AMSC/CMSC 661 Scientific Computing II Spring 2005 Solution of Hyperbolic Partial Differential Equations Part 1: Theory Dianne P. O'Leary ©2005 These notes are based on the 2003 textbook of Stig Larsson and Vidar Thomée.

#### Recall from Introduction to our Course:

Consider a differential equation that is a function of two variables, x and t:

 $au_{tt} + 2bu_{xt} + cu_{xx} + \ldots = f(x,t)$ 

where the dots denote terms that have fewer than 2 derivatives. We classify the differential equation depending on a, b, and c:

- elliptic if ac b<sup>2</sup> > 0.
  Example: Poisson's equation u<sub>tt</sub> + u<sub>xx</sub> = f(x, t).
- hyperbolic if  $ac b^2 < 0$ . Example: the wave equation  $u_{tt} - u_{xx} = f(x, t)$ .
- parabolic if  $ac b^2 = 0$ . Example: the heat equation  $u_t - u_{xx} = f(x, t)$ .

It is now time to study hyperbolic equations.

The plan:

First, some of the theory (Chapter 11). Hyperbolic equations come in three forms:

- The wave equation
- First order scalar equations
- Symmetric hyperbolic systems

What makes all of them different from

- elliptic equations (where the solution at each point is coupled to every other point)
- and parabolic equations (in which the solution now depends on what happens at every point in history)

is their dependence on only a small part of the historical data.

### The IBVP for the wave equation

Reference: Section 11.2

#### The equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u_{tt}} - \Delta u(x,t) &= & 0 & \text{ for } x \in \Omega \subset \mathcal{R}^d, t \in \mathcal{R}_+ \\ u(x,0) &= & v(x) & \text{ for } x \in \Omega \\ \mathbf{u_t}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{0}) &= & \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}) & \text{ for } x \in \Omega \\ u(x,t) &= & 0 & \text{ for } x \in \Gamma(\Omega), t \in \mathcal{R}_+ \end{aligned}$$

This looks very much like the Initial-Boundary Value Problem for the heat equation, except for the parts in blue.

The right tool for analyzing the IBVP for the heat equation was the **eigendecomposition**, and we use it here, too.

Let's pull out our complete set of orthonormal eigenfunctions for  $-\Delta u = \lambda u$  on  $\Omega.$ 

Call the eigenvalues  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots$  and call the eigenfunctions  $z_j$ , so that

$$-\Delta z_j = \lambda_j z_j \text{ in } \Omega,$$

 $\mathsf{and}$ 

$$z_j = 0$$
 on  $\Gamma$ .

We'll **separate variables** and try to express u as a sum of z's:

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{t}) z_j(x).$$

Let's see if we can find functions  $w_i(t)$  to make this work.

\_

Note that the **boundary conditions** are automatically satisfied.

Let's try to **satisfy the PDE**:

$$u_{tt} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j''(t) z_j(x)$$
$$\Delta u = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j(t) \lambda_j z_j(x)$$

SO

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (w_j''(t) + \lambda_j w_j(t)) z_j(x) = 0.$$

Since the  $z_j$  form an **orthonormal** basis, we must have

$$w_j'' + \lambda_j w_j = 0$$

for t > 0, with

$$w_j(0) = (v, z_j),$$
$$w'_j(0) = (s, z_j)$$

and we know the solution to ODEs that look like this:

$$w_j(t) = (v, z_j)\cos(t\sqrt{\lambda_j}) + \frac{(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{z}_j)}{\sqrt{\lambda_j}}\sin(t\sqrt{\lambda_j}),$$

so

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z}_j) \cos(t\sqrt{\lambda_j}) + \frac{(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{z}_j)}{\sqrt{\lambda_j}} \sin(t\sqrt{\lambda_j}) \right) z_j(x).$$

Therefore, we know that a solution exists as long as this series converges.

### Well-posedness

We are one step toward establishing well-posedness. We still need uniqueness and stability, consequences of this theorem:

**Theorem:** If u is sufficiently smooth, then the energy of the solution

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u_t(x,t)^2 + |\bigtriangledown u(x,t)|^2) dx$$

is constant with respect to time.

Proof: Theorem 11.2, p167.

Unquiz: Explain how this implies uniqueness and stability.

The cone of influence

Suppose we are interested in the solution to the wave equation at a single point  $(\bar{x},\bar{t}),$  where t>0.

Question: How much initial data do we need in order to compute the solution at this point?

The answer is somewhat surprising, given our experience with elliptic and parabolic equations.

Answer: We need the initial data in a *d*-dimensional sphere of radius  $\bar{t}$  around  $\bar{x}$ .

**Proof:** See Theorem 11.3, p.167. (but not very intuitive).

### First order scalar equations and characteristics

Reference: Section 11.3 is not easy to read. We'll do it by example.

This form of the problem looks like

$$u_t + a \cdot \bigtriangledown u + a_0 u = f$$

for  $x \in \Omega \subset \mathcal{R}^d$ ,  $t \in \mathcal{R}_+$ , with initial conditions

$$u(x,0) = v(x)$$

for  $x \in \Omega$ .

The **boundary conditions** are rather special:

$$u(x,t) = g(x,t)$$

for (x, t) on the **inflow boundary**.

- This boundary is defined by the points  $x\in \Gamma(\Omega)$  and t>0 for which  $a\cdot n<0.$
- n, which depends on x, is the exterior normal, the unit vector pointing out from Ω, perpendicular to Γ.

We don't specify boundary conditions on the rest of the boundary; if we do, the solution may fail to exist.

Assume that there is no point x for which a = 0, and assume that all of the coefficients are smooth.

The method of characteristics

Define the **characteristic**, or **streamline**, to be the solution x(s) to the system of ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{dx_j(s)}{ds} = a_j(x(s))$$

This gives us a set of coordinates x(s) for every set of initial values x(0).

Now back to our problem.

$$u_t + a \cdot \bigtriangledown u + a_0 u = f$$

Let w(s) = u(x(s), s). Then the Chain Rule tells us that

$$\frac{dw}{ds} = u_t + \bigtriangledown u \cdot \frac{dx}{ds} = u_t + a \cdot \bigtriangledown u$$

so our problem becomes

$$w_s + a_0 \mathbf{w} = f$$

with  $w(0) = v(x_0)$  for each  $x_0$  on the inflow boundary.

This is just an IVP ordinary differential equation. Most amazingly, the solution along the entire characteristic depends only on the single initial value  $v(x_0)$  at the point where the characteristic starts.

Examples: See p.171-3.

Jargon:

- Points at which the characteristics enter Ω are part of the inflow boundary. (Γ<sub>-</sub> in the book)
- Points at which the characteristics leave Ω are part of the outflow boundary. (Γ<sub>+</sub>)
- Points for which  $n(x) \cdot a(x) = 0$  are on the characteristic boundary  $(\Gamma_0)$

#### Symmetric hyperbolic systems

Reference: Section 11.4

For  $x \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $t \ge 0$ , let  $u, f : \mathcal{R}^2 \to \mathcal{R}^n$  satisfy

$$u_t + A(x,t)u_x + B(x,t)u = f(x,t)$$

with initial values u(x, 0) = v(x).

Suppose A, B, and f are smooth functions.

If  $A = P\Lambda P^T$  is symmetric with distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_j$  (j = 1, ..., n) then we say that the system is **strictly hyperbolic**.

P is the matrix with the eigenvectors of A as its columns, so  $P^T P = I$ .

$$u_t + A(x,t)u_x + B(x,t)u = f(x,t)$$

Let's change variables:  $w = P^T u$  and multiply our equation by  $P^T$ . Then

$$\mathbf{P^T}\mathbf{u_t} + \Lambda \mathbf{P^T}\mathbf{u_x} + P^T B u = P^T f.$$

Now u = Pw, so

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{t}} &= P^{T}(Pw_{t} + P_{t}w) = \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{t}} + \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{w} \\ \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{x}} &= P^{T}(Pw_{x} + P_{x}w) = \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{w} \end{split}$$

so our equation becomes

$$\mathbf{w_t} + \mathbf{P^T} \mathbf{P_t} \mathbf{w} + \Lambda(\mathbf{w_x} + \mathbf{P^T} \mathbf{P_x} \mathbf{w}) + P^T B P w = P^T f,$$

or

$$\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{t}} + \Lambda \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{x}} + (P^T B P + \mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{t}} + \Lambda \mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x}}) w = P^T f \equiv \hat{f}$$

which looks like the original equation except that the  $w_x$  coefficient is diagonal.

$$w_t + \Lambda w_x + \tilde{B}w = \tilde{f}$$

**Case 1:**  $\tilde{B} = 0$ . Then we have *n* uncoupled ODEs

$$(w_j)_t + \lambda_j (w_j)_x = f_j,$$

with  $w_i(x,0)$  given.

We know how to solve these equations using the method of characteristics

Once we have the solution, we form u = Pw and we are done.

Therefore, we have existence of the solution. Well-posedness also holds.

# Example: p177.

**Case 2:**  $\tilde{B} \neq 0$ . Then we can't explicitly write the solution, but your book shows that the problem is well posed.

## Conclusion

We have defined well-posed problems in three forms:

- The wave equation, analyzed by eigenfunctions of  $-\Delta u$ .
- First order scalar equations, analyzed by characteristics.
- Symmetric hyperbolic systems, decoupled by matrix eigenvectors and solved by characteristics.

Next: Numerical methods.