

LOWER BOUNDS FOR SOME RAMSEY NUMBERS

H. L. ABBOTT

Department of Mathematics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

Received 12 November 1971 *

Abstract. The symbol $n \rightarrow (u)_k$ means that if the edges of a complete graph on n vertices are colored arbitrarily in k colors then there results a complete subgraph on u vertices, all of whose edges have the same color. $n \nrightarrow (u)_k$ is the negation of $n \rightarrow (u)_k$. Various results of the form $n \nrightarrow (u)_k$ are proved by constructive arguments. The main one is that $n \nrightarrow (n^\alpha)_2$ for some $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$.

If $n \geq 2$ is an integer, the symbol $\langle n \rangle$ will denote the complete graph on n vertices. We sometimes use the symbol $\langle n \rangle$ even when n is not an integer. It is then to be interpreted as $\langle [n] \rangle$. If k is a positive integer and if $u \geq 2$, then

$$(1) \quad n \rightarrow (u)_k,$$

means that if the edges of an $\langle n \rangle$ are colored arbitrarily in k colors there results a $\langle u \rangle$ all of whose edges have the same color. It follows from Ramsey's Theorem [10] that if u and k are given, (1) holds for all sufficiently large n . $n \nrightarrow (u)_k$ will mean the negation of (1).

It is known [4, 6] that

$$n \rightarrow \left(\frac{\log n}{2 \log 2} \right)_2,$$

and that

* Original version received 2 June 1971.

$$(2) \quad n \not\rightarrow \left(\frac{2 \log n}{\log 2} \right)_2.$$

It is also known that (see, for example [6] or [9])

$$(3) \quad n \rightarrow \left(\frac{c \log n}{k \log k} \right)_k,$$

where c is a positive absolute constant* and in [7] it is remarked that the arguments used in [4], to prove (2) can be used to prove

$$(4) \quad n \not\rightarrow \left(\frac{c \log n}{\log k} \right)_k.$$

In [1] it was shown that

$$(5) \quad p \not\rightarrow (u) \text{ and } q \not\rightarrow (u)_t \text{ implies } pq \not\rightarrow (u)_{s+t},$$

and it was deduced from (2) and (5) that

$$n \not\rightarrow \left(\frac{c \log n}{k} \right)_k,$$

which is superior to (4).

The proof of (2) makes use of probabilistic arguments. In [5], Erdős remarks that it would be very desirable to have a constructive proof of (2) but points out that he is not able to give a constructive proof of the much weaker result

$$(6) \quad n \not\rightarrow (\epsilon n^{\frac{1}{2}})_2,$$

for every $\epsilon > 0$, $n \geq n_0(\epsilon)$. We remark that $n \not\rightarrow (c n^{\frac{1}{2}})_2$, and more generally $n \not\rightarrow (c n^{1/k})_k$, have been established (see for example, [2] or [8]).

* We use the letter c to denote positive absolute constants. The numerical value of c will not necessarily be the same at each occurrence. For example, in (3) one can take $c = 1$ and in (4) one can take $c = 2$.

In this note we prove, by constructive arguments, the following result which is stronger than (6).

Theorem. *Let $\alpha = \log 2/\log 5$. Then*

$$(7) \quad n \not\rightarrow (cn^\alpha)_2.$$

Before proving the theorem we shall need to prove the following lemma:

Lemma. *If $p \not\rightarrow (s)_k$ and $q \not\rightarrow (t)_k$, then $pq \not\rightarrow (st - s - t + 2)_k$.*

Proof. Let $\langle p \rangle$ have vertices V_1, V_2, \dots, V_p , and color the edges of $\langle p \rangle$ in k colors C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k in such a way that there does not result a monochromatic $\langle s \rangle$. Similarly, let $\langle q \rangle$ have vertices U_1, U_2, \dots, U_q and color the edges of $\langle q \rangle$ in the colors C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k without getting a monochromatic $\langle t \rangle$. Let $\langle pq \rangle$ have vertices $W_{ij}, i = 1, 2, \dots, p, j = 1, 2, \dots, q$. Color the edges of $\langle pq \rangle$ as follows: Let e be the edge joining W_{ij} and W_{lm} . If $i = l$, color e the same as the edge joining U_j and U_m in $\langle q \rangle$. If $i \neq l$, color e the same as the edge joining V_i and V_l in $\langle p \rangle$. Let $u = st - s - t + 2$ and let $\langle u \rangle$ be any complete subgraph of $\langle pq \rangle$. We need to show that $\langle u \rangle$ is not monochromatic. Suppose that it is, and suppose all of its edges are colored C_1 , say. We distinguish two cases:

Case 1. There are at least s distinct values of i such that W_{ij} is a vertex of $\langle u \rangle$. Then it is clear that, according to our coloring scheme, $\langle p \rangle$ contains a monochromatic $\langle s \rangle$, and this is a contradiction.

Case 2. There are at most $s - 1$ distinct values of i such that W_{ij} is a vertex of $\langle u \rangle$. Then there must be at least t distinct values of j , say j_1, j_2, \dots, j_t and a number i such that $W_{ij_1}, W_{ij_2}, \dots, W_{ij_t}$ are vertices of $\langle u \rangle$. (Otherwise we would have that the number of vertices of $\langle u \rangle$ is at most $(s - 1)(t - 1) = st - s - t + 1 < u$.) This clearly means, by our coloring scheme, that the points $U_{j_1}, U_{j_2}, \dots, U_{j_t}$ are the vertices of a monochromatic $\langle t \rangle$ in $\langle q \rangle$. This is a contradiction. Hence our lemma is proved.

Proof of the Theorem. It is well known and easy to verify (see, for example, [9]) that

$$(8) \quad 5 \nrightarrow (3)_2.$$

It follows from (8) and the lemma, by an easy induction argument, that for all positive integers l ,

$$(9) \quad 5^l \nrightarrow (2^l + 1)_2.$$

Let $n \geq 5$ be given and let l be the integer defined by

$$(10) \quad 5^{l-1} < n \leq 5^l.$$

Then (9) and (10) imply

$$(11) \quad n \nrightarrow (2^l + 1)_2.$$

It now follows easily from (10) and (11) that (7) holds. Hence our theorem is proved.

We conclude with the following remarks:

Remark 1. The value $\alpha = \log 2/\log 5$ can be replaced by a smaller value simply by taking as a starting point something different from (8). For example, the known result $17 \nrightarrow (4)_2$ gives the value $\alpha = \log 3/\log 17$.

Remark 2. The lemma can be used to obtain results of the form $n \rightarrow (cn^\alpha)_k$ for values of $k \geq 3$. For example, the known result $16 \nrightarrow (3)_3$ leads to $n \nrightarrow (cn^{\frac{1}{2}})_3$. For large values of k , the best result that we have been able to obtain is the following: Let α be any constant satisfying $\alpha > \log 16/\log 89$. Then there is a $k_0 = k_0(\alpha)$ such that if $k \geq k_0$ then $n \nrightarrow (n^{\alpha/k})_k$ for all sufficiently large n . This result is obtained from our lemma and the results in [3].

References

- [1] H. L. Abbott, A note on Ramsey's theorem, *Can. Math. Bull.* 15 (1972) 9-11.
- [2] H. L. Abbott and D. Hanson, On a problem of Schur and its generalizations. *Acta Arith.* (Warsaw), to appear.

- [3] H. L. Abbott and L. Moser, Sum-free sets of integers, *Acta Arith. (Warsaw)* 11 (1966) 393–396.
- [4] P. Erdős, Some remarks on the theory of graphs, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* 53 (1947) 292–294.
- [5] P. Erdős, Problems and results in chromatic graph theory, in: F. Harary, ed., *Proof techniques in graph theory* (Academic Press, London, 1969) 27–35.
- [6] P. Erdős and G. Szekeres, A combinatorial problem in geometry, *Comp. Math.* 2 (1935) 463–470.
- [7] P. Erdős and E. Szemerédi, A Ramsey type theorem, to appear.
- [8] G. Giraud, Minoration de certains nombres de Ramsey binaires par les nombres de Schur généralisés, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 266 (1968).
- [9] R. E. Greenwood and A. M. Gleason, Combinatorial relations and chromatic graphs, *Can. J. Math.* 7 (1955) 1–17.
- [10] F. P. Ramsey, On a problem in formal logic, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 30 (1930) 264–286.