CMSC 714 Lecture 4 OpenMP and UPC

Chau-Wen Tseng (from A. Sussman)

Programming Model Overview

- Message passing (MPI, PVM)
	- Separate address spaces
	- Explicit messages to access shared data
		- Send / receive (MPI 1.0), put / get (MPI 2.0)
- Multithreading
	- Shared address space
		- Only local variables on thread stack are private
	- Explicit thread creation, synchronization
- Shared-memory programming (OpenMP, UPC)
	- Mixed shared / separate address spaces
	- Implicit threads & synchronization

CMSC 714, Fall07 - Alan Sussman & Jeffrey K. Hollingsworth 2

(Java threads, pthreads)

Shared Memory Programming Model

- Attempts to ease task of parallel programming
	- Hide details
		- Thread creation, messages, synchronization
	- Compiler generate parallel code
		- Based on user annotations
- **Possibly lower performance**
	- Less control over
		- Synchronization
		- Locality
		- Message granularity
- My inadvertently introduce data races
	- Read & write same shared memory location in parallel loop

OpenMP

- Support parallelism for SMPs, multi-core
	- Provide a simple portable model
	- Allows both shared and private data
	- Provides parallel for/do loops

• Includes

- Automatic support for fork/join parallelism
- Reduction variables
- Atomic statement
	- one processes executes at a time
- Single statement
	- only one process runs this code (first thread to reach it)

OpenMP

\bullet **Characteristics**

- Both local & shared memory (depending on directives)
- Parallelism directives for parallel loops & functions
- Compilers convert into multi-threaded programs (i.e. pthreads)
- Not supported on clusters

Parallel for indicates loop iterations may be executed in parallel

```
#pragma omp parallel for private(i)
```

```
for (i=0; i<NUPDATE; i++) {
```
 $int ran = random()$;

```
table[ ran & (TABSIZE-1) ] ^= stable[ ran >> (64-LSTSIZE) ];
```

```
}
```
• Example

More on OpenMP

• Characteristics

- Not a full parallel language, but a language extension
- A set of standard compiler directives and library routines
- Used to create parallel Fortran, C and C++ programs
- Usually used to parallelize loops
- Standardizes last 15 years of SMP practice
- **•** Implementation
	- Compiler directives using #pragma omp <directive>
	- Parallelism can be specified for regions & loops
	- Data can be
		- Private each processor has local copy
		- Shared single copy for all processors

OpenMP – Programming Model

- Fork-join parallelism (restricted form of MIMD)
	- Normally single thread of control (master)
	- Worker threads spawned when parallel region encountered
	- Barrier synchronization required at end of parallel region

Iteration Scheduling

• Parallel for loop

- Simply specifies loop iterations may be executed in parallel
- Actual processor assignment is up to compiler / run-time system

• Scheduling goals

- Reduce load imbalance
- Reduce synchronization overhead
- Improve data location
- Scheduling approaches
	- Block (chunks of contiguous iterations)
	- Cyclic (round-robin)
	- Dynamic (threads request additional iterations when done)

Parallelism May Cause Data Races

• Data race

- Multiple accesses to shared data in parallel
- At least one access is a write
- Result dependent on order of shared accesses
- May be introduced by parallel loop
	- If data dependence exists between loop iterations
	- Result depend on order loop iterations are executed
	- Example

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for (i=1;i<N-1;i++) {
  a[i] = ( a[i-1] + a[i+1] ) / 2;
}
```
Sample Fortran77 OpenMP Code

```
program compute_pi
  integer n, i
  double precision w, x, sum, pi, f, a
c function to integrate
  f(a) = 4.d0 / (1.d0 + a*a)
  print *, "Enter # of intervals: "
  read *,n
                                     c calculate the interval sizew = 1.0d0/nsum = 0.0d0!$OMP PARALLEL DO 
                                        PRIVATE(x), SHARED(w)
                                     !$OMP& REDUCTION(+: sum)
                                       do i = 1, n
                                          x = w * (i - 0.5d0)sum = sum + f(x)
                                       enddopi = w * sum
                                       print *, "computed pi = ", pi
                                       stop
                                       end
```
Reductions

- \bullet Specialized computations that
	- Partial results may be computed in parallel
	- Combine partial results into final result
	- **Examples**
		- Addition, multiplication, minimum, maximum, count

• OpenMP reduction variable

- Compiler inserts code to
	- Compute partial result locally
	- Use synchronization / communication to combine results

UPC**• Extension to C for parallel computing • Target Environment** Distributed memory machines Cache coherent multi-processors Multi-core processors **• Features** Explicit control of data distribution Includes parallel for statement

MPI-like run-time library support

UPC \bullet **Characteristics** Local memory, shared arrays accessed by global pointers Parallelism : single program on multiple nodes (SPMD) Provides illusion of shared one-dimensional arrays – Features• Data distribution declarations for arrays • One-sided communication routines (memput / memget) • Compilers translate shared pointers & generate communication • Can cast shared pointers to local pointers for efficiency • Example **shared int *x, *y, z[100]; upc_forall (i = 0; i < 100; j++) { z[i] = *x++** [×] ***y++; }**

More UPC

• Shared pointer

- Key feature of UPC
	- Enables support for distributed memory architectures
- Local (private) pointer pointing to shared array
- Consists of two parts
	- Processor number
	- Local address on processor
- Read operations on shared pointer
	- If for nonlocal data, compiler translates into memget()
- Write operations on shared pointer
	- If for nonlocal data, compiler translates into memput()
- Cast into local private pointer
	- Accesses local portion of shared array w/o communication

UPC Execution Model

• SPMD-based

- One thread per processor
- Each thread starts with same entry to main
- Different consistency models possible
	- "Strict" model is based on sequential consistency
		- Results must match some sequential execution order
	- "Relaxed" based on release consistency
		- Writes visible only after release synchronization
			- Increased freedom to reorder operations
			- Reduced need to communicate results
	- Consistency models are tricky
		- Avoid data races altogether

Forall Loop **• Forms basis of parallelism** • Add fourth parameter to for loop, "affinity" Where code is executed is based on "affinity" Attempt to assign loop iteration to processor with shared data • To reduce communication• Lacks explicit barrier before / after execution Differs from OpenMP • Supports nested forall loops

Split-phase Barriers

• Traditional Barriers

Once enter barrier, busy-wait until all threads arrive

• Split-phase

- Announce intention to enter barrier (upc_notify)
- Perform some **local** operations
- Wait for other threads (upc_wait)

• Advantage

Allows work while waiting for processes to arrive

\bullet **Disadvantage**

- Must find work to do
- Takes time to communicate both notify and wait