . Can do  $\leq n$  ways. Put x, y, x + d, y + d into A.

▶ Pick a - 4 more elements out of the n - 4 left.

Number of ways to do this is  $\leq n^3 \times \binom{n-4}{a-4}$ .

If a RAND  $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ , size *a*, want bound on prob all of the diffs in *A* are NOT distinct. Numb of ways to choose *a* elements out of  $\{1, ..., n\}$  is  $\binom{n}{a}$ . Two ways to create a set with a diff repeated:

Way One:

- Pick x < y. There are  $\binom{n}{2} \le n^2$  ways to do that.
- ▶ Pick diff d such that  $x + d \neq y$ ,  $x + d \leq n$ ,  $y + d \leq n$ . Can do  $\leq n$  ways. Put x, y, x + d, y + d into A.

▶ Pick a - 4 more elements out of the n - 4 left.

Number of ways to do this is  $\leq n^3 \times \binom{n-4}{a-4}$ . **Way Two:** Pick x < y. Let d = y - x (so we do NOT pick d). Put x, y = x + d, y + d into A. Pick a - 3 more elements out of the n - 3 left.

If a RAND  $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ , size *a*, want bound on prob all of the diffs in *A* are NOT distinct. Numb of ways to choose *a* elements out of  $\{1, ..., n\}$  is  $\binom{n}{a}$ . Two ways to create a set with a diff repeated:

Way One:

- Pick x < y. There are  $\binom{n}{2} \le n^2$  ways to do that.
- ▶ Pick diff d such that  $x + d \neq y$ ,  $x + d \leq n$ ,  $y + d \leq n$ . Can do  $\leq n$  ways. Put x, y, x + d, y + d into A.

Pick a - 4 more elements out of the n - 4 left.

Number of ways to do this is  $\leq n^3 \times \binom{n-4}{a-4}$ . **Way Two:** Pick x < y. Let d = y - x (so we do NOT pick d). Put x, y = x + d, y + d into A. Pick a - 3 more elements out of the n - 3 left.

Number of ways to do this is  $\leq n^2 \times \binom{n-3}{a-3}$ .

If you pick a RANDOM  $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  of size *a* then a bound on the probability that all of the diffs in *A* are NOT distinct is

$$\frac{n^3 \times \binom{n-4}{a-4} + n^2 \times \binom{n-3}{a-3}}{\binom{n}{a}} = \frac{n^3 \times \binom{n-4}{a-4}}{\binom{n}{a}} + \frac{n^2 \times \binom{n-3}{a-3}}{\binom{n}{a}}$$

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

If you pick a RANDOM  $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  of size *a* then a bound on the probability that all of the diffs in *A* are NOT distinct is

$$\frac{n^3 \times \binom{n-4}{a-4} + n^2 \times \binom{n-3}{a-3}}{\binom{n}{a}} = \frac{n^3 \times \binom{n-4}{a-4}}{\binom{n}{a}} + \frac{n^2 \times \binom{n-3}{a-3}}{\binom{n}{a}}$$
$$= \frac{n^3 a(a-1)(a-2)(a-3)}{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)} + \frac{n^2 a(a-1)(a-2)}{n(n-1)(n-2)}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ | 目 | のへの

If you pick a RANDOM  $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  of size *a* then a bound on the probability that all of the diffs in *A* are NOT distinct is

$$\frac{n^{3} \times \binom{n-4}{a-4} + n^{2} \times \binom{n-3}{a-3}}{\binom{n}{a}} = \frac{n^{3} \times \binom{n-4}{a-4}}{\binom{n}{a}} + \frac{n^{2} \times \binom{n-3}{a-3}}{\binom{n}{a}}$$
$$= \frac{n^{3}a(a-1)(a-2)(a-3)}{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)} + \frac{n^{2}a(a-1)(a-2)}{n(n-1)(n-2)}$$
$$\leq \frac{32a^{4}}{n} \text{ Need some Elem Algebra and uses } n \geq 5.$$

**RECAP:** If pick a RANDOM  $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  then the prob that there IS a repeated difference is  $\leq \frac{32a^4}{n}$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへで

**RECAP:** If pick a RANDOM  $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  then the prob that there IS a repeated difference is  $\leq \frac{32a^4}{n}$ . So WANT

$$\frac{32a^4}{n} < 1$$

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

**RECAP:** If pick a RANDOM  $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  then the prob that there IS a repeated difference is  $\leq \frac{32a^4}{n}$ . So WANT

$$\frac{32a^4}{n} < 1$$

Take

$$a = \left(\frac{n}{33}\right)^{1/4}.$$

**RECAP:** If pick a RANDOM  $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  then the prob that there IS a repeated difference is  $\leq \frac{32a^4}{n}$ . So WANT

$$\frac{32a^4}{n} < 1$$

#### Take

$$a = \left(\frac{n}{33}\right)^{1/4}$$

**UPSHOT:** For all  $n \ge 5$  there exists a all-diff-distinct subset of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  of size roughly  $n^{1/4}$ .

We proved an object existed by showing that the Prob that it exists is **nonzero!**.

We proved an object existed by showing that the Prob that it exists is **nonzero**!.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへで

Is the proof constructive?

We proved an object existed by showing that the Prob that it exists is **nonzero!**.

Is the proof constructive?

Old view: proof is nonconstructive since it does not say how to obtain the object.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → ヨ → の Q @

We proved an object existed by showing that the Prob that it exists is **nonzero**!.

Is the proof constructive?

Old view: proof is nonconstructive since it does not say how to obtain the object.

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

New view: proof is constructive since can DO the random experiment and will probably get what you want.

We proved an object existed by showing that the Prob that it exists is **nonzero**!.

Is the proof constructive?

- Old view: proof is nonconstructive since it does not say how to obtain the object.
- New view: proof is constructive since can DO the random experiment and will probably get what you want.
- Caveat: Evan Golub's PhD thesis took some prob constructions and showed how to make them really work. I was his advisor.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

We proved an object existed by showing that the Prob that it exists is **nonzero**!.

Is the proof constructive?

- Old view: proof is nonconstructive since it does not say how to obtain the object.
- New view: proof is constructive since can DO the random experiment and will probably get what you want.
- Caveat: Evan Golub's PhD thesis took some prob constructions and showed how to make them really work. I was his advisor.
- Caveat: If the Prob Proof has high prob of getting the object, then seems constructive. If all you prove is nonzero, than maybe not.

### Actually Can Do Better

- With a maximal set argument can do  $\Omega(n^{1/3})$ .
- Better is known: Ω(n<sup>1/2</sup>) which is optimal. (That is a result by Kolmos-Sulyok-Szemeredi from 1975)

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・