

# Randomized Algorithms

## Randomized Algorithms

What does it mean for a value to be randomly selected?

How can we make use of randomness?

### Monte Carlo Algorithms

- Don't always give the correct answer.
- The runtime can be described consistently.

### Las Vegas Algorithms

- They always give the correct answers.
- Their runtime is not consistent.

## Random Median Finding #1

### Algorithm

- Select a value at random, call it  $p$ .
- Partition the list around  $p$ .
- See if it was the median (same number in each side of the partitioning).
- If it is, great. If it wasn't, oh well, try again...

Question #1: Does this work?

Question #2: Is it a good algorithm?

## Random Median Finding #2

### Algorithm

- Select a value at random, call it  $p$ .
- Partition around  $p$ .
- See if it was the median (same number in each side of the partitioning).
- If it wasn't, then we have still found the  $x^{\text{th}}$  smallest value in the list (the value of  $x$  will be based on the size of the partitions).
  - If  $x$  is “before” the median, take the right side and find the  $(n/2-x)^{\text{th}}$  smallest.
  - Otherwise, take the “left” side and find the  $(n/2)^{\text{th}}$  smallest.

Note: If this ends up being a good idea, we'd end up coding general selection.

Question #1: Does this work?

Question #2: Is it a good algorithm?

## ?? Compute the Runtime ??

How do we analyze the runtime of something like this?

Partitioning takes  $n-1$  comparisons (and also the generation of a random number).

The recursion may or may not be needed, and we don't know exactly how many values will be passed into that recursion.

$$T(n) = (n-1) + T(???)$$

The best case is easy, we find it on the first shot and it's  $n-1$  comparisons.

What about worst case and average case?

## Worst? Average?

In the worst-case scenario, we let the randomly selected value be the min or max.

$$T(n) = (n-1) + T(n-1)$$

To work out the average runtime we can think about expected values; do a weighted average of all possible splits around a selected pivot...

## Expected Running Time

We will assume unique values in the list.

We'll round things and say the partitioning takes  $n$  comparisons.

We will look at “worst” expected runtime.

We'll compute assuming we have to look in the larger of the two sub-lists (which is true for median finding).

We won't worry about floor/ceiling issues in this initial exploration.

$$T(n) \leq n + \frac{\sum_{x=1}^n T(\max(x-1, n-x))}{n}$$