



ILLiad TN: 275061

Journal Title: Combinatorica

Volume: 1

Issue:

Month/Year: 1981

Pages: 357-368

Article Author: Frankl and Wilson

Article Title: Intersection theorems with
geometric
consequences

Imprint:

Call #: EPSL Periodical Stacks QA164
.C662

Location:

Item #:

CUSTOMER HAS REQUESTED:

Mail to Address

William Gasarch (000000350541)
College Park, MD 20742

11 pages
OB

INTERSECTION THEOREMS WITH GEOMETRIC CONSEQUENCES

P. FRANKL

C. N. R. S., 54 Bd. Raspail
Paris 75 006, France
and

R. M. WILSON

California Inst. Technology
Pasadena, Calif. 91 125, U.S.A.

Received 26 August 1980

In this paper we prove that if \mathcal{F} is a family of k -subsets of an n -set, $\mu_0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_s$ are distinct residues mod p (p is a prime) such that $k \equiv \mu_0 \pmod{p}$ and for $F \neq F' \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $|F \cap F'| \equiv \mu_i \pmod{p}$ for some i , $1 \leq i \leq s$, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{s}$.

As a consequence we show that if \mathbf{R}^n is covered by m sets with $m < (1 + o(1))(1.2)^n$ then there is one set within which all the distances are realised.

It is left open whether the same conclusion holds for composite p .

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of k -element subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and suppose that $L = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ is a subset of $\{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$.

Let us further suppose that for $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$ we have

$$(1) \quad |F \cap F'| \in L.$$

Ray-Chaudhuri and Wilson [18] proved that (1) implies

$$(2) \quad |\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{s}.$$

Deza, Erdős and Frankl [2] proved that for $n > n_0(k)$, (2) can be improved to

$$(3) \quad |\mathcal{F}| \leq \prod_{i=1}^s \frac{n-l_i}{k-l_i}.$$

In this paper we prove

Theorem 1. *Suppose $\mu_0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_s$ are distinct residues modulo a prime p , such that*

$$(4) \quad |F| = k \equiv \mu_0 \pmod{p},$$

and for any two distinct $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$

$$(5) \quad |F \cap F'| \equiv \mu_i \pmod{p} \text{ for some } i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s.$$

Then

$$(6) \quad |\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{s}.$$

Clearly Theorem 1 generalizes (2). It would be interesting to know whether it holds for composite p as well. In this direction, we prove only

Theorem 2. Let q be a prime power. Suppose that for $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$ we have

$$(7) \quad |F \cap F'| \not\equiv k \pmod{q}.$$

Then

$$(8) \quad |\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{q-1}.$$

Let \mathbf{R}^n denote n -dimensional Euclidean space. Let us construct a graph on \mathbf{R}^n by connecting two points if and only if their distance is 1. Let $c(\mathbf{R}^n)$ denote the chromatic number of this graph. The exact value of $c(\mathbf{R}^n)$ seems to be hard to determine. It is known that $4 \leq c(\mathbf{R}^2) \leq 7$. Erdős conjectured that $c(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is exponential in n . We prove this conjecture in

Theorem 3.

$$(9) \quad c(\mathbf{R}^n) \geq (1 + o(1))(1.2)^n.$$

Let $m(n)$ be the minimum integer m such that \mathbf{R}^n can be partitioned into m sets X_1, \dots, X_m such that for $1 \leq i \leq m$, there is a real number r_i with the property that $d(x, y) \neq r_i$ for all $x, y \in X_i$ ($d(x, y)$ denotes the Euclidean distance, i.e., the length of $x - y$).

This problem was first considered by Hadwiger [13, 14] in 1944 and 1945. Raiskii [17] proved $m(n) \geq n + 2$. This bound was improved by Larman, Rogers [16], then by Larman [15], and again later by Frankl [8]. However none of the lower bounds is exponential. Larman, Rogers [16] proved that

$$(10) \quad m(n) \leq (3 + o(1))^n,$$

and they conjectured that $m(n)$ is exponential in n . Here we prove this conjecture.

Theorem 4.

$$(11) \quad m(n) \geq (1 + o(1))(1.2)^n.$$

The statement of Theorem 4 will follow from the proof of Theorem 3 using Theorem 2 of Larman, Rogers [16] which states the following:

If s is a set of M points in \mathbf{R}^n with critical distance 1 and critical number D (i.e., every subset of s of cardinality exceeding D contains 2 points at distance 1), then

$$(12) \quad m(n) \geq M/D.$$

We prove as well a modification (Conjecture 2 of Larman, Rogers [16]):

Theorem 5.

with

$$y_j^{(i)} = \pm 1 \quad j$$

is zero. Th

$$(13)$$

Let l

Let E be a l
supremum c
tain two poi
Let $s(n)$ d
 $\cup \{y \in B: y_i <$

$$(14)$$

We prove

Theorem 6.

$$(15)$$

For n
of an n -set su
that for $n \geq n$,

$$(16)$$

Here (

while $\binom{n}{l} / \binom{k}{l}$
all the interse
Frankl

$$(17)$$

Here we prove

Theorem 7. If
(a) $k \geq$

$$(18)$$

Theorem 5. Let T be a set of m vectors in \mathbf{R}^n

$$\mathbf{y}^{(i)} = (y_1^{(i)}, y_2^{(i)}, \dots, y_n^{(i)}); \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

with

$$y_j^{(i)} = \pm 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, m;$$

$y_j^{(i)} = \pm 1$ for $\frac{n}{2}$ values of $1 \leq j \leq n$, such that none of the scalar products $\langle \mathbf{y}^{(i)}, \mathbf{y}^{(j)} \rangle$ is zero. Then for $n=4p^\alpha$ (p prime, $\alpha \geq 1$) we have

$$(13) \quad m \leq 2 \binom{n-1}{\frac{n}{4}-1} \cong (1+o(1))2^n/(1.13)^n.$$

Let B denote the boundary of the unit sphere in \mathbf{R}^n centered at the origin. Let E be a measurable subset of B . H. S. Witsenhausen asked for the value of the supremum of the ratio of the measures of E and B , assuming that E does not contain two points A_1, A_2 which subtend an angle of 90° with the center of the sphere. Let $s(n)$ denote this supremum. Choosing $E_0 = \{y \in B: y_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, n\} \cup \{y \in B: y_i < 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ we see that

$$(14) \quad s(n) \geq 2^{-n+1}.$$

We prove

Theorem 6.

$$(15) \quad s(n) \leq (1+o(1))(1.13)^{-n}.$$

For $n > k > l \geq 0$, let $m(n, k, l)$ denote the maximum number of k -subsets of an n -set such that no two of them intersect in l -elements. Erdős [5] conjectured that for $n \geq n_0(k)$, $k \geq 4$, we have

$$(16) \quad m(n, k, l) \leq \max \left\{ \binom{n-l-1}{k-l-1}, \binom{n}{l} / \binom{k}{l} \right\}.$$

Here $\binom{n-l-1}{k-l-1}$ corresponds to all the k -subsets containing a fixed $(l+1)$ -set while $\binom{n}{l} / \binom{k}{l}$ would correspond to a (n, k, l) -Steiner system. In the first case all the intersections have cardinality greater than l , in the second smaller than l .

Frankl [8] proved that for $k \geq 3l+2$

$$(17) \quad m(n, k, l) \leq (1+o(1)) \binom{n-l-1}{k-l-1}.$$

Here we prove

Theorem 7. If $k-l$ is a power of a prime and

(a) $k \geq 2l+1$, then

$$(18) \quad m(n, k, l) = (1+o(1)) \binom{n-l-1}{k-l-1};$$

(b) $k < 2l + 1$, setting $d = 2l - k + 1$ we have

$$(19) \quad m(n, k, l) \cong \frac{\binom{n}{d}}{\binom{k}{d}} \binom{n-d}{l-d} = O\left(\binom{n}{l}\right).$$

Let $r(k)$ denote the minimum n such that every graph on n vertices contains either a complete or an empty subgraph on k vertices. Erdős [6] proved

$$(20) \quad r(k) > 2^{k/2}.$$

His proof is probabilistic and in [7] he asked for a constructive bound yielding $r(k) > k^t$ for every t for $k > k_0(t)$. Such a construction was given in [9].

Here we use Theorem 2 to give a more accurate construction, though still far from the bound (20) (see Theorem 8).

Let $f(n, k, 2)$ denote the maximum cardinality of a collection of $\binom{k}{2}$ -subsets of an $\binom{n}{2}$ -set such that all the pairwise intersections have for cardinality $\binom{i}{2}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$.

For $F \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ set $F(2) = \{\{x, y\} : x \neq y, x, y \in F\}$,

$$\mathcal{G} = \{F(2) : F \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, |F| = k\}.$$

Then \mathcal{G} shows that

$$(21) \quad f(n, k, 2) \cong \binom{n}{k}.$$

Frankl [10] conjectured that for $n > n_0(k)$, $k \geq 10$ we have equality in (21). Here we prove

Theorem 9. *If p is an odd prime then we have*

$$(22) \quad f(n, p, 2) \cong \frac{\binom{n}{2}}{\binom{p}{2}} \binom{\binom{n}{2}}{\binom{p-1}{2}}.$$

In [11] it is conjectured that if \mathcal{F} is a collection of 7-element subsets of an n -set such that all the pairwise intersections have cardinality 0, 2, 3, 5 or 6 then $|\mathcal{F}| = O(n^2)$. We prove

Theorem 10. *Let \mathcal{F} be a collection of 7-subsets of an n -set, such that for $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$ we have*

$$|F \cap F'| \in \{0, 2, 3, 5, 6\}.$$

Then

$$(25) \quad |\mathcal{F}| < \binom{n}{2}.$$

In
In partic
Theorem
subsets of

Then

Note that

Let
 i -subsets of

Let

(u, v) -entry

For

in $\mathbf{R}^{\binom{n}{k}}$. Let
we have

(23)

The followin

(24)

Conse

Let us

transpose of

entry is $\left(|A_i\right.$

linear combin

Let us

x we have

(25)

In the last paragraph we mention some possible extensions of Theorem 1. In particular we prove:

Theorem 11. *Suppose $0 \leq l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_s < n$ are integers and \mathcal{F} is a collection of subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that for $F \neq F' \in \mathcal{F}$ we have*

$$|F \cap F'| \in \{l_1, \dots, l_s\}.$$

Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{n}{i}.$$

Note that we do not assume anything about $|F|$.

2. The proof of Theorem 1

Let $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{\binom{n}{j}}$ be all the j -subsets and $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{\binom{n}{i}}$ be all the i -subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $j > i$.

Let us define the $\binom{n}{i}$ by $\binom{n}{j}$ matrix $N(i, j)$ in the following way: the (u, v) -entry is 1 if $B_u \subset A_v$ and 0 if $B_u \not\subset A_v$ for $1 \leq u \leq \binom{n}{i}$, $1 \leq v \leq \binom{n}{j}$.

For $i = s, j = k$ let the row-vectors be $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{\binom{n}{s}}$. They are all vectors in $\mathbf{R}^{\binom{n}{k}}$. Let V denote the vector space generated by the v_i 's, $1 \leq i \leq \binom{n}{s}$. Obviously we have

$$(23) \quad \dim V \leq \binom{n}{s}.$$

The following identity can be checked easily ($0 \leq i < s$)

$$(24) \quad N(i, s)N(s, k) = \binom{k-i}{s-i} N(i, k).$$

Consequently, for $0 \leq i < s$, the row vectors of $N(i, k)$ are contained in V .

Let us count the product $N(i, k)^T N(i, k) = M(i, k)$, where N^T denotes the transpose of N . Of course $M(i, k)$ is an $\binom{n}{i}$ by $\binom{n}{k}$ matrix in which the (u, v) ,

entry is $\binom{|A_u \cap A_v|}{i}$ for $1 \leq u, v \leq \binom{n}{k}$. Moreover the row-vectors of $M(i, k)$ are linear combinations of the rows of $N(i, k)$, and consequently they are contained in V .

Let us choose $0 \leq a_i < p$ for $0 \leq i \leq s_0$ in such a way that for every integer x we have

$$(25) \quad \prod_{i=1}^s (x - \mu_i) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^s a_i \binom{x}{i} \pmod{p}.$$

Let us set $M = \sum_{i=1}^s a_i M(i, k)$, where the addition is to be done componentwise, i.e., in position (u, v) of M we have

$$(26) \quad M(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^s a_i \binom{|A_u \cap A_v|}{i}.$$

By the definition of M the row-vectors of M are in V , and consequently (23) gives:

$$(27) \quad \text{rank } M \cong \dim V \cong \binom{n}{s}.$$

Now let $M(\mathcal{F})$ be the minor spanned by the elements $m(u, v)$ for which $A_u, A_v \in \mathcal{F}$.

The assumptions of the theorem and (25) and (26) yield that for $A_u, A_v \in \mathcal{F}$, $u \neq v$, we have

$$m(u, v) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

and

$$m(u, u) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Consequently the determinant of $M(\mathcal{F})$ is not congruent to 0 modulo p , whence $\det M(\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$. Thus using (27) we infer

$$|\mathcal{F}| = \text{rank } M(\mathcal{F}) \cong \text{rank } M \cong \binom{n}{s}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Now we prove Theorem 2. We need an easy lemma.

Lemma. Let $q = p^\alpha$, p is a prime, $\alpha \geq 1$. Then for $a \geq 0$ $p \mid \binom{a}{q-1}$ if and only if $a \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$.

The proof of the lemma is elementary and we leave it to the reader. Let us choose real numbers a_i , $0 \leq i < q$, such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a_i \binom{x}{i} = \binom{x-k-1}{q-1}.$$

Then by the lemma all the off-diagonal entries are zero mod p in the minor corresponding to \mathcal{F} of the matrix $M = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a_i M(i, k)$, but the diagonal entries are non-zero mod p consequently the minor is again of full rank, yielding

$$|\mathcal{F}| \cong \text{rank } M \cong \binom{n}{q-1}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Let
(n-2q+)

Let
ously d(x
any $\binom{n}{q-1}$
most $\binom{n}{q-1}$

Now

Remark. This method yields a natural expansion of the critical distribution.

(a) Since which and c

(b) provin For a of the

Hence

(28)

3. The proof of Theorems 3 and 4

Let us consider the set S of vectors $\mathbf{x}=(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in \mathbf{R}^n for which $x_i=0$ $(n-2q+1)$ -times and $x_i=1/\sqrt{2q}$ the remaining $(2q-1)$ times. Then

$$|S| = \binom{n}{2q-1}.$$

Let us associate with $\mathbf{v} \in S$ the $(2q-1)$ -set $F(\mathbf{v}) = \{i: x_i \neq 0\}$. Then obviously $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=1$ is equivalent to $|F(\mathbf{x}) \cap F(\mathbf{y})|=q-1$. Thus by Theorem 2 among any $\binom{n}{q-1} + 1$ vectors in S there are two at distance 1, i.e., every color contains at most $\binom{n}{q-1}$ of them, yielding

$$c(\mathbf{R}^n) \cong \max_{q \text{ is a prime power}} \binom{n}{2q-1} / \binom{n}{q-1}.$$

Now choosing q to be $(1+o(1))\frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{2}n$ we obtain

$$c(\mathbf{R}^n) \cong (1+o(1))(1.2)^n.$$

Remark. Since for $q=2^{2l+1}$ the expression $1/\sqrt{2q}=2^{-l-1}$ is rational, the same method yields that the chromatic number of the set of vectors with rational coordinates is exponential as well.

The statement of Theorem 4 follows now from the fact that the set S has critical distance 1 and critical number $\binom{n}{q-1}$ (cf. the introduction).

4. The proof of Theorem 7

- (a) Since $k \cong 2l+1$ then $k-l > l$. Thus l is the only integer between 0 and $k-1$ which is congruent to $k \pmod{q} = k \pmod{k-l}$. We can apply Theorem 2, and obtain

$$m(n, k, l) \cong \binom{n}{k-l-1} = (1+o(1)) \binom{n-l-1}{k-l-1},$$

proving (18).

- (b) For a d -subset D of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ let $\mathcal{G}(D)$ be the collection of those members of the family which contain D . Of course

$$\sum_D |\mathcal{G}(D)| = m \binom{k}{d}.$$

Hence we can choose D_0 such that

$$(28) \quad |\mathcal{G}(D_0)| \cong m \binom{k}{d} / \binom{n}{d}.$$

Set $\mathcal{F} = \{G - D_0 : G \in \mathcal{G}(D_0)\}$. Then \mathcal{F} is a family of $(k-d)$ -subsets of the $(n-d)$ -set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} - D$, no two of which intersect in $l-d$ elements. Since $k-l > l-d$ we can apply Theorem 2, which gives

$$(29) \quad |\mathcal{F}| \cong \binom{n-d}{k-l-1} = \binom{n-d}{l-d}.$$

From (28) and (29) we obtain

$$m(n, k, l) \cong \binom{n}{d} / \binom{k}{d} \binom{n-d}{l-d} = O\left(\binom{n}{l}\right). \blacksquare$$

5. The proof of Theorem 5 and Theorem 6

Let us define $F_i = \{j : y_j^{(i)} = +1\}$. Then $|F_i| = 2p^\alpha$, and the condition implies $|F_i \cap F_{i'}| \neq p^\alpha$.

Now apply Theorem 7 with $k = 2p^\alpha$, $l = p^\alpha$, $d = 1$, and deduce

$$m \cong 2 \binom{4p^\alpha - 1}{p^\alpha - 1} \cong (1 + o(1)) 2^n / (1.13)^n. \blacksquare$$

To prove Theorem 6 we choose q to be the smallest prime power which is at least $n/4$. Let α, β be two real numbers and let $S(\alpha, \beta)$ be the set of vectors $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ for which

$$y_i = \alpha \quad (2q-1) \text{ times, and } y_i = \beta \quad (n-2q+1) \text{ times.}$$

For $\mathbf{y} \in S(\alpha, \beta)$ set $F(\mathbf{y}) = \{i : y_i = \alpha\}$. Now the length of \mathbf{y} is $\sqrt{(2q-1)\alpha^2 + (n-2q+1)\beta^2}$, i.e., \mathbf{y} is on B iff

$$(30) \quad (2q-1)\alpha^2 + (n-2q+1)\beta^2 = 1.$$

If $|F(\mathbf{y}) \cap F(\mathbf{y}')| = q-1$ then

$$\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}' \rangle = (q-1)\alpha^2 + (n-3q+1)\beta^2 + 2q\alpha\beta.$$

To make this scalar product vanish we need

$$(31) \quad (q-1)\alpha^2 + (n-3q+1)\beta^2 + 2q\alpha\beta = 0.$$

Since $q \cong \frac{n}{4}$ the system (30), (31) is solvable in real α, β . Let S be the image of

$S(\alpha, \beta)$ under any orthogonal transformation of B . Then $|S| = |S(\alpha, \beta)| = \binom{n}{2q-1}$, and applying Theorem 2 with $k = 2q-1$, the special choice above of α, β gives:

$$(32) \quad \frac{|E \cap S|}{|B \cap S|} = \frac{|E \cap S|}{|S|} \cong \frac{\binom{n}{q-1}}{\binom{n}{2q-1}} \cong (1 + o(1))(1.13)^{-n}.$$

Now average

yielding (1.

Theorem 8.
and $E(\mathcal{G}) =$

Then
vertices.

Proof. If F
 $1 \leq i < j \leq m$.

If F_1
for $1 \leq i < j$:
Settir

Let x

Then

(33)

and for F, F

Since $\binom{i}{2} - \binom{i}{1}$

the intersection

the other hand

(34)

Now (33) and

Theorem
 $\mu_0 = 1, \mu_1 = 0, \mu$

Now averaging over the orthogonal group yields

$$\frac{\mu(E)}{\mu(B)} \cong \max_s \frac{|E \cap S|}{|S|} \cong (1 + o(1))(1.13)^{-n},$$

yielding (15). ■

6. Constructive Ramsey-bound

Theorem 8. Let us set $V(\mathcal{G}) = \{F \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\} : |F| = q^2 - 1\}$, q is a prime power, and $E(\mathcal{G}) = \{(F, F') : |F \cap F'| \not\equiv -1 \pmod{q}\}$.

Then \mathcal{G} contains no complete or empty subgraph on more than $\binom{n}{q-1}$ vertices.

Proof. If F_1, \dots, F_m is a complete subgraph then $|F_i \cap F_j| \not\equiv -1 \pmod{q}$ for every $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. Thus Theorem 2 gives the assertion.

If F_1, \dots, F_m is an empty subgraph then $|F_i \cap F_j| \in \{q-1, 2q-1, \dots, q^2-q-1\}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, thus (2) gives the statement. ■

Setting $n = p^3$, $q = p$, we obtain

$$r(k) \cong \exp((1 + o(1)) \log^2 k / 4 \log \log k).$$

7. The proof of Theorems 9 and 10

Let x be a point of maximal degree and set

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \{F \in \mathcal{F} : x \in F\}.$$

Then

$$(33) \quad |\mathcal{F}_0| \cong |\mathcal{F}| \frac{\binom{p}{2}}{\binom{n}{2}},$$

and for $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}_0$ we have

$$|F \cap F'| \in \left\{ \binom{2}{2}, \binom{3}{2}, \dots, \binom{p-1}{2} \right\}.$$

Since $\binom{i}{2} - \binom{p-i+1}{2} = \frac{(2i-1)p - p^2}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and $p \nmid \binom{i}{2}$ for $i = 2, \dots, p-1$,

the intersections lie in $\frac{p-1}{2}$ different non-zero congruence classes modulo p . On

the other hand $p \mid \binom{p}{2} = |F|$, and therefore Theorem 1 yields

$$(34) \quad |\mathcal{F}_0| < \binom{\binom{n}{2}}{\binom{p-1}{2}}.$$

Now (33) and (34) imply (22). ■

Theorem 10 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1: Simply set $k=7$, $\mu_0=1$, $\mu_1=0$, $\mu_2=2$, $p=3$. ■

ets of the
ts. Since

n implies

which is
f vectors

$\overline{2q+1}\beta^2$,

image of

$\binom{n}{2q-1}$,
 β gives:

8. On possible extensions

First we prove Theorem 11.
 Let F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m be the sets in our family arranged so that $|F_1| \cong |F_2| \cong \dots \cong |F_m|$.
 For $0 \cong i \cong s$, let $A_1, \dots, A_{\binom{n}{i}}$ be the different i -subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Let $N(i)$ be the m by $\binom{n}{i}$ matrix which has 1 or 0 in the position (u, v) according to whether $A_v \subset F_u$ or not, $1 \cong u \cong m, 1 \cong v \cong \binom{n}{i}$. Of course $r(N(i)) \cong \binom{n}{i}$.
 Let us set $M(i) = N(i)N(i)^T$. Then $M(i)$ is m by m with $\binom{|F_u \cap F_v|}{i}$ in position (u, v) , and we still have

$$r(M(i)) \cong \binom{n}{i}.$$

Let $v_1^{(i)}, \dots, v_m^{(i)}$ be the row-vectors of $M(i)$, and let V be the vector space spanned by the $v_j^{(i)}$ for $1 \cong i \cong s, 1 \cong j \cong m$. Then we have

$$(35) \quad \dim V \cong \sum_{i=0}^s r(M(i)) \cong \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{n}{i}.$$

Let us choose $a_v^{(i)}$ for fixed $i, 1 \cong i \cong s$, and $v=0, 1, \dots, i$ that

$$(36) \quad \sum_{v=0}^i a_v^{(i)} \binom{x}{v} = \prod_{t=1}^i (x - l_t).$$

Now we define an m by m matrix M . If $1 \cong u \cong m$ and i is the greatest integer for which $|F_u| > l_i$, then let the u th row of M be

$$(37) \quad \sum_{v=0}^i a_v^{(i)} v_u^{(v)}.$$

If $u=m$, and $|F_u|=l_s$, then the last row of M is $v_m^{(0)}$. Since all the row-vectors are in V we have by (35)

$$(38) \quad r(M) \cong \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{n}{i}.$$

By (36) and (37) the u 'th diagonal entry of M is

$$\prod_{t=1}^i (|F_u| - l_t) \neq 0, \text{ since } |F_u| > l_i.$$

Since $|F_u| \cong |F_v|$ for $u < v$, in this case $|F_u \cap F_v| \in \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_i\}$, and consequently by (26) and (37) the (u, v) -entry of M is 0. This means that M is lower-triangular with non-zero diagonal consequently of full rank; thus (38) yields

$$|\mathcal{F}| = m = \text{rank } M \cong \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{n}{i}. \blacksquare$$

The
 Theorem 2
 Fra
 integer mo
 Babai, Fra
 The
 $\mu_3=4$ and
 By t

Theorem 12
 residues mo
 $F \neq F' \in \mathcal{F}$

If the
 is an integer

Proof. Choo

Then t
 to the membe

[1] L. BABAI and
 [2] M. DEZA, P
 London J
 [3] M. DEZA, P.
 sections,
 [4] M. DEZA and
 faisant à
 [5] P. ERDŐS, Pr
 Comb. Co
 peg, 1976
 [6] P. ERDŐS, Sc
 294.
 [7] P. ERDŐS, Pr
 theory (F.

The most important extension is to decide whether Theorem 1 or at least Theorem 2 holds for congruences modulo arbitrary positive integers.

Frankl, Rosenberg [12] proved that for $s=1$ Theorem 1 extends to arbitrary integer moduli (which generalizes results by Ryser [19], Deza, Erdős, Singhi [3], Babai, Frankl [1], and Deza, Rosenberg [4]).

The first open case modulo a prime power is for 8: $\mu_0=0, \mu_1=1, \mu_2=2, \mu_3=4$ and $\mu_4=6$.

By the proof of Theorem 1 we can prove

Theorem 12. Suppose q is a power of the prime p . Let $\mu_0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_s$ be distinct residues modulo q . Let \mathcal{F} be a collection of k -subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, such that for $F \neq F' \in \mathcal{F}$ we have

$$|F| \equiv \mu_0 \pmod{q},$$

$$|F \cap F'| \equiv \mu_i \pmod{q} \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq s.$$

If there exists a rational polynomial $g(x)$ of degree d such that $p \nmid g(k)$ ($g(k)$ is an integer) but $p \mid g(x)$ for $x \equiv \mu_i \pmod{q}, i=1, \dots, s$, then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{d}.$$

Proof. Choose the rational numbers a_0, a_1, \dots, a_d in such a way that

$$\sum_{v=0}^d a_v \binom{x}{v} = p(x).$$

Then the matrix $M = \sum_{v=0}^d a_v M(v, k)$ contains a full-rank minor corresponding to the members of \mathcal{F} , yielding

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \text{rank } M \leq \text{rank } M(d, k) \leq \binom{n}{d}. \quad \blacksquare$$

References

- [1] L. BABAI and P. FRANKL, On set intersections, *J. Comb. Th. A* **28** (1980), 103—105.
- [2] M. DEZA, P. ERDŐS and P. FRANKL, Intersection properties of systems of finite sets, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **36** (1978), 369—384.
- [3] M. DEZA, P. ERDŐS and N. M. SINGHI, Combinatorial problems on subsets and their intersections, *Advances in Mathematics, Suppl. Studies* **1** (1978), 259—265.
- [4] M. DEZA and I. G. ROSENBERG, Cardinalités, de sommets et d'arêtes d'hypergraphes satisfaisant à certaines conditions d'intersection, *Cahiers CERO*. **20** (1978), 279—285.
- [5] P. ERDŐS, Problems and results in graph theory and combinatorial analysis, *Proc. Fifth British Comb. Conf. 1975 Aberdeen, Congressus Numerantium*, **15** — Utilitas Mathematica, Winnipeg, 1976.
- [6] P. ERDŐS, Some remarks on the theory of graphs, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **53** (1947), 292—294.
- [7] P. ERDŐS, Problems and results in chromatic graph theory, in: *Proof techniques in graph theory* (F. Harary ed.), Academic Press, London, 1969, 27—35.

- [8] P. FRANKL, Extremal problems and coverings of the space, *European J. Combs*, **1** (1980), 101—106.
- [9] P. FRANKL, A constructive lower bound for Ramsey numbers, *Ars Comb.* **3** (1977), 297—302.
- [10] P. FRANKL, Problem session, *Proc. French—Canadian Joint Comb. Coll.*, Montreal 1978.
- [11] P. FRANKL, Families of finite sets with prescribed cardinalities for pairwise intersections, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, to appear.
- [12] P. FRANKL and I. G. ROSENBERG, An intersection problem for finite sets, *Europ. J. Comb* **2** (1981).
- [13] H. HADWIGER, Überdeckungssätze für den Euklidischen Raum, *Portugaliae Math.* **4** (1944), 140—144.
- [14] H. HADWIGER, Überdeckung des Euklidischen Raumes durch kongruente Mengen, *Portugaliae Math.* **4** (1945), 238—242.
- [15] D. G. LARMAN, A note on the realization of distances within sets in euclidean space, *Comment. Math. Helvet.* **53** (1978), 529—535.
- [16] D. G. LARMAN and C. A. ROGERS, The realization of distances within sets in euclidean space, *Mathematika* **19** (1972), 1—24.
- [17] D. E. RAISKII, The realization of all distances in a decomposition of R^n into $n+1$ parts (Russian) *Mat. Zametki* **7** (1970), 319—323.
- [18] D. K. RAY-CHAUDHURI and R. M. WILSON, On t -designs, *Osaka J. Math.* **12** (1975), 735—744.
- [19] H. J. RYSER, An extension of a theorem of de Bruijn and Erdős on combinatorial designs, *J. Algebra* **10** (1968), 246—261.

MATCH

A k -m.
The number o
condition und
our condition
in G (i.e. $A=0$
ber of points f
normally distri

A mat.
common. A
the number o
We wil
chosen match
totically a no
graph of the r
 $A \exp((x-B)$
The acc
of edges in a

1.1. Theorem.
that $|V(G_n)|$ is
are normally d.

We will
disjoint union
yields the case
The con
but not all nec
graph on n ver

AMS subj