Introduction

- Reading
 - Papers
- Reminder: Project due Sept 29th (see ext on web page)
 - Submit via email to hollings (mime attached tar file)
 - Sample data output now on web page

Cache Coherency (write through)

- Read-only data cached
- Writeable values can be cached by one processor
 - a processor needs to gain write access
 - must force invalidation of other cached copies
 - all writes go back to main memory
 - reads can be served from cache for processor with write access
- Performance
 - good for
 - updates and reads by same processor
 - bad for
 - multiple updates by the same processor (many bus writes)

How to Manage Caches

Snooping

- each cache controller watches bus for "interesting" info
- may result in cache lines being invalidated if write seen
 - i.e. a write through cache
- limited by speed of cache controllers to watch the bus
 - must see everything to maintain correctness

Directories

- memory stores information about cached copies
- does not require each cache controller to snoop
- permits more scaleable interconnect networks

Sun Fireplane

- Two level coherency protocol
 - Snooping within 24 processors (snooping domain)
 - Point-to-point between snooping domains
- Address bus (snooping)
 - Bi-directional address repeater ASICs
 - Connected in tree structure
- Memory tags (point-to-point)
 - Stored in 8 byte ECC tags for every 64 bytes of memory
 - States
 - Global Modified, Global Shared, Global Invalid

Directory Based Cache Controllers

- Requires additional circuits to maintain directories
- directories must be updated when a processors
 - starts caching a value
 - stops caching a value
 - changes from read to write caching (or back)
- each cache line has a directory entry
 - can use sparse schemes that only have entries for actively cached items
- can have several memory controllers in a machine
 - each manages a region of physical memory
 - bit vectors (one bit per processor)
 - addresses (several log₂n entries)

Representing Directories

bit vectors

- one bit per processor
- uses lots of space for a large machine
- permits each processor to cache a value

addresses

- several entries for PE id (each entry is log₂ n bits)
- what happens if a processor wishes to cache, and all entries are full?
 - use a linked list of directories (SCI uses this approach)
 - use a "wildcard" and force a broadcast to invalidate

Stanford Dash

Structure

- collection of bus based multi-processors
- interconnect network and cache controller connect nodes

Cache System

- snoopy protocol within a single SMP node
- directory based cache controller between nodes
 - misses on local cluster go to home cluster of memory "owner"
 - owner may have current copy or could be cached on another cluster

Processors

- 4 MIPS R3000 (33 Mhz) per node
- Interconnect
 - 2 dimensional mesh

Stanford Dash (cont.)

- Performance
 - level 0 cache (1 clock)
 - remote cluster load (132 clocks)
- Later Directions
 - FLASH
 - use a full micro-processor for the cache controller
 - permits customization of cache protocols
 - makes the hardware simpler

SGI Origin Servers

- Commercialization of Stanford DASH
 - SMP nodes
 - directory based cache controller
- Changes
 - processors are R10000 (250 Mhz)
 - only 2 nodes per bus
 - slightly cheaper bus than DASH
 - faster processors require more bus bandwidth
 - interconnection network
 - hypercube (to 32 nodes)
 - re-configurable routers beyond
 - Directory only based (I.e. no snoopy within the nodes)

