## **Parallel Networks and File Systems**



AN

### **Abhinav Bhatele, Alan Sussman**



### **Introduction to Parallel Computing (CMSC416 / CMSC616)**



### **Announcements**

- Assignment 4's due date has been extended to Nov 7
- Assignment 5 (only required for 616 students) will be posted on Nov 7
	- Due on Nov 21 11:59 pm ET
- 1st extra credit:
	- Undergrad sections (416) do CUDA Video Effects: due on Nov 21
	- Grad sections (616) do CUDA Game of Life: due on Dec 9
- 
- Extra credit assignments do not have an automatic due date extension policy



• 2nd extra credit will be announced after thanksgiving break and will be due on Dec 9



## **High-speed interconnection networks**

- bandwidth networks
- The connections between nodes form different topologies
- Popular topologies:
	- Fat-tree: Charles Leiserson in 1985
	- Mesh and torus networks
	- **Dragonfly networks**



• Typically supercomputers and HPC clusters are connected by low latency and high







### **Network components**

- Network interface controller or card
- Router or switch
- Network cables: copper or optical





### **Definitions**

- and destination switch
- the network.
	- Longest shortest path between any switch-pair
	- Gives an idea of the worst case latency on a network
- Radix: number of ports on a router



### • Network hops/Distance: Number of links a message must travel between the source

### • Network diameter: length of the shortest path between the most distant switches on



- Each switch has a small number of nodes connected to it (often one or two)
- Each switch has direct links to 2n switches where n is the number of dimensions
- Torus = mesh + wraparound links
- Examples: IBM Blue Gene, Cray X<sup>\*</sup> machines





### **N-dimensional mesh / torus networks**



### **Network properties of mesh/torus**

- Let's say the number of switches is s, and number of nodes per switch is a small constant, c
- Diameter of 1-D mesh: *s* − 1
- Diameter of I-D torus: *s* 2
- Diameter of d-dimensional mesh: (
- Diameter of d-dimensional torus: [
- Maximum number of nodes: *c* × *s*



⌋

*d*



*d*

*s*

$$
\frac{1}{2} \times d
$$



- Router radix  $= k$ , Number of nodes on each router  $= k/2$
- A pod is a group of k/2 switches (at each level), Max. number of pods = k





- Router radix  $= k$ , Number of nodes on each router  $= k/2$
- A pod is a group of k/2 switches (at each level), Max. number of pods = k







- Router radix  $= k$ , Number of nodes on each router  $= k/2$
- A pod is a group of k/2 switches (at each level), Max. number of pods = k







- Router radix  $= k$ , Number of nodes on each router  $= k/2$
- A pod is a group of k/2 switches (at each level), Max. number of pods = k







- Router radix  $= k$ , Number of nodes on each router  $= k/2$
- A pod is a group of k/2 switches (at each level), Max. number of pods = k







- Router radix  $= k$ , Number of nodes on each router  $= k/2$
- A pod is a group of  $k/2$  switches (at each level), Max. number of pods =  $k$







- Router radix  $= k$ , Number of nodes on each router  $= k/2$
- A pod is a group of  $k/2$  switches (at each level), Max. number of pods =  $k$







- Router radix  $= k$ , Number of nodes on each router  $= k/2$
- A pod is a group of  $k/2$  switches (at each level), Max. number of pods =  $k$







- Router radix  $= k$ , Number of nodes on each router  $= k/2$
- A pod is a group of  $k/2$  switches (at each level), Max. number of pods =  $k$







### **Network properties of fat-tree**

- Let's say the number of switches is s, and router radix is k
- Diameter of 2-level fat-tree: 2
- Diameter of 3-level fat-tree: 4
- Diameter of a l-level fat-tree: (*l* − 1) × 2
- Maximum number of nodes: *k* × *k* 2 ×



*k*

2



### **Dragonfly network**

- Two-level hierarchical network using high-radix routers
- Low network diameter







Abhinav Bhatele (CMSC416 / CMSC616)  $F_{\text{A} \text{b} \text{h}}$  all  $F_{\text{A} \text{b} \text{h}}$  and  $F_{\text{B} \text{b} \text{b}}$  (connections or  $F_{\text{B} \text{b}}$  for  $F_{\text{A} \text{b}}$  from  $F_{\text{B} \text{b}}$  for  $F_{$  $NCE$  and  $NSE$  to keep the diagram simple shown to all  $NSE$  supernodes supernodes across

### **Network properties of dragonfly**

- Diameter of dragonfly with all-to-all connections within supernode: 3
- 



### • Diameter of dragonfly with row-column all-to-all connections within supernode: 5



### **Life-cycle of a message**





Message origin points : destination, frequency, size, etc. determined by application 1 micro sec - 10s of sec



## **Life-cycle of a message**





destination, frequency, size, etc. determined by application 1 micro sec - 10s of sec



## **Life-cycle of a message**



Message origin points : destination, frequency, size, etc. determined by application 1 micro sec - 10s of sec





![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

## **Life-cycle of a message**

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Sharing refers to network flows of different programs using the same hardware resources: links, switches
- When multiple programs communicate on the network, they all suffer from congestion on shared links

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_8.jpeg)

- Sharing refers to network flows of different programs using the same hardware resources: links, switches
- When multiple programs communicate on the network, they all suffer from congestion on shared links

![](_page_25_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Sharing refers to network flows of different programs using the same hardware resources: links, switches
- When multiple programs communicate on the network, they all suffer from congestion on shared links

![](_page_26_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Sharing refers to network flows of different programs using the same hardware resources: links, switches
- When multiple programs communicate on the network, they all suffer from congestion on shared links

![](_page_27_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Sharing refers to network flows of different programs using the same hardware resources: links, switches
- When multiple programs communicate on the network, they all suffer from congestion on shared links

![](_page_28_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Sharing refers to network flows of different programs using the same hardware resources: links, switches
- When multiple programs communicate on the network, they all suffer from congestion on shared links

![](_page_29_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_4.jpeg)

## **Routing algorithm**

- Decides how a packet is routed between a source and destination switch
- Static routing: each router is pre-programmed with a routing table
	- Can change it at boot time
- Dynamic routing: routing can change at runtime
- Adaptive routing: adapts to network congestion

![](_page_30_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_12.jpeg)

### **Performance variability**

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

*Performance of control jobs running the same executable and input varies as they are run from day-to-day on 128 nodes of Cori in 2018-2019*

Bhatele et al. The case of performance variability on dragonfly-based systems, IPDPS 2020

![](_page_31_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Performance variability due to congestion**

### • No variability in computation time

- All of the variability can be attributed to communication performance
- Factors:
	- Placement of jobs
	- Contention for network resources

Bhatele et al. <u>http://www.cs.umd.edu/~bhatele/pubs/pdf/2013/sc2013a.pdf</u>

![](_page_32_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_10.jpeg)

### **Impact of other jobs**

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_8.jpeg)

April 11 April 16

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

### April 11 April 16 MILC job in green  $\sqrt{25\%}$  higher messaging rate

### **Impact of other jobs**

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Announcements**

### • Quiz 3 will be posted this week

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Different approaches to mitigating congestion**

- Network topology aware node allocation
- Congestion or network flow aware adaptive routing
- nodes

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

• Within a job: network topology aware mapping of processes or chares to allocated

![](_page_36_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **AFAR: adaptive flow aware routing**

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_3.jpeg)

- 1. Calculate current load (network traffic) on all links in system
- 2. Find link with maximum load
- 3. If maximum > threshold, re-route one flow crossing that link to an underutilized link
- 4. Repeat from 1. using new routing

![](_page_47_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_11.jpeg)

- 1. Calculate current load (network traffic) on all links in system
- 2. Find link with maximum load
- 3. If maximum > threshold, re-route one flow crossing that link to an underutilized link
- 4. Repeat from 1. using new routing

![](_page_48_Picture_7.jpeg)

- 1. Calculate current load (network traffic) on all links in system
- 2. Find link with maximum load
- 3. If maximum > threshold, re-route one flow crossing that link to an underutilized link
- 4. Repeat from 1. using new routing

![](_page_49_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_11.jpeg)

- 1. Calculate current load (network traffic) on all links in system
- 2. Find link with maximum load
- 3. If maximum > threshold, re-route one flow crossing that link to an underutilized link
- 4. Repeat from 1. using new routing

![](_page_50_Picture_7.jpeg)

- 1. Calculate current load (network traffic) on all links in system
- 2. Find link with maximum load
- 3. If maximum > threshold, re-route one flow crossing that link to an underutilized link
- 4. Repeat from 1. using new routing

![](_page_51_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_11.jpeg)

- 1. Calculate current load (network traffic) on all links in system
- 2. Find link with maximum load
- 3. If maximum > threshold, re-route one flow crossing that link to an underutilized link
- 4. Repeat from 1. using new routing

![](_page_52_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_11.jpeg)

- 1. Calculate current load (network traffic) on all links in system
- 2. Find link with maximum load
- 3. If maximum > threshold, re-route one flow crossing that link to an underutilized link
- 4. Repeat from 1. using new routing

![](_page_53_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_11.jpeg)

- 1. Calculate current load (network traffic) on all links in system
- 2. Find link with maximum load
- 3. If maximum > threshold, re-route one flow crossing that link to an underutilized link
- 4. Repeat from 1. using new routing

![](_page_54_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_11.jpeg)

## **Topology-aware mapping**

- Within a job allocation, map processes to nodes intelligently
- Inputs: application communication graph, machine topology
- Graph embedding problem (NP-hard)
- Many heuristics to come up with a solution
- Can be done within a load balancing strategy

![](_page_55_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_9.jpeg)

### **When do parallel programs perform I/O?**

- Reading input datasets
- Writing numerical output
- Writing checkpoints

![](_page_56_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Non-parallel I/O**

- Designated process does I/O
- All processes send data to/receive data from that one process
- Not scalable

![](_page_57_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_8.jpeg)

- Home directories and scratch space are typically on a parallel file system
- Mounted on all login and compute nodes
- Also referred to as I/O sub-system

## **Parallel filesystem**

![](_page_58_Picture_10.jpeg)

http://wiki.lustre.org/Introduction\_to\_Lustre

![](_page_58_Picture_5.jpeg)

### **Parallel filesystem**

26

Compute Cluster

![](_page_59_Figure_7.jpeg)

MDS = Metadata Server MDT = Metadata Target OSS = Object Storage Server OST = Object Storage Target

![](_page_59_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Links between cluster and filesystem**

![](_page_60_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Different parallel filesystems**

- Lustre: open-source ([lustre.org](http://lustre.org))
- BeeGFS: community supported (beegfs.io)
	- Commercial support too
- GPFS: General Parallel File System from IBM, now called Spectrum Scale
- PVFS: Parallel Virtual File System

![](_page_61_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_11.jpeg)

## **How do parallel filesystems help?**

- Improve I/O bandwidth by spreading reads/writes across multiple OSTs (disks), even for single files
- Files can be striped within and across multiple I/O servers (OSSs)
- Each client (compute node) runs an I/O daemon to interact with the parallel filesystem mounted on it
- MDS serves file metadata (ownership, permissions), and inode/directory updates

![](_page_62_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_8.jpeg)

### • Use robotic arms to access the right tape: [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d-](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d-eWDuEo-3Q)

### **Tape drive**

- Store data on magnetic tapes
- Used for archiving data
- [eWDuEo-3Q](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d-eWDuEo-3Q)

![](_page_63_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Burst buffer**

### • Fast, intermediate storage between compute nodes and the parallel filesystem

- 
- Slower, but higher capacity, than on-node memory (DRAM)
- Faster, but lower capacity, than disk storage on parallel file system
- Two designs:
	- Node-local burst buffer
	- Remote (shared) burst buffer

![](_page_64_Picture_8.jpeg)

• Typically some form of non-volatile (NVM) memory, for persistence, high capacity, and speed (reads and writes)

![](_page_64_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **Burst buffer use cases**

- Storing checkpoint data
- Prefetching input data
- Workflows that couple simulations to analysis/visualization tasks

![](_page_65_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_57.jpeg)

### **I/O libraries**

### • High-level libraries: HDF5, NetCDF

- Both libraries and file formats for n-dimensional
- Middleware: MPI-IO
	- Support for POSIX like I/O in MPI for parallel I/C
- Low-level: POSIX IO
	- Standard Unix/Linux I/O interface

![](_page_66_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_12.jpeg)

## **Different I/O patterns**

- One process reading/writing all the data
- Multiple processes reading/writing data from/to shared file
- Multiple processes reading/writing data from/to different files
- Performance depends upon number of readers/writers (how many processes/threads etc.), file sizes, filesystem etc.

![](_page_67_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Picture_11.jpeg)

# **I/O profiling tools**

![](_page_68_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Lightweight profiling tool from Argonne National Laboratory
- Recorder
	- Research tool from UIUC
	- Tracing framework for capturing I/O activity
	- Provides support for different I/O libraries: HDF5, MPI-IO, POSIX I/O

![](_page_68_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_1.jpeg)

# UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND