

.tus the 1st MathemAic Instito*
 Direc teren: Prof. Dt. Os Hai mticii Kom-zR Prof. Dr. Hznxsnz G nozzsc a

Regular graphs of given waist width with a minimum number of nodes

PAUL ERDÖS und HORST SACHS*)

I. An estimation for the minimum knotic number of regular craphs, which do not contain a Jfreis of length f contain

Let G^m be a graph with H nodes; node piicts of G^* are denoted by z^1, \dots, y, \dots , edges are denoted by $(z^i z_j)$. Loops and bicorners are not permitted. The Ya l e nz (or order) $v(z)$ of a node z sion C^m is the number of edges that incide with z . There sei G^m a $\text{€ira}\}3h$ with the node puiicts r^1, \dots, z^i iveleher contains the edge $(z^i z_j)$ but no edges $(r^i z_j)$; $(G^m - (z^i r_j) - j - (z^i z_j))$ is obtained from G^m by omitting the edge $(z^i z_j)$ and adding the edge $(z^i z_j)$. An edge is a simple closed edge. The e n t f e r n u r i g (t'der der Abstand) $e(G^m: r^i r_j)$ sion zt xind z in T^m is the length of the shortest edge course connecting z , and r , (the length of an edge course or circle is the .number of banks int dai ltenzunge or circles occurring edges). The length of a shortest in $*J'$ occurring circles are called the T a l l e n i v e i t e of G^m . Let $JF(z, \cdot)$ be the setall nodes that can be reached from z by an edge of the $T>iinge$ r (we should actually $K |O^m, x, r)$ $>ellieiberi, t un Vlies a l"-r$ nicht. dv w Jr this bymliol w-erden so that in this case no iilßverstand'lnis can arise). $<S$ be rlie - number of elements of a chenge 6.

$f(k, l)$ is the smallest number for which exists a regular graph U^m of valence F with $it = f(r, l)$ such that each circle of U^m has at least length $f(d, li, \text{the waist width of } G^m \text{ aei } 1)$. E6 Is it clear that $lf / (z^*, 1)l$ is unit only $/ 1, 3) = 2 - 1$ (dv is a regular graph of valence k at least 1 note points). for $k > 1, f > 3$ St old the determination of $f(k, l)$ is a difficult problem, which is solved biahier riir for special values $i cm k$ and f . Recently isaGuS §4] received an upper estimate for $f(k, l)$, which he considers to be very poor. At his suggestion, I succeeded in obtaining quite good lower and upper estimates for $f(k, l)$. The following applies

Satz 1: Für jedes $k \geq 2, l \geq 3$ ist

$$(1) \quad \frac{1 + k \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{l-3}{2} \rfloor} (k-1)^t}{k} \leq f(k, l) \leq 4 \sum_{t=1}^{l-2} (k-1)^t.$$

for $F = 2$ Eois-ie for $l = 3$ (1) is certainly correct, so lets assume the following $F > 2$ and $l > 3$ for 'Iss diirfcn.

At the same time, we want to use the lower -4estimation- in tl). \\\we will riur t (z) k (for all nodes $vr'n G^m$). Let z be any finoten point of P^m ; each fi.note point of $K x.$ ' --- can be derived from

x durch genau einen Kantenzug der Länge $\leq \lfloor \frac{l-1}{2} \rfloor$ erreicht werden, da sonst $G^{(n)}$ einen Kreis der Länge $< l$ enthielte. Da jeder Knotenpunkt von $G^{(n)}$ eine Valenz $\geq k$ hat, zeigt ein leichter Induktionsschluß nach r , daß für alle r mit $1 \leq r \leq \lfloor \frac{l-1}{2} \rfloor$

$$(*) \quad \overline{K(x, r)} \geq 1 + k \sum_{t=0}^r (k-1)^t$$

p-ilt. The lower estimate of (1) follows immediately, u enn in $\{L^*\} r - 1$ --- is set.

*) Part I is essentially due to the first-named author, Part II to the second-named author.

towards we want to **prove** the upper bound estimate. **We prove** the following more precise statement :

Es sei $k \geq 2$, $l \geq 4$, $m \geq 2 \sum_{t=1}^{l-2} (k-1)^t$. Dann gibt es einen regulären $G^{(2^m)}$ der Valenz k , dessen Kreise alle

For prove this result for fixed l by induction according to l . For $k=2$ it is clear. For $k \geq 3$ let C be a circle of length $2l$ in G (it is clear). Let us assume that our **theorem** for $l-1$ is already proven, we then want to prove it for l . H^m in the following always denotes a regular graph of valence k and waist width l with n nodes. According to our induction assumption, a graph $G^{(2^m-1, l)}$ exists. H^m is now a graph that has the following three properties:

- (I) $k-1 \leq v(x) \leq k$ für alle $2m$ Knotenpunkte.
 (II) Alle Kreise haben eine Länge $\geq l$.

(III) Carterellen Dreiecke, the (I) and (II) *is* Friedigen, set Ute number of Janice ton 6^0 - "iziniot.

Since $G^{(k-1, l)}$ (I) and (II) satisfies, it is clear that $G^{(k-1, l)}$ satisfies (I) and (II). We want to show that for $G^{(k, l)}$ we have z did that also $G^{(k-1, l)}$ from the Valenz k did, and herewith everything proven.

First we want to show that $G^{(k, l)}$ can contain at most **one** node of valence $< k$. However, since this proof is not **quite** simple, we will first show that the **regularity** of $G^{(k, l)}$ follows from this. **It is**

(I), each point of expression should have the valence $k-l$. But this is not possible, since the number of (notan points must be even, and for even l there would be exactly one node of uneven valence, for even k exactly $l/2$ in J aoJche nodes. therefore $v(z) = k-l$ applies to all z , and our **statement** is proven.

We only need to show that the. Assuming that two nodes z_1 and z_2 of $G^{(k, l)}$ with $v(z_1) < k$ and $v(z_2) < k$ exist, leads to a contradiction. We claim

Zehn I. AU node with $v(z) = k-l$ of $G^{(k, l)}$ are furnished in $N(z) \cap C(y, l)$.

It suffices... to show this for $A(z) \cap C(y, l)$. If against our assumption $v(z) < k$ and $v(y) < k$, so obviously satisfies $C^{(k-1, l)}$ satisfies conditions (I) and (II) ((I) because of $v(z) < k$, $v(y) < k$ and (II) because of $v(z) < k$). However, this is contradicted by the maximum value property (III) for $G^{(k, l)}$, and thus $v(z) = k-l$ is proven.

Lemma 2. *Es sei x ein Knotenpunkt von $G^{(2^m)}$ mit $v(x) < k$. Dann gilt*

$$(3) \quad \overline{K}(x, r) \leq \sum_{t=0}^r (k-1)^t.$$

Because of $v(z) < k$ follows (3) for $r = l-1$. For $r = l$ follows (3) from $v(z) = k-l$ by a slight induction to r . From Lemma 1 and a' now follows

$$(4) \quad \overline{K}(x_1, l-2) \cup \overline{K}(x_2, l-2) \leq m:$$

Obviously it follows from (3) and Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{K}(x_1, l-2) \cup \overline{K}(x_2, l-2) &= \overline{K}(x_1, l-2) + \overline{K}(x_2, l-2) - \overline{K}(x_1, l-2) \cap \overline{K}(x_2, l-2) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{l-2} (k-1)^t - 2 \quad m \end{aligned}$$

(wegen Lemma 1 und wegen $v(x_1) < k$, $v(x_2) < k$ ist $\overline{K}(x_1, l-2) \cap \overline{K}(x_2, l-2) \geq 2$). Also ist (4) bewiesen.

There are now z_1, \dots, z_p the node points contained in $R(z) \cap C(y, l)$, and y_1, \dots, y_p are the remaining node points of $C(y, l)$. Aue (4) **folgt**

$$(5) \quad 2m - p \geq p.$$

Now we want to show that z_1, \dots, z_p are connected by an edge. First follows because of Lemma 1 $v(y_j) = k-l$, $1 \leq j \leq 2m-p$.

If no z_i of the y_j were connected by an edge, then z_1, \dots, z_p edges $z_i y_j$, with the z_i connect. However, because of (3) and $v(y_j) = k-l$ z_1, \dots, z_p edges $z_i y_j$ follow $v(z_i) = k-l$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$, and this contradicts $v(z_i) < k-l$.

We can therefore assume without limitation of generality that the edge (y_1, z_1) occurs in $G^{(2^m)}$. Betrachten wir jetzt den Graphen $(G^{(2^m)} - (y_1, z_1) + (x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2)) = \tilde{G}^{(2^m)}$ (die edges (z_1, y_1) and (z_1, y_1) For kommen offenbar nicht in $G^{(2^m)}$ vor). Ich behaupte, daß $\tilde{G}^{(2^m)}$ (I) und (II) befriedigt. II ist klar. If

$\tilde{G}^{(2^m)}$ would contain a circle of length l , then one (or both) of the edges (z_1, y_1) , (z_2, y_2) would have to occur in this circle. It applies, however, because of the definition of y_j

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} e(G^{(2^m)}; x_1, y_1) &\geq l-1 \\ e(G^{(2^m)}; x_2, y_2) &\geq l-1 \\ e(G^{(2^m)}; (y_1, y_2); y_1, y_2) &\geq l-1. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality i-on (B) follows arts 'ler T at cache, tlat in G'° "" no Krois of length< I occurs . Aux t̂o) easily follows that * "" (II) satisfies . Daa nber "-idem]richt (IiIj, da ° "" mehr Kariten hat all G'° "".

So Tann G'° "" hũchõteis a node 'ter 3'alenz k halien, nail dun it is alle proven.

demerjunge*'

1) **Flan** ltũrtnte the r'bere .ũbschát sung in (1) still etv'as s-sharp, wean one considers that the from the nodes $K(z_i, \hat{I} - 2) \underline{K} [r . I -)$ has s-on $\ddot{U}^\circ "$ miiidebtens $\mathbb{A} (z'' f-2) w K(z_{;-,-}) - I$ Kanteri, a bcr that would be ziomlich insignificant.

à) .Search the lower paragraph in II) letf rich roittrls a met.hode liir lgei'ades 1 2q versehãrfeii given by F. KzRTESZi [2]: fis z and y be zivei nodes connected by an edge aa t'T ^ [k, * q) : then. each K node r of f* (č, * q). whose A lutõiid c row z or y p- I rat, offeziber writ genaii onei of the vertices z, y by eirien Kantenzug der Lãnge e vel bunden, und zs ar dUFOh genau einen solchen Kanteneug, denn ili jedem anderen Fableëbe ergëbe ein Kreie der Lãnge <. From this z'ir conclude that 6J''' (1. *q) contains a tree of the kind given in .Ebb. 1 contains . Therefore folgt:

$$n \geq 2 + 2(k-1) + 2(k-1)^2 + \dots + 2(k-1)^{q-1} = 2 \{ (k-1)^q - 1 \} / (k-2), \quad \times \quad y$$

SO CldfS

$$(7) \quad f(k, 2q) \geq 2 \frac{(k-1)^q - 1}{k-2}$$

and this scliranke rat um ik - 1)' ' gi õBer ads (iie in (I) indicated below

$$1 + k \sum_{t=0}^{q-1} (k-1)^t = \{ k (k-1)^{q-1} - 2 \} / (k-2). \quad \mathbf{K=4} \quad t-6$$

3)'we do not want the F'allé 2IIsãlnme11- we have been told. places where you can aim for l k, I) e xjilizit.

Abb. 1

If multiple edges are used, it makes sense to use Glen FslI /

2 to considered.

Let's start with the t rival cases.

a) k z>. Obviously iõt

$$(8) \quad f(2, l) = l,$$

the G1'aphen G' (2. I) mind (6i aõf Loinorphie) clearly b' true, nõebeli ciriee of length.

b) I - 2. Apparently

$$(9) \quad /fk, \hat{a}) - 2.$$

The grophen f-° (k, 2) are clearly defined, they consist of two nodes and the two nodes connected to each other.

c) l = 3. Wie am Anfang der Arbeit bemerkt wurde, ist

$$(10) \quad f(k, 3) = k + 1,$$

rlie graphs fi''' * (I. 3) are unidirectional t'estimnt, nãrmlit Ìi which consist of k I nodes and

$\frac{k+1}{2}$ diese

nodal points paa re cite interconnected want en consist of complete greplien.

'1) 1 4. Nacli a nríintlly žiitteilnng of I . Põsa (BudaFegt) it

$$(11) \quad / (2, 4) = - I.$$

Ben c sharp: V'egen (i) is / (I:, 4) 2, and it is also Ifk, 4)

2, for there is a $\ddot{U}^\circ ' (č, 4)$, namely Glen pairs

€raplien with the 2 š node piinkts

. , to, ; v, ' , . . . , y

unrł the č° edges

$$(x_i, y_j) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k).$$

dli der mind die Grnplien U''''' i k, 4) ein'Jeutig bcatiimnt, win mam sich auf Grund wren Bcmerking 2 lciieht clear.

e) f = 3. From (1) follows directly

$$f(k, 5) \geq k^2 + 1. \quad \text{A. J. HOFFMAN und R. R. SINGLETON [1] haben bewiesen:}$$

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} f(k, 5) = k^2 + 1 & \text{für } k = 2, 3, 7 \\ f(k, 5) > k^2 + 1 & \text{für } k \neq 2, 3, 7 \text{ und } 57; \end{cases}$$

In the case of b = 7, the question has not been clarified.

f) 1. G. F. KURTZ [ü] was able to show :

Ist p eine beliebige Primzahl und r eine beliebige natürliche Zahl, so gilt

$$(13) \quad f(1 + p^r, 6) = 2(1 + p^r + p^{2r}).$$

g) 7. f(k, i) is greater for k > 2 than the lower Schranke (1).

h) f = 3. here is according to W. T. TRUERS [3] und J. F. SHOOTER (3, 1) in the cases i = 2, 3, 4, 5, Q, 7, S; z e give for the 6-erte von f the size / (3, i) the bounds that result from (1) or (7):

$2 \leq f(3, 2) = 2$	
$4 \leq f(3, 3) = 4 \leq 8$	
$6 \leq f(3, 4) \leq 24$	
$10 \leq f(3, 5) \leq 56$	
$14 \leq f(3, 6) \leq 120$	
$22 \leq f(3, 7) \leq 248$	
$f(3, 8) \leq 504.$	

For f > 8 let / (3, f) be larger than the lower Schranke (1) bzw. (i).

Es zeigt sich, dass in allen Fällen, in denen wir / (k, f) (mit Ausnahme von k=3, f=7), / (k, f) immer gleich dem unteren Schranke (1) bzw. (7) ist. Wenn k gerade ist, besteht jedes GrG^k(a, i) aus einem Baum, dessen Blätter die Knoten sind, deren Abstand in dem Baum gleich i-1 ist; es folgt, dass dann G^k(a, f) ein paarweises GrG ist, was leicht direkt in den bekannten Fällen zu überprüfen ist. Die folgende Fragestellung ergibt sich:

Gibt es eine Zahl k ≥ 3 und eine gerade Zahl l ≥ 6 so, daß einer der Graphen G^(n)(k, l) mit minimaler Knotenzahl n kein Minimalgraph ist?

II. Some features of the mini inline graphs

For the following, only the existence of GrG^k(L, i) for any natural numbers (k, i) ≥ 3 and suitable i; this can be taken from Teil I of this paper or, independently of this, also from [41].

You want to have some of your own set of those GrG^k(L, i), for welche die kleinstmögliche Anzahl / (k, l); these graphs are referred to as minimal inline graphs in the following. - Es ist

(A) *Der Abstand zweier beliebiger Knotenpunkte eines Minimalgraphen G^(n)(k, l) ist ≤ l.*

Proof: Assume that in G^k(L, 1) two vertices z, y whose distance > l exist. z is connected to the vertices z_1, ..., z_{i-1} und y zu den Vertices y_1, ..., y_{i-1} sind jeweils durch eine Kante verbunden. Dann betrachten wir den Graphen G^k(L, t) wenn wir die Knotenpunkte z und y sowie alle Kanten, die von ihnen ausgehen, löschen und stattdessen die Knoten z' = (z, y), ..., (z_i, y_i) einsetzen. G^k(L, t) ist ein GrG^k(A, l) (möglicherweise in mehrere Teile zerfallend), d.h. n ist nicht die minimale Anzahl an Knoten - im Widerspruch zum Anforderung.

Folgerung aus (A):

$$(14) \quad f(k, l) \leq \frac{k(k-1)^l - 2}{k-2} \quad (k > 2).$$

This results from (A) by means of a simple inductive closure (already used in I), therefore (A) the minimal graph no longer has n

$$1 + k + k(k-1) + \dots + k(k-1)^{l-1} = 1 + k \frac{(k-1)^l - 1}{k-2} = \frac{k(k-1)^l - 2}{k-2}$$

Node points. The upper Schranke thus obtained for / (L, 1) is of a similar Art as the upper Schranke given in (1) by Eouig and

$$4Z_{(k-1)} \cdot \left\{ \frac{(k-1)^{l-1} - 1}{k-2} \right\}$$

but less well than these.

(B) *Es sei $k = 2h$ gerade und x ein beliebiger Knotenpunkt eines Minimalgraphen $G^{(n)}(k, l)$. Dann gilt: Die Anzahl derjenigen Kanten von $G^{(n)}(k, l)$, welche je zwei Knotenpunkte verbinden, die beide von x den*

Proof: Assume that (B) is false. Then $ea\ io\ G'''(k, f)$ gives a node z and J edges $u''y'' \dots, ''$ both of which have end}tjyoten points of have the ab8t&nd l. The (not necessarily different) end node points of these edges are $y'' \dots, y''$. Let the vertex z be connected to the vertices $z'' \dots, z''$ are each connected by an edge. We consider the graph $9''$, which results from $G'''(k, l)$ if w-we connect all the edges $'' \dots, x''$ as well as the vertex z and the edges extending from it and instead delete the edges $(z''y_i), (z''y_t)$ instead. U'' proves to be a $C^{*''}(f, f)$, also tot n not the minimum number of nodes - in contradiction to the presupposition.

From (B) we conclude

(U) *As $cei\ k = 2h$ gerade und z siit beliebter Knaten punkt einer Mini malgrapheti $H'''(k, l)$. Dnxn Uf the Anmhl a deiyenipen Knoten punkte non $G'''(k, f)$. which have of x the Abela $M l, \{I-1\}^{t-1}$.*

Proof: If $u=0$, then (CJ) is certainly correct; we may therefore assume $u > 0$. Let v be the number of vertices $ron\ 9''(k, t)$ which at a distance $f-1$ from z , and q the number of edges, srelehe connect node points $ron\ distance\ J$ and $f-1$ $ron\ z$ with each other. Then, because of (B)

$$q \geq uk - 2(h-1) - (u-1) \cdot 2h + 2,$$

on the other hand

$$q \leq v(k-1) - v(2h-1),$$

so daß

$$(u-1) \cdot 2h + 2 \leq v \cdot (2h-1).$$

If one inserts an upper bound for v into this, one obtains an upper bound for o . According to the inductive closure, which has already been used several times, it is certain that

$$v \leq k(k-1)^{t-2} - 2h \cdot (2h-1)^{t-2},$$

so daß sich der Reihe nach ergibt:

$$\begin{aligned} (u-1) \cdot 2h + 2 &\leq 2h \cdot (2h-1)^{t-2} (2h-1), \\ (u-1) \cdot h &< h \cdot (2h-1)^{t-1}, \\ u-1 &< (k-1)^{t-1}, \\ u &\leq (k-1)^{t-1}, \end{aligned}$$

as was claimed.

VoTgecuog off (Ü):

The upper bound (14) can be improved in the case $k = 2h$ (1), because due to (A) and (E) a minimal graph $K^{*'}(3 \text{ ä}, f)$ is not more than

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + k + k(k-1) + \dots + k(k-1)^{t-2} + (k-1)^{t-1} \\ = 1 + k \{ (k-1)^{t-1} - 1 \} / (k-2) + (k-1)^{t-1} \\ = 2 \{ (k-1)^t - 1 \} / (k-2) = \{ (2h-1)^t - 1 \} / (h-1) \end{aligned}$$

nodes, so that ako

$$(15) \quad f(2h, l) \leq \frac{(2h-1)^t - 1}{h-1} (h-1).$$

Abßr also the upper bound is w-less good aL9 the upper bound (1).

(D) *Through each Kanlc some MinimalgrapMn $G'''(k, l)$ go insnsJesfens $\frac{k+1}{2} \mid$ Kreise der Länge $\leq l+1$.*

Proof 6: In the cases $f=3$ and $f=4$, we can directly testify to the correctness of the assertion and may therefore $ron\ aaet\ l > 4$.

Suppose (D) aei taleeh. Then there is an edge (z, y) in $G'''(k, l)$ through which less than $\frac{k+1}{2}$ circles of length N if $3-1$ pass through. z is, apart from $iziit\ y$, also connected to the nodes $z'' \dots, z''$ y is not only connected to z but also to the nodes $y'' \dots, y''$ by one edge each; because of $l \geq 4$ no two of the nodes $z'' \dots, z''; y'' \dots, y''$ are identical or connected by an edge. The fumeration of the $z'' \dots, z''; y'' \dots, y''$, e_i such that for $i=1, 2, \dots, k$ each circle containing the edges $(z''z)$ and (r, y) and each circle containing the edges (z, y) and (y, y_-) has a length $t-1$ (one

can certainly be numbered ∞ , because in the other case it could be thought that the edge (z, y) is contained in at least $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor$ least circles of length $(k+1)$. Then for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, that every circle, which goes through the edges $(z, z), (z, y), (y, y), \dots$, has a length $> 1 - 1$. We consider the graph G^* , which arises from G if we delete the nodes z and y as well as the edges emanating from them and, instead of them, the edges $(z', z), (z', y), \dots, (z', z)$. R^* is C^* if (\mathbb{Z}, I) , so n is not the minimal number of nodes - in contradiction for the prerequisite.

Folgerung (D) :

(E)

Eigenschaft (E) also results from the following property:

(F) *Each minimal graph $G^*(k, l)$ contains at least $\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor$ circles.*

(A node z of a connected graph H is called a decomposition node of G if G is decomposed into several separate parts by deleting z and the edges leading from z).

Beweis: Assuming R^* is C^* , we decompose by deleting a node z and the edges starting from z into several separate parts, none of which G may have the minimum number of nodes n ; then, obviously z is a decomposition node in G^* with the node points q_1, \dots, z_0 ($p < \mathbb{Z}$) of G each connected by a circle. G', G'' are two graphs isomorphic to G , where the nodes p_1, \dots, z_0 of G' and z_1, \dots, z_0 of G'' and the nodes q_1, \dots, z_0 of G may correspond. Then we consider the graph O^{**} , which arises when G' and G'' are connected by the edges $(z_1, z_1), (z_1, z_2), \dots, (z_0, z_0)$ are connected to each other.

u^{**} proves to be a U^{**} , I , so n is not the minimal number - in contradiction to the .

Weiter folgt leicht aus (D):

(G) *Durch jeden Knotenpunkt eines Minimalgraphen $G^{(n)}(k, l)$ gehen mindestens $\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor$ Kreise der Länge l durch.*

Beweis: The arbitrary node z of $C^{(n)}(k, l)$ has the neighboring nodes z_1, \dots, z_l , through which a circle (z, z_i) may pass exactly c_i circles of length l ($i = 1, 2, \dots$). If c is the number of old circles of length l passing through z , the following obviously applies

$$c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_l = c$$

because of (D) is

$$c_i \geq \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor, \text{ Consequently } c \geq \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor,$$

and this immediately results in the assertion (G).

Assertion (R) can be made more precise by two further statements:

(H) *Es sei $k = 2h$ gerade und x ein beliebiger Knotenpunkt eines Minimalgraphen $G^{(n)}(k, l)$. Dann sind mindestens $h+1$ der von x ausgehenden Kanten je in einem Kreis der Länge l enthalten.*

Durch x gehen also mindestens $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor + 1$ Kreise der Länge l .

Proof: In the case of $h = 3$, the assertion is correct, we may therefore assume $h > 3$. Suppose,

(D) be false. Then in $G^{(n)}$, there is a vertex z such that at least one of the edges starting from z do not belong to a circle of length l . Let z be connected to the vertices y_1, \dots, y_p by one edge each, the numbering such that none of the edges $(z, y_1), \dots, (z, y_p)$ is connected to a circle length l . Because of $h > 3$, no two of the nodes z_i ($i = 1, \dots, p$) are identical or connected by an edge. We consider the graph G^0 , which arises from $G^{(n)}$ if we delete the node z as well as all the edges emanating from it and their edges $(y_1, z), (y_2, z), \dots, (y_p, z)$ are simple. u^0 proves that $G^{(n)}$ is not minimal, i.e. n is not the minimum node count - in contradiction to the assertion.

(J) *Es sei $k = 2h + 1$ ungerade, und x und y zwei beliebige, durch eine Kante verbundene Knotenpunkte eines Minimalgraphen $G^{(n)}(k, l)$. Dann sind mindestens $h+1$ der von x ausgehenden Kanten je in einem Kreis der Länge l enthalten.*

Durch x oder y gehen also mindestens $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor + 1$ Kreise der Länge l , welche die Kante (x, y) nicht enthalten.

Proof: In the cases $h = 3$ and $h = 4$ the assertion is certainly correct, we may therefore assume $h > 4$. Suppose (J) is false. Then in $G^{(n)}$ (\mathbb{Z}, l) there are two vertices z, y connected by an edge

with the property that in G''' (\mathbb{Z} , l - (z , y) both from z and from y there are at least A edges which do not belong to a circle of length l . Let z be (except with y) with the nodal points r_1, \dots, z_1 y aei {except with z) with the nodes $y'' \dots$, y_t are each connected by an edge, where z the numbering is such that none of the edges (z, y), \dots , (r, r); (y, y), \dots , (y, y) in $6r \wedge^* (k, l) - (z, y)$ belongs to a circle of length f . Because of $l > 4$ no two of the node purics r_i, y_j ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, 2h$) are identical or connected by an edge. We consider the graph $G^{(n)}$, which results from $O^* (1, 1)$, if we delete the endpoints z, y and all edges starting from them and instead use the edges

$(x_1, x_{h+1}), (x_2, x_{h+2}), \dots, (x_h, x_{2h}); (y_1, y_{h+1}), (y_2, y_{h+2}), \dots, (y_h, y_{2h})$ einführen. $G^{(n)}$ erweist sich als ein $G^{(n-2)}(k, l)$, also ist n nicht die itirrimal node calil - in \ contradiction to the prerequisite.

Bemerkung: Es entsteht hier die Frage, ob für geeignete Zahlen h und l ein Minimalgraph $G^{(n)}(2h+1, l)$ existiert, welcher einen Knotenpunkt besitzt, durch den kein Kreis der Länge l geht.

Evolution from (H) and (II):

(K) Ein Minimalgraph $G^{(n)}(k, l)$ hat die Tailienweite l .

Wir conclude from this:

Satz 2: Su z i be/iebfpsu xoffirf*chea Za7dsn $k \geq 2$, $l \geq 3$ ezis?erat' 8fsfa regsdöra GropÄett der P'zh'tzzf und der Tailienweite l (vgl. dazu [4]).

Ein regulärer Graph der Valenz k und der Tailienweite l minimaler Knotenzahl ist zugleich ein Minimalgraph $G^{(n)}(k, l)$ mit $n = f(k, l)$ und hat folglich, falls k gerade ist, die Eigenschaften (A) bis (H), und falls k ungerade ist, die Eigenschaften (A), (D), (E), (F), (G) und (J). Seine Knotenzahl $f(k, l)$ genügt den Ungleichungen (1) und - falls l gerade ist - (7). (Siehe auch (17) am Schluß der Arbeit.)

Note: The sections (14) and (IS) can easily be changed using (D), (O) and (H) or (J), but we will not go into this in detail.

Bemerkung*): Zwischen $f(k, 2q+1)$ und $f(k, 2q+2)$ besteht folgende Relation:

$$(16) \quad f(k, 2q+2) \leq 2 f(k, 2q+1) \quad (q = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

Prove: Let $O - t''(k, 2g+1)$ be a minimal graph and G', O'' two graphs that are isomorphic to G . G' contains the edge (e', S') , which corresponds to the map (e'', h'') on the basis of the $I6Oolorphie$ in d'' . We delete the edges (n', f') and (o'', b'') and new edges $\{a', h''\}, (e'', h'')$ in their place, and proceed in the same way with each pair of corresponding edges of U' and A'' . The resulting graph d has $2o$ nodes and is

regulär of valence b . its 'faill width is not less than that of 9 , and since it did openly $O2ll Q8aPCr$ graph, it does not contain a circle of odd length. From this it immediately follows that f_i is a $G^{(n)}(k, 2q+2)$, and therefore $f(k, 2q+2) \leq 2 f(k, 2q+1)$; this is to be proved.

Old (16) and (1) we scbtie0en:

$$f(k, 2q+2) \leq 8 \sum_{i=0}^{2q-1} (k-1)^i = 8 \frac{(k-1)^{2q} - 1}{(k-1) - 1} = 8 \frac{(k-1)^{2q} - 1}{k-2}$$

and this SpJl bound is better than the upper bound (1) for even l

FO88It summarizes the estimates obtained (1), (7) and (18):

$$(") \quad \begin{cases} 1 \leq \frac{k^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} - 1}{k-2} \leq f(k, 2q+1) \leq 4 \frac{(k-1)^{2q} - 1}{k-2} \\ 2 \frac{(k-1)^{q+1} - 1}{k-1} \leq f(k, 2q+2) \leq 8 \frac{(k-1)^{2q} - 1}{k-2} \end{cases} \quad (q=1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

*) Addendum during printing. II. P.

IITERATURE

[1] J. J. Quinn, A. J., and S. Szostak, R. R.: On Moore graphs with diameters two and three. I. B. II. Journal of Research and Development A (1960), 487 - 504.

[2] K. R. Mead, F.: Piani finiti oieliei come risoluzioni di un certo problema di minimo. Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (3) 13 (1980), 529-538; aietse also: hat. E. Apol. 11 (1960), 323-329 (ungariach).

[3] H. G. Ma, W. F.: A minimal cubic graph of girth seven. Canad. Math. Bull. 8 (1965), 141 - 152.

[4] Gaeta, IT.: Regular graphes with given girth and restricted circuits. Jour. London Math. Soc. (in Druck).

[5] T. V. Z. Y. T.: -4- family of cubical graphs. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 43 (1947), 469 - 474.

ERDE, Paul - Atthemxltk, Budapest, n. Z. bnkvrstty Gollge, London, s. 'cas, noist - tight. Your rer. net. (Ptom. 19S8 Belle), senior assistant at the 1. Afafhein. Inst. I. chs L'cauftzegter a. ü. JMath. xat. T'alc. of the mir. Hall