December 31, 2024

1) Teachers read their teaching eval comments for self-improvement.

- 1) Teachers read their teaching eval comments for self-improvement.
- 2) The Teach Eval Chair reads others teaching evals and may discuss it with the teacher.

- 1) Teachers read their teaching eval comments for self-improvement.
- 2) The Teach Eval Chair reads others teaching evals and may discuss it with the teacher.
- 3) The Undergrad Chair reads others teaching evals and may discuss it with the teacher.

- 1) Teachers read their teaching eval comments for self-improvement.
- 2) The Teach Eval Chair reads others teaching evals and may discuss it with the teacher.
- 3) The Undergrad Chair reads others teaching evals and may discuss it with the teacher.
- 4) The committee that gives out teaching awards reads the teaching evals to help make a decision.

- 1) Teachers read their teaching eval comments for self-improvement.
- 2) The Teach Eval Chair reads others teaching evals and may discuss it with the teacher.
- 3) The Undergrad Chair reads others teaching evals and may discuss it with the teacher.
- 4) The committee that gives out teaching awards reads the teaching evals to help make a decision.
- 5) When a teacher goes up for tenure, the teaching evals are used in the teaching report.

- 1) Teachers read their teaching eval comments for self-improvement.
- 2) The Teach Eval Chair reads others teaching evals and may discuss it with the teacher.
- 3) The Undergrad Chair reads others teaching evals and may discuss it with the teacher.
- 4) The committee that gives out teaching awards reads the teaching evals to help make a decision.
- 5) When a teacher goes up for tenure, the teaching evals are used in the teaching report.
- 6) The biggest problem we have for all of the above is when not that many students fill them out. Hence

- 1) Teachers read their teaching eval comments for self-improvement.
- 2) The Teach Eval Chair reads others teaching evals and may discuss it with the teacher.
- 3) The Undergrad Chair reads others teaching evals and may discuss it with the teacher.
- 4) The committee that gives out teaching awards reads the teaching evals to help make a decision.
- 5) When a teacher goes up for tenure, the teaching evals are used in the teaching report.
- 6) The biggest problem we have for all of the above is when not that many students fill them out. Hence
- 7) Please Fill Out the Teaching Evals in All of your Courses

Topics Not Covered in Grad Ramsey 2022

Exposition by William Gasarch

December 31, 2024

What topics in Ramsey theory didn't we cover?

What topics in Ramsey theory didn't we cover? Why didn't we cover them?

What topics in Ramsey theory didn't we cover?

Why didn't we cover them?

► Could cover if I had more time. Might cover it next time.

What topics in Ramsey theory didn't we cover?

- ► Could cover if I had more time. Might cover it next time.
- ▶ Bill just not that interested.

What topics in Ramsey theory didn't we cover?

- Could cover if I had more time. Might cover it next time.
- ▶ Bill just not that interested.
- Too hard for Students.

What topics in Ramsey theory didn't we cover?

- ► Could cover if I had more time. Might cover it next time.
- ▶ Bill just not that interested.
- Too hard for Students.
- ▶ Too hard for Bill.

What topics in Ramsey theory didn't we cover?

- Could cover if I had more time. Might cover it next time.
- ▶ Bill just not that interested.
- Too hard for Students.
- ▶ Too hard for Bill.
- Some combination of the above.

Could Have Covered: VDW

Exposition by William Gasarch

December 31, 2024

Can VDW For all k there exists W = W(k) such that for any $COL: [W] \rightarrow [\omega]$ there exists a, d such that either

$$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k-1)d$$
 are all the same color

or

$$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k-1)d$$
 are all different colors

Can VDW For all k there exists W = W(k) such that for any $COL: [W] \rightarrow [\omega]$ there exists a, d such that either

$$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k-1)d$$
 are all the same color

or

$$a, a+d, \ldots, a+(k-1)d$$
 are all different colors

Nice proof, but it relies on 2-dim VDW thm.

Can VDW For all k there exists W = W(k) such that for any $COL: [W] \rightarrow [\omega]$ there exists a, d such that either

$$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k-1)d$$
 are all the same color

or

$$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k-1)d$$
 are all different colors

- ▶ Nice proof, but it relies on 2-dim VDW thm.
- There is a version for multidim VDW, but its messy.

Can VDW For all k there exists W = W(k) such that for any $COL: [W] \rightarrow [\omega]$ there exists a, d such that either

$$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k-1)d$$
 are all the same color

or

$$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k-1)d$$
 are all different colors

- ▶ Nice proof, but it relies on 2-dim VDW thm.
- There is a version for multidim VDW, but its messy.
- Certainly could have taught this semester.

Can VDW For all k there exists W = W(k) such that for any $COL: [W] \rightarrow [\omega]$ there exists a, d such that either

$$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k-1)d$$
 are all the same color

or

$$a, a + d, \dots, a + (k-1)d$$
 are all different colors

- ▶ Nice proof, but it relies on 2-dim VDW thm.
- There is a version for multidim VDW, but its messy.
- Certainly could have taught this semester.

Research Better bounds on Can VDW Numbers.

Can use Extended VDW's thm to prove the following

Can use Extended VDW's thm to prove the following

For all k there exists p_o such that for all primes $p \ge p_o$ there are k consecutive squares mod p.

Can use Extended VDW's thm to prove the following

- For all k there exists p_o such that for all primes $p \ge p_o$ there are k consecutive squares mod p.
- For all k there exists p_o such that for all primes $p \ge p_o$ there are k consecutive non-squares mod p.
- https://blog.computationalcomplexity.org/2009/08/ application-of-vdw-theorem-to-number.html

Can use Extended VDW's thm to prove the following

- For all k there exists p_o such that for all primes $p \ge p_o$ there are k consecutive squares mod p.
- For all k there exists p_o such that for all primes $p \ge p_o$ there are k consecutive non-squares mod p.
- https://blog.computationalcomplexity.org/2009/08/ application-of-vdw-theorem-to-number.html

I could have proven this in class and might next time I teach it.

Can use Extended VDW's thm to prove the following

- For all k there exists p_o such that for all primes $p \ge p_o$ there are k consecutive squares mod p.
- For all k there exists p_o such that for all primes $p \ge p_o$ there are k consecutive non-squares mod p.
- https://blog.computationalcomplexity.org/2009/08/ application-of-vdw-theorem-to-number.html

I could have proven this in class and might next time I teach it.

Research The proof gives VDW-like bounds. Hard NT gives better bounds. Get better bounds in elementary way.

Alice, Bob, Carol have an *n*-bit number on their foreheads: A, B, C.

Alice, Bob, Carol have an *n*-bit number on their foreheads: A, B, C. They want to know if $A + B + C = 2^n - 1$.

Alice, Bob, Carol have an *n*-bit number on their foreheads: A, B, C.

They want to know if $A + B + C = 2^n - 1$.

They can do this by communicating n bits. Can they do better?

Alice, Bob, Carol have an *n*-bit number on their foreheads: A, B, C.

They want to know if $A + B + C = 2^n - 1$.

They can do this by communicating *n* bits. Can they do better?

Using large sets without 3-APs can show they can do this in $\sim \sqrt{n}$ bits.

Alice, Bob, Carol have an *n*-bit number on their foreheads: A, B, C.

They want to know if $A + B + C = 2^n - 1$.

They can do this by communicating n bits. Can they do better?

Using large sets without 3-APs can show they can do this in $\sim \sqrt{n}$ bits.

Lower bound of $\Omega(\log \log n)$ (By Gasarch! Honest!)

Alice, Bob, Carol have an *n*-bit number on their foreheads: *A*, *B*, *C*.

They want to know if $A + B + C = 2^n - 1$.

They can do this by communicating *n* bits. Can they do better?

Using large sets without 3-APs can show they can do this in $\sim \sqrt{n}$ bits.

Lower bound of $\Omega(\log \log n)$ (By Gasarch! Honest!) Certainaly could have done this and have in past semesters.

Folkman's Thm

Rado's Thm Let $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. TFAE

- \triangleright Some subset of the a_i 's sums to 0.
- ▶ For all c, for all $COL: \mathbb{N} \to [c]$ there exists mono solution to

$$a_1x_1+\cdots+a_kx_k=0.$$

Folkman's Thm

Rado's Thm Let $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. TFAE

- Some subset of the a_i's sums to 0.
- ▶ For all c, for all $COL: \mathbb{N} \to [c]$ there exists mono solution to

$$a_1x_1+\cdots+a_kx_k=0.$$

There is a version for a particular systems of linear equations.



Folkman's Thm

Rado's Thm Let $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. TFAE

- Some subset of the a_i's sums to 0.
- ▶ For all c, for all $COL: \mathbb{N} \to [c]$ there exists mono solution to

$$a_1x_1+\cdots+a_kx_k=0.$$

There is a version for a particular systems of linear equations.

Folkman's Thm For all k, c there exists N = N(k, c) such that for all COL: $[N] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x_1, \ldots, x_k such that ALL non-empty sums of the x_i 's are the same color.

Folkman's Thm

Rado's Thm Let $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. TFAE

- Some subset of the a_i's sums to 0.
- ▶ For all c, for all $COL: \mathbb{N} \to [c]$ there exists mono solution to

$$a_1x_1+\cdots+a_kx_k=0.$$

There is a version for a particular systems of linear equations.

Folkman's Thm For all k, c there exists N = N(k, c) such that for all COL: $[N] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x_1, \ldots, x_k such that ALL non-empty sums of the x_i 's are the same color.

Great thm, nice proof. Might cover it in the future.



▶ Better Bounds on Rado and Folkman Numbers.

- Better Bounds on Rado and Folkman Numbers.
- ► Given a coloring actually FIND mono solution.

- ▶ Better Bounds on Rado and Folkman Numbers.
- ► Given a coloring actually FIND mono solution.
- **Example** 4x + 5y = 10z:

- Better Bounds on Rado and Folkman Numbers.
- Given a coloring actually FIND mono solution.
- **Example** 4x + 5y = 10z:
 - $\exists \text{ COL} \colon [\mathbb{N}] \to [6] \text{ with no mono sol.}$
 - $\forall \ \mathrm{COL} \colon [\mathbb{N}] \to [1] \ \text{is mono sol}.$

- Better Bounds on Rado and Folkman Numbers.
- Given a coloring actually FIND mono solution.
- **Example** 4x + 5y = 10z:
 - $\exists \text{ COL} \colon [\mathbb{N}] \to [6] \text{ with no mono sol.}$
 - $\forall \text{ COL} \colon [\mathbb{N}] \to [1] \text{ is mono sol.}$

Find c such that

 $\exists \text{ COL} \colon [\mathbb{N}] \to [c]$ with no mono sol.

 $\forall \ \mathrm{COL} \colon [\mathbb{N}] \to [c-1]$ is mono sol.

Can ask this question for many equations.

- Better Bounds on Rado and Folkman Numbers.
- ▶ Given a coloring actually FIND mono solution.
- **Example** 4x + 5y = 10z:
 - $\exists \text{ COL} : [\mathbb{N}] \to [6] \text{ with no mono sol.}$
 - $\forall \text{ COL} \colon [\mathbb{N}] \to [1] \text{ is mono sol.}$

Find c such that

- $\exists \text{ COL} : [\mathbb{N}] \to [c] \text{ with no mono sol.}$
- $\forall \ \mathrm{COL} \colon [\mathbb{N}] \to [c-1]$ is mono sol.
- Can ask this question for many equations.
- Canonical Version of Rado or Folkman's Thm.

- Better Bounds on Rado and Folkman Numbers.
- ▶ Given a coloring actually FIND mono solution.
- **Example** 4x + 5y = 10z:
 - $\exists \text{ COL} : [\mathbb{N}] \to [6] \text{ with no mono sol.}$
 - $\forall \text{ COL} \colon [\mathbb{N}] \to [1] \text{ is mono sol.}$

Find c such that

- $\exists \text{ COL} : [\mathbb{N}] \to [c] \text{ with no mono sol.}$
- $\forall \text{ COL} \colon [\mathbb{N}] \to [c-1] \text{ is mono sol.}$
- Can ask this question for many equations.
- ► Canonical Version of Rado or Folkman's Thm.
- ► Caution: Some of this may be known.

Hilbert's Cube Lemma For all k, c there exists H = H(k, c) such that for all COL: $[H] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k such that

$$\{x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i x_i : b_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

is monochromatic.

Hilbert's Cube Lemma For all k, c there exists H = H(k, c) such that for all COL: $[H] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k such that

$$\{x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i x_i : b_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

is monochromatic. Hilbert saw it as a Lemma to prove:

Hilbert's Cube Lemma For all k, c there exists H = H(k, c) such that for all COL: $[H] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k such that

$${x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i x_i : b_i \in \{0, 1\}}$$

is monochromatic. Hilbert saw it as a Lemma to prove:

Hilbert's Cube Lemma For all k, c there exists H = H(k, c) such that for all COL: $[H] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k such that

$$\{x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i x_i : b_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

is monochromatic. Hilbert saw it as a Lemma to prove:

H Irreducibility Thm (2 var case). If $p(x,y) \in \mathbb{Q}[x,y]$ is irred then there exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p(x,a) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ is irred.

▶ HIT is an important thm and a legit app (to math).



Hilbert's Cube Lemma For all k, c there exists H = H(k, c) such that for all COL: $[H] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k such that

$${x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i x_i : b_i \in \{0, 1\}}$$

is monochromatic. Hilbert saw it as a Lemma to prove:

- ▶ HIT is an important thm and a legit app (to math).
- ▶ As the FIRST Ramseyian Thm it is important historically.

Hilbert's Cube Lemma For all k, c there exists H = H(k, c) such that for all COL: $[H] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k such that

$${x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i x_i : b_i \in \{0, 1\}}$$

is monochromatic. Hilbert saw it as a Lemma to prove:

- ▶ HIT is an important thm and a legit app (to math).
- ▶ As the FIRST Ramseyian Thm it is important historically.
- Only presentation in English with modern notation Villarino, Gasarch, Regan: https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.06303

Hilbert's Cube Lemma For all k, c there exists H = H(k, c) such that for all COL: $[H] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k such that

$$\{x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i x_i : b_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

is monochromatic. Hilbert saw it as a Lemma to prove:

- ▶ HIT is an important thm and a legit app (to math).
- ▶ As the FIRST Ramseyian Thm it is important historically.
- Only presentation in English with modern notation Villarino, Gasarch, Regan: https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.06303
- I've taught before and could teach again.

Roth's Theorem was prove in 1954 and is a special case of Szemeredi's Theorem which was proven in 1974.

Roth's Thm Every set of upper positive density has a 3-AP.

Roth's Theorem was prove in 1954 and is a special case of Szemeredi's Theorem which was proven in 1974.

Roth's Thm Every set of upper positive density has a 3-AP.

▶ There is a combinatorial proof that I have taught in the past.

Roth's Theorem was prove in 1954 and is a special case of Szemeredi's Theorem which was proven in 1974.

Roth's Thm Every set of upper positive density has a 3-AP.

- ▶ There is a combinatorial proof that I have taught in the past.
- ► There is an analytic proof which is the easiest analytic proof of results of this type, so perhaps could and should be taught.

Roth's Theorem was prove in 1954 and is a special case of Szemeredi's Theorem which was proven in 1974.

Roth's Thm Every set of upper positive density has a 3-AP.

- ▶ There is a combinatorial proof that I have taught in the past.
- ► There is an analytic proof which is the easiest analytic proof of results of this type, so perhaps could and should be taught.
- ➤ There is a computer-assisted proof https://sites.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/akherim/ DavidWilsonMasterThesis.pdf This is Roth's proof done with the ideas showing and the computation rightly put into the background.

Roth's Theorem was prove in 1954 and is a special case of Szemeredi's Theorem which was proven in 1974.

Roth's Thm Every set of upper positive density has a 3-AP.

- ▶ There is a combinatorial proof that I have taught in the past.
- ► There is an analytic proof which is the easiest analytic proof of results of this type, so perhaps could and should be taught.
- ► There is a computer-assisted proof https://sites.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/akherim/ DavidWilsonMasterThesis.pdf This is Roth's proof done with the ideas showing and the computation rightly put into the background.
- **Research** Get better bounds: How big a subset of $\{1, ..., 1000\}$ before guaranteed a 3-AP? 4-AP? etc.

A Stupid App of Schur's Thm to Number Theory

Schur's Theorem is a special case or Rado's Theorem. Schur's Thm For all c there exists S = S(c) such that for all $COL: [S] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x, y, z same color such that x + y = z.

A Stupid App of Schur's Thm to Number Theory

Schur's Theorem is a special case or Rado's Theorem. Schur's Thm For all c there exists S = S(c) such that for all $COL: [S] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x, y, z same color such that x + y = z.

FLT For all $n \ge 3$ there dos not exists $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^n + y^n = z^n$. (The n = 4 case was done by Fermat.)

A Stupid App of Schur's Thm to Number Theory

Schur's Theorem is a special case or Rado's Theorem. Schur's Thm For all c there exists S = S(c) such that for all $COL: [S] \rightarrow [c]$ there exists x, y, z same color such that x + y = z.

FLT For all $n \ge 3$ there dos not exists $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^n + y^n = z^n$. (The n = 4 case was done by Fermat.)

Gasarch proved:

Thm (Schur's Thm + FLT(4) implies there are an infinite number of primes. https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/COURSES/858/S20/notes/schurflt.pdf

Rado's Theorem over the Reals

Vote

For all COL: $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{N}$ there exists w, x, y, z all the same color:

$$w + x = y + z$$

- ► TRUE
- ► FALSE
- ► OTHER

Rado's Theorem over the Reals

Vote

For all COL: $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{N}$ there exists w, x, y, z all the same color:

$$w + x = y + z$$

- ► TRUE
- ► FALSE
- ▶ OTHER

OTHER: Statement is equiv to $\neg CH$ and hence is Ind of ZFC.

Rado's Theorem over the Reals

Vote

For all COL: $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{N}$ there exists w, x, y, z all the same color:

$$w + x = y + z$$

- ► TRUE
- ► FALSE
- ▶ OTHER

OTHER: Statement is equiv to $\neg CH$ and hence is Ind of ZFC.

Proven by Erdos. Write up by Fenner and Gasarch is here: http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/BLOGPAPERS/radozfc.pdf

Could have Covered: Ramsey

Exposition by William Gasarch

December 31, 2024

 $R(C_k)$ is least n such that for all 2-coloring of $\binom{[n]}{2}$ there exists monochromatic k-cycle.

 $R(C_k)$ is least n such that for all 2-coloring of $\binom{[n]}{2}$ there exists monochromatic k-cycle.

Sample Thm

$$R(C_k) = \begin{cases} 6 & \text{if } k = 3 \text{ or } k = 4\\ 2k - 1 & \text{if } k \ge 5 \text{ and } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3k}{2} - 1 & \text{if } k \ge 6 \text{ and } k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

 $R(C_k)$ is least n such that for all 2-coloring of $\binom{[n]}{2}$ there exists monochromatic k-cycle.

Sample Thm

$$R(C_k) = \begin{cases} 6 & \text{if } k = 3 \text{ or } k = 4\\ 2k - 1 & \text{if } k \ge 5 \text{ and } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3k}{2} - 1 & \text{if } k \ge 6 \text{ and } k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

Their are many results and the proofs are elementary.

 $R(C_k)$ is least n such that for all 2-coloring of $\binom{[n]}{2}$ there exists monochromatic k-cycle.

Sample Thm

$$R(C_k) = \begin{cases} 6 & \text{if } k = 3 \text{ or } k = 4\\ 2k - 1 & \text{if } k \ge 5 \text{ and } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3k}{2} - 1 & \text{if } k \ge 6 \text{ and } k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

- Their are many results and the proofs are elementary.
- ▶ I would need to learn it (this is a PRO). I may have a student writeup the proofs for a project. Then I'll see if its interesting.

 $R(C_k)$ is least n such that for all 2-coloring of $\binom{[n]}{2}$ there exists monochromatic k-cycle.

Sample Thm

$$R(C_k) = \begin{cases} 6 & \text{if } k = 3 \text{ or } k = 4\\ 2k - 1 & \text{if } k \ge 5 \text{ and } k \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{3k}{2} - 1 & \text{if } k \ge 6 \text{ and } k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

- Their are many results and the proofs are elementary.
- ▶ I would need to learn it (this is a PRO). I may have a student writeup the proofs for a project. Then I'll see if its interesting.
- ► For every result of this type see https://www.combinatorics.org/files/Surveys/ds1/ ds1v15-2017.pdf

Research Projects

- Actually FIND the colorings.
- ► Simplify or unify the proofs
- **Ramsey Games** Example: Parameter k, n. Players RED and BLUE alternate coloring the edges of K_n . RED goes first. The first player to get a C_k in their color wins.
 - 1. For which *n* does RED have a winning strategy?
 - 2. Design an ML to play this well (my REU project)
 - EVERY thm in Ramsey Thm (and the VDW part) can be made into a game and lead to research projects.

We did:

Thm $R_3(k) \leq 2^{2^{4k}}$.

We did:

Thm $R_3(k) \leq 2^{2^{4k}}$.

Better is known:

Thm $R_3(k) \leq 2^{2^{2k}}$.

We did:

Thm $R_3(k) \leq 2^{2^{4k}}$.

Better is known:

Thm $R_3(k) \leq 2^{2^{2k}}$.

The proof is nice but long.

We did:

Thm $R_3(k) \leq 2^{2^{4k}}$.

Better is known:

Thm $R_3(k) \leq 2^{2^{2k}}$.

The proof is nice but long.

I would need to refresh on it to see if appropriate.

We did:

Thm $R_3(k) \leq 2^{2^{4k}}$.

Better is known:

Thm $R_3(k) \leq 2^{2^{2k}}$.

The proof is nice but long.

I would need to refresh on it to see if appropriate.

Research Use their technique on other Ramsey problems.

Lefmann and Rodl proved Thm $CR(k) \le 2^{O(k^2 \log k)}$.

► Their paper: https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/ TOPICS/vdw/leftmannrodl.pdf

- ► Their paper: https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/ TOPICS/vdw/leftmannrodl.pdf
- ► Proof is Mileti-style.

- ► Their paper: https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/ TOPICS/vdw/leftmannrodl.pdf
- ► Proof is Mileti-style.
- ► I have written up the construction. It's in my treatise on Can Ramsey: https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/ COURSES/858/S20/notes/canramsey.pdf

- Their paper: https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/ TOPICS/vdw/leftmannrodl.pdf
- ► Proof is Mileti-style.
- ► I have written up the construction. It's in my treatise on Can Ramsey: https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/ COURSES/858/S20/notes/canramsey.pdf
- ▶ Do we really need more Can Ramsey in the course?

The following is well known; however, I may be the first person to write down the proof.

http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/COURSES/858/S20/notes/canlarge.pdf

Thm For all k there exists n = n(k) such that for all COL: $\binom{\{k,\dots,n\}}{2} \to [\omega]$ there is a large set that is either homog, min-homog, max-homog, rainbow.

The following is well known; however, I may be the first person to write down the proof.

http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/COURSES/858/S20/notes/canlarge.pdf

Thm For all k there exists n = n(k) such that for all COL: $\binom{\{k,\dots,n\}}{2} \to [\omega]$ there is a large set that is either homog, min-homog, max-homog, rainbow.

▶ Main Thm has inf-can, fin-can, large-can as corollaries.



The following is well known; however, I may be the first person to write down the proof.

http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/COURSES/858/S20/notes/canlarge.pdf

Thm For all k there exists n = n(k) such that for all COL: $\binom{\{k,\dots,n\}}{2} \to [\omega]$ there is a large set that is either homog, min-homog, max-homog, rainbow.

- Main Thm has inf-can, fin-can, large-can as corollaries.
- ▶ Thm is mostly the proof of can from 4-hypergraph Ramsey.

The following is well known; however, I may be the first person to write down the proof.

http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/COURSES/858/S20/notes/canlarge.pdf

Thm For all k there exists n = n(k) such that for all $COL: \binom{\{k,\dots,n\}}{2} \to [\omega]$ there is a large set that is either homog, min-homog, max-homog, rainbow.

- ▶ Main Thm has inf-can, fin-can, large-can as corollaries.
- Thm is mostly the proof of can from 4-hypergraph Ramsey.
- ▶ Bounds on n(k) are in terms of the LR_4 .

The following is well known; however, I may be the first person to write down the proof.

http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/COURSES/858/S20/notes/canlarge.pdf

Thm For all k there exists n = n(k) such that for all $COL: \binom{\{k,\dots,n\}}{2} \to [\omega]$ there is a large set that is either homog, min-homog, max-homog, rainbow.

- Main Thm has inf-can, fin-can, large-can as corollaries.
- Thm is mostly the proof of can from 4-hypergraph Ramsey.
- ▶ Bounds on n(k) are in terms of the LR_4 .

Research Get the bound in terms of LR_3 or lower.



a-ary Can Ramsey

Thm For all $a, k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist C = C(a, k) such that for all $COL: [\binom{[C]}{a}] \to [\omega]$ there exists a set H, |H| = k and $1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_L \le a$ such that for all $p_1 < \cdots < p_a \in H$ and $q_1 < \cdots < q_a \in H$

$$\operatorname{COL}(p_1,\ldots,p_a) = \operatorname{COL}(q_1,\ldots,q_a) \text{ iff } (p_{i_1},\ldots,p_{i_L}) = (q_{i_1},\ldots,q_{i_L})$$

- Similar to the proof on graphs, but messier.
- On canonical Ramsey numbers for coloring three-element sets by Lefmann and Rodl behind paywalls, lost to humanity.
- Optimal results due to Shelah: https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9509229 A hard read.

Research Give easier proofs of bounds.



Could have Covered: Euclidean Ramsey Theory

Exposition by William Gasarch

December 31, 2024

Sample Thm Let T be a triangle with a 30, 90, or 150 degree angle. For every 2-coloring of \mathbb{R}^2 there exists three points that form triangle T (note- actually form T, not just similar to T) that are monochromatic.

▶ Just getting to interesting results would a take while.

- Just getting to interesting results would a take while.
- Would need to dump some other topic.

- Just getting to interesting results would a take while.
- Would need to dump some other topic.
- ▶ Bill would need to relearn the material (this is a PRO).

- Just getting to interesting results would a take while.
- Would need to dump some other topic.
- ▶ Bill would need to relearn the material (this is a PRO).
- ► For more: https://www.csun.edu/~ctoth/Handbook/chap11.pdf

Results Bill Likes But Would be Hard to Teach: VDW

Exposition by William Gasarch

December 31, 2024

Def *L* is a language. Game:

Def *L* is a language. Game:

▶ Alice is Poly time and she has x, |x| = n.

Def *L* is a language. Game:

- ▶ Alice is Poly time and she has x, |x| = n.
- Bob is all powerful and he has nothing.

Def *L* is a language. Game:

- ▶ Alice is Poly time and she has x, |x| = n.
- Bob is all powerful and he has nothing.
- ▶ They cooperate to determine if $x \in L$. Alice could just send Bob x. That takes n bits.

Let L be the set of all 3-colorable graphs (or any NPC graph problem). Note size is $O(n^2)$. Is there a protocol for Alice and Bob in $O(n^{2-\epsilon})$ bits for some $\epsilon>0$?

Let L be the set of all 3-colorable graphs (or any NPC graph problem). Note size is $O(n^2)$. Is there a protocol for Alice and Bob in $O(n^{2-\epsilon})$ bits for some $\epsilon>0$?

▶ Dell and van Melkebeek showed that if there is a protocol in $O(n^{2-\epsilon})$ bits then the Poly Hierarchy Collapses to Σ_2^p . The proof used large 3-free set. https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/TOPICS/ramsey/dell.pdf

Let L be the set of all 3-colorable graphs (or any NPC graph problem). Note size is $O(n^2)$. Is there a protocol for Alice and Bob in $O(n^{2-\epsilon})$ bits for some $\epsilon>0$?

- ▶ Dell and van Melkebeek showed that if there is a protocol in $O(n^{2-\epsilon})$ bits then the Poly Hierarchy Collapses to Σ_2^p . The proof used large 3-free set. https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/TOPICS/ramsey/dell.pdf
- ► Too much prerequisite knowledge.

Hindman's Thm For any finite coloring of \mathbb{N} there exists an infinite A such that all finite sums of elements of A are the same color.

▶ Proof use ultrafilters, so hard, but nowhere near as hard as Szemeredi's Result.

- ► Proof use ultrafilters, so hard, but nowhere near as hard as Szemeredi's Result.
- ▶ I would need to brush up on this one (this is a PRO)

- ► Proof use ultrafilters, so hard, but nowhere near as hard as Szemeredi's Result.
- ▶ I would need to brush up on this one (this is a PRO)
- https:
 //web.williams.edu/Mathematics/lg5/Hindman.pdf

- ► Proof use ultrafilters, so hard, but nowhere near as hard as Szemeredi's Result.
- ▶ I would need to brush up on this one (this is a PRO)
- https:
 //web.williams.edu/Mathematics/lg5/Hindman.pdf
- Research Come up with an elementary proof.

Results Bill Likes But Would be Hard to Teach:Ramsey

Exposition by William Gasarch

December 31, 2024

Thm For every computable COL: $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{a} \to [c]$ there is a Π_a -homogenous set. There is a computable coloring such that no homog set is Σ_a .

Thm For every computable COL: $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{a} \to [c]$ there is a Π_a -homogenous set. There is a computable coloring such that no homog set is Σ_a .

► Too much prerequisite knowledge needed.

Thm For every computable COL: $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{a} \to [c]$ there is a Π_a -homogenous set. There is a computable coloring such that no homog set is Σ_a .

- Too much prerequisite knowledge needed.
- Proof leads to a different proof of Ramsey's Thm!

Thm For every computable COL: $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{a} \to [c]$ there is a Π_a -homogenous set. There is a computable coloring such that no homog set is Σ_a .

- Too much prerequisite knowledge needed.
- Proof leads to a different proof of Ramsey's Thm!
- Important: measures how nonconstrutive the proof of Ramsey's Thm.

Thm For every computable $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{\mathbb{N}}{a} \to [c]$ there is a Π_a -homogenous set. There is a computable coloring such that no homog set is Σ_a .

- Too much prerequisite knowledge needed.
- Proof leads to a different proof of Ramsey's Thm!
- Important: measures how nonconstrutive the proof of Ramsey's Thm.
- Part of Recursive Combinatorics. My survey: https:

```
//www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/papers/rcombsur.pdf
```

Thm For every computable $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{\mathbb{N}}{a} \to [c]$ there is a Π_a -homogenous set. There is a computable coloring such that no homog set is Σ_a .

- Too much prerequisite knowledge needed.
- Proof leads to a different proof of Ramsey's Thm!
- Important: measures how nonconstrutive the proof of Ramsey's Thm.
- Part of Recursive Combinatorics. My survey: https: //www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/papers/rcombsur.pdf

Thm The full *a*-ary *c*-color Large Ramsey Thm cannot be proven from Peano Arithmetic.

Thm For every computable $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{\mathbb{N}}{a} \to [c]$ there is a Π_a -homogenous set. There is a computable coloring such that no homog set is Σ_a .

- Too much prerequisite knowledge needed.
- Proof leads to a different proof of Ramsey's Thm!
- Important: measures how nonconstrutive the proof of Ramsey's Thm.
- ► Part of Recursive Combinatorics. My survey: https:

//www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/papers/rcombsur.pdf

Thm The full *a*-ary *c*-color Large Ramsey Thm cannot be proven from Peano Arithmetic.

▶ The first natural Thm to be shown ind. of PA.

Thm For every computable $\mathrm{COL}\colon \binom{\mathbb{N}}{a} \to [c]$ there is a Π_a -homogenous set. There is a computable coloring such that no homog set is Σ_a .

- Too much prerequisite knowledge needed.
- Proof leads to a different proof of Ramsey's Thm!
- Important: measures how nonconstrutive the proof of Ramsey's Thm.
- Part of Recursive Combinatorics. My survey: https:

```
//www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/papers/rcombsur.pdf
```

Thm The full *a*-ary *c*-color Large Ramsey Thm cannot be proven from Peano Arithmetic.

- ▶ The first natural Thm to be shown ind. of PA.
- ► Too much prerequisite knowledge needed.



Recall:

Thm For all 2-col of K_n , exists $\frac{n^3}{24} - O(n^2)$ mono K_3 's.

Recall:

Thm For all 2-col of K_n , exists $\frac{n^3}{24} - O(n^2)$ mono K_3 's.

This is the first thm in a field called Ramsey Multiplicity

Recall:

Thm For all 2-col of K_n , exists $\frac{n^3}{24} - O(n^2)$ mono K_3 's.

This is the first thm in a field called Ramsey Multiplicity

Here is the second thm

Recall:

Thm For all 2-col of K_n , exists $\frac{n^3}{24} - O(n^2)$ mono K_3 's.

This is the first thm in a field called Ramsey Multiplicity

Here is the second thm

Thm Fix k. For large n, for all 2-colorings of K_n there exists $\frac{n^2}{4^{k^2(1+o(1))}}$ mono K_k 's.

Recall:

Thm For all 2-col of K_n , exists $\frac{n^3}{24} - O(n^2)$ mono K_3 's.

This is the first thm in a field called Ramsey Multiplicity

Here is the second thm

Thm Fix k. For large n, for all 2-colorings of K_n there exists $\frac{n^2}{4^{k^2(1+o(1))}}$ mono K_k 's.

This result was deemed too trivial to actually write up until I wrote it up (with help from Nathan Grammel and Erik Metz) in an open problems column on this topic https:

//www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/open/Ramseymult.pdf

Recall:

Thm For all 2-col of K_n , exists $\frac{n^3}{24} - O(n^2)$ mono K_3 's.

This is the first thm in a field called Ramsey Multiplicity

Here is the second thm

Thm Fix k. For large n, for all 2-colorings of K_n there exists $\frac{n^2}{4^{k^2(1+o(1))}}$ mono K_k 's.

This result was deemed too trivial to actually write up until I wrote it up (with help from Nathan Grammel and Erik Metz) in an open problems column on this topic https:

//www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/open/Ramseymult.pdf

Could teach this thm next time.

Recall:

Thm For all 2-col of K_n , exists $\frac{n^3}{24} - O(n^2)$ mono K_3 's.

This is the first thm in a field called Ramsey Multiplicity

Here is the second thm

Thm Fix k. For large n, for all 2-colorings of K_n there exists $\frac{n^2}{4^{k^2(1+o(1))}}$ mono K_k 's.

This result was deemed too trivial to actually write up until I wrote it up (with help from Nathan Grammel and Erik Metz) in an open problems column on this topic https:

//www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/open/Ramseymult.pdf

- Could teach this thm next time.
- ▶ Research Look at col G to get mono H for other G and H.

Results Bill Likes But Would be Hard to Teach: Complexity

Exposition by William Gasarch

December 31, 2024

Complexity: Π_2^p Completeness of Arrow

Def $G \to (H_1, H_2)$ means that for every 2-coloring of the edges of G there is either a **RED** H_1 or a **BLUE** H_2 .

Complexity: Π_2^p Completeness of Arrow

Def $G \rightarrow (H_1, H_2)$ means that for every 2-coloring of the edges of G there is either a **RED** H_1 or a **BLUE** H_2 .

Marcus Schaefer proved the following.

Thm $\{(G, H_1, H_2) : G \rightarrow (H_1, H_2) \text{ is } \Pi_2^p\text{-complete.} \}$

See http://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/COURSES/858/S20/notes/npramsey.pdf

Complexity: NP-Completeness of Grid Extension

Grid Color Extension (GCE) is the set of tuples (n, m, c, χ) such that the following hold:

- ▶ $n, m, c \in \mathbb{N}$. χ is a partial c-coloring of $[n] \times [m]$ that is rectangle-free.
- \triangleright χ can be extended to a rectangle-free coloring of $[n] \times [m]$.

Complexity: NP-Completeness of Grid Extension

Grid Color Extension (GCE) is the set of tuples (n, m, c, χ) such that the following hold:

- ▶ $n, m, c \in \mathbb{N}$. χ is a partial c-coloring of $[n] \times [m]$ that is rectangle-free.
- \blacktriangleright χ can be extended to a rectangle-free coloring of $[n] \times [m]$.

Thm (Apon, Gasarch, Lawler) *GCE* is NP-complete https://arxiv.org/pdf/1205.3813.pdf

Complexity: NP-Completeness of Grid Extension

Grid Color Extension (GCE) is the set of tuples (n, m, c, χ) such that the following hold:

- ▶ $n, m, c \in \mathbb{N}$. χ is a partial c-coloring of $[n] \times [m]$ that is rectangle-free.
- \blacktriangleright χ can be extended to a rectangle-free coloring of $[n] \times [m]$.

Thm (Apon, Gasarch, Lawler) GCE is NP-complete https://arxiv.org/pdf/1205.3813.pdf Jacob Proofread This!

Complexity: Long Proofs Required

Def Resolution proofs are a proof system to show that a Boolean Formula is NOT satisfiable. It is of interest to find a class of non-satisfiable formulas ϕ_n that require (say) $(1.5)^n$ long Res Proofs.

Complexity: Long Proofs Required

Def Resolution proofs are a proof system to show that a Boolean Formula is NOT satisfiable. It is of interest to find a class of non-satisfiable formulas ϕ_n that require (say) $(1.5)^n$ long Res Proofs.

Def A graph is c-random if it does not contain a clique or ind set of size $c \log n$.

Def $\phi_{n,c}$ is a Boolean Formula that says **every** graph on *n* vertices is *c*-random. (This is false for *c* around $\frac{1}{2}$.)

Complexity: Long Proofs Required

Def Resolution proofs are a proof system to show that a Boolean Formula is NOT satisfiable. It is of interest to find a class of non-satisfiable formulas ϕ_n that require (say) $(1.5)^n$ long Res Proofs.

Def A graph is c-random if it does not contain a clique or ind set of size $c \log n$.

Def $\phi_{n,c}$ is a Boolean Formula that says **every** graph on *n* vertices is *c*-random. (This is false for *c* around $\frac{1}{2}$.)

Lauria, Pudlak, Rodl, Thapen proved:

Thm For appropriate c, any resolution proof for $\phi_{n,c}$ requires length $n^{\Omega(\log n)}$.

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1303.3166.pdf

I will let you decide which are PROS and which are CONS.

▶ Students get to (or have to) learn some complexity theory.

- ▶ Students get to (or have to) learn some complexity theory.
- ► These results are Theoretical Computer science.

- ▶ Students get to (or have to) learn some complexity theory.
- ► These results are Theoretical Computer science.
- These results show that TCS=Math.

- ▶ Students get to (or have to) learn some complexity theory.
- ► These results are Theoretical Computer science.
- ► These results show that TCS=Math.
- Bill would have to learn these proofs.

- ▶ Students get to (or have to) learn some complexity theory.
- ▶ These results are Theoretical Computer science.
- ► These results show that TCS=Math.
- Bill would have to learn these proofs.
- Would take time away from more proofs of Can Ramsey.

I will let you decide which are PROS and which are CONS.

- Students get to (or have to) learn some complexity theory.
- ► These results are Theoretical Computer science.
- ► These results show that TCS=Math.
- Bill would have to learn these proofs.
- Would take time away from more proofs of Can Ramsey.

Research What we really want is evidence that computing R(k) is hard. These results do not really do that. Maybe you can!
Research Look at the above results for particular cases and see if easier.

Results Bill Does Not Care About But Should:VDW

Exposition by William Gasarch

December 31, 2024

Rado's Thm for Matrices

Rado's Thm Let $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. TFAE

- ► Some subset of the a_i's sums to 0.
- ▶ For all c, for all COL: $\mathbb{N} \to [c]$ there exists mono solution to

$$x_1a_1+\cdots+a_kx_k=0.$$

Rado's Thm for Matrices

Rado's Thm Let $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. TFAE

- ► Some subset of the a_i's sums to 0.
- ▶ For all c, for all $COL \colon \mathbb{N} \to [c]$ there exists mono solution to

$$x_1a_1+\cdots+a_kx_k=0.$$

There is a version for systems of linear equations.

Rado's Thm for Matrices

Rado's Thm Let $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. TFAE

- ► Some subset of the a_i's sums to 0.
- ▶ For all c, for all $COL: \mathbb{N} \to [c]$ there exists mono solution to

$$x_1a_1+\cdots+a_kx_k=0.$$

There is a version for systems of linear equations.

It's a real pain to state and I don't care.

Rado's Thm for Matrices

Rado's Thm Let $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. TFAE

- ► Some subset of the a_i's sums to 0.
- ▶ For all c, for all $COL: \mathbb{N} \to [c]$ there exists mono solution to

$$x_1a_1+\cdots+a_kx_k=0.$$

There is a version for systems of linear equations.

It's a real pain to state and I don't care.

For a statement of the thm see the Wikipedia entry.

A Very General Thm from which we can derive cleanly VDW and Gallai-Witt.

A Very General Thm from which we can derive cleanly VDW and Gallai-Witt.

Too abstract for my tastes, but its very important!

A Very General Thm from which we can derive cleanly VDW and Gallai-Witt.

Too abstract for my tastes, but its very important!

See Wikipedia Entry for Statement.

A Very General Thm from which we can derive cleanly VDW and Gallai-Witt.

Too abstract for my tastes, but its very important!

See Wikipedia Entry for Statement.

This is someone else's slides on it. So I REALLY could have covered it!

https:

//www.ti.inf.ethz.ch/ew/courses/extremal04/razen.pdf

Ramsey's thm for n-parameter sets

Too complicated to state.

Ramsey's thm for n-parameter sets

Too complicated to state.

Can derive Ramsey's Thm and the Hales-Jewitt Thm from it.

Ramsey's thm for n-parameter sets

Too complicated to state.

Can derive Ramsey's Thm and the Hales-Jewitt Thm from it.

```
https://www.ams.org/journals/tran/1971-159-00/
S0002-9947-1971-0284352-8/S0002-9947-1971-0284352-8.
pdf
```

Results Bill Does Not Care About But Should:Ramsey

Exposition by William Gasarch

December 31, 2024

Thm (AC) There is a coloring of $\binom{\mathbb{R}}{2}$ with no homog set of size \mathbb{R} . So what to do?

Research Topic Assume $\neg AC$ and perhaps something else like AD.

- **Research Topic** Assume $\neg AC$ and perhaps something else like AD.
- ► Look at restricted colorings, like Borel colorings. Leads to: https://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/COURSES/858/S13/ canrampol.pdf

- **Research Topic** Assume $\neg AC$ and perhaps something else like AD.
- ► Look at restricted colorings, like Borel colorings. Leads to: https://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/COURSES/858/S13/ canrampol.pdf
- Prove what you can: If κ is a cardinal then for all COL: $\binom{2^{\kappa}+}{2}$ there is a homog set of size κ .

- **Research Topic** Assume $\neg AC$ and perhaps something else like AD.
- Look at restricted colorings, like Borel colorings. Leads to: https://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/COURSES/858/S13/ canrampol.pdf
- Prove what you can: If κ is a cardinal then for all COL: $\binom{2^{\kappa}+}{2}$ there is a homog set of size κ .
- Ramsey Cardinals on Next Slide.

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^{\alpha} < \aleph_0$.

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^{\alpha} < \aleph_0$.

Question Does there exist an infinite $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that If $\alpha < \kappa$ then $2^{\alpha} < \kappa$.

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^{\alpha} < \aleph_0$.

Question Does there exist an infinite $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that If $\alpha < \kappa$ then $2^{\alpha} < \kappa$.

Vote: YES, NO, or OTHER.

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^{\alpha} < \aleph_0$.

Question Does there exist an infinite $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that If $\alpha < \kappa$ then $2^{\alpha} < \kappa$.

Vote: YES, NO, or OTHER.

OTHER.

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^{\alpha} < \aleph_0$.

Question Does there exist an infinite $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that If $\alpha < \kappa$ then $2^{\alpha} < \kappa$.

Vote: YES, NO, or OTHER.

OTHER. I know what you are thinking.

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^{\alpha} < \aleph_0$.

Question Does there exist an infinite $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that If $\alpha < \kappa$ then $2^{\alpha} < \kappa$.

Vote: YES, NO, or OTHER.

OTHER. I know what you are thinking. Ind of ZFC.

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^{\alpha} < \aleph_0$.

Question Does there exist an infinite $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that If $\alpha < \kappa$ then $2^{\alpha} < \kappa$.

Vote: YES, NO, or OTHER.

OTHER. I know what you are thinking. Ind of ZFC. Not quite.

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^{\alpha} < \aleph_0$.

Question Does there exist an infinite $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that If $\alpha < \kappa$ then $2^{\alpha} < \kappa$.

Vote: YES, NO, or OTHER.

OTHER. I know what you are thinking. Ind of ZFC. Not quite.

Thm ZFC cannot prove that such a κ exists.

Pf Such a κ would be a model of ZFC. No theory can prove the existence of a model for itself.

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^{\alpha} < \aleph_0$.

Question Does there exist an infinite $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that If $\alpha < \kappa$ then $2^{\alpha} < \kappa$.

Vote: YES, NO, or OTHER.

OTHER. I know what you are thinking. Ind of ZFC. Not quite.

Thm ZFC cannot prove that such a κ exists.

Pf Such a κ would be a model of ZFC. No theory can prove the existence of a model for itself.

What About... Can ZFC prove that such a κ does not exist?

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^{\alpha} < \aleph_0$.

Question Does there exist an infinite $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that If $\alpha < \kappa$ then $2^{\alpha} < \kappa$.

Vote: YES, NO, or OTHER.

OTHER. I know what you are thinking. Ind of ZFC. Not quite.

Thm ZFC cannot prove that such a κ exists.

Pf Such a κ would be a model of ZFC. No theory can prove the existence of a model for itself.

What About... Can ZFC prove that such a κ does not exist? Unknown.

True and Obvious If $\alpha < \aleph_0$ then $2^{\alpha} < \aleph_0$.

Question Does there exist an infinite $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that If $\alpha < \kappa$ then $2^{\alpha} < \kappa$.

Vote: YES, NO, or OTHER.

OTHER. I know what you are thinking. Ind of ZFC. Not quite.

Thm ZFC cannot prove that such a κ exists.

Pf Such a κ would be a model of ZFC. No theory can prove the existence of a model for itself.

What About... Can ZFC prove that such a κ does not exist? Unknown.

Def κ is **inaccessible** if $\alpha < \kappa \implies 2^{\alpha} < \kappa$.

Ramsey Cardinals

Def If for all COL: $\binom{\kappa}{2}$ there is a homog set of size κ then κ is **Ramsey**.

True \aleph_0 is Ramsey.

Ramsey Cardinals

Def If for all COL: $\binom{\kappa}{2}$ there is a homog set of size κ then κ is **Ramsey**.

True \aleph_0 is Ramsey.

Question Does there exist a Ramsey cardinal $\kappa > \aleph_0$? **Vote**: YES, NO, or OTHER.

Ramsey Cardinals

Def If for all COL: $\binom{\kappa}{2}$ there is a homog set of size κ then κ is **Ramsey**.

True \aleph_0 is Ramsey.

Question Does there exist a Ramsey cardinal $\kappa > \aleph_0$? **Vote**: YES, NO, or OTHER.

Thm If κ is Ramsey then κ is inaccessible. (The converse is ind of ZFC but reasons to think its false.)

Results Bill May One Day Learn But Still too Hard for the Students

Exposition by William Gasarch

December 31, 2024

Ramsey's Thm with control of the differences

Thm For all c, k and for all order types η there exists N = N(c) such that for all COL: $[N] \to [c]$ there exists a homog set $a_1 < \cdots < a_k$ such that

$$(a_2-a_1,a_3-a_2,\ldots,a_n-a_{n-1})$$

are all distinct and are in order type η .

- ▶ First proven by Noga Alon and Jan Pach using VDW, so bounds on N(c) are large. Later Noga Alon, Alan Stacey, and Saharon Shelah got an iterated exp bound. None of this is written down anywhere.
- ► In 1995 Saharon Shelah got double exp bounds https://arxiv.org/pdf/math/9502234.pdf
- Shelah's paper is hard. I'm looking for easier proof of weaker results.



Szemeredi, Furstenberg, Gowers have given different proofs of: **Sz Thm** If A has upper pos density then, for all k, A contains a k-AP.

Szemeredi, Furstenberg, Gowers have given different proofs of: **Sz Thm** If A has upper pos density then, for all k, A contains a k-AP.

All the proofs look hard to learn and to teach.

Szemeredi, Furstenberg, Gowers have given different proofs of: **Sz Thm** If A has upper pos density then, for all k, A contains a k-AP.

All the proofs look hard to learn and to teach.

Research Easier Proof.

Szemeredi, Furstenberg, Gowers have given different proofs of: **Sz Thm** If A has upper pos density then, for all k, A contains a k-AP.

All the proofs look hard to learn and to teach.

Research Easier Proof.

Caveat There is a proof of Sz thm for Hales-Jewitt which is said to be elementary.

https://arxiv.org/abs/0910.3926

Thm For all k the set of primes has a k-AP.

Thm For all k the set of primes has a k-AP.

Seems hard to learn and teach.

Thm For all k the set of primes has a k-AP.

Seems hard to learn and teach.

Research Easier proof, perhaps of subcases.

Thm For all k the set of primes has a k-AP.

Seems hard to learn and teach.

Research Easier proof, perhaps of subcases.

Research Look for the AP's.