### Introduction

- Reading
  - Papers
- Questions about project #1

### **UPC**

- Extension to C for parallel computing
- Target Environment
  - Distributed memory machines
  - Cache Coherent multi-processors
- Features
  - Explicit control of data distribution
  - Includes parallel for statement

### **UPC Execution Model**

- SPMD-based
  - One thread per processor
  - Each thread starts with same entry to main
- Different consistency models possible
  - "strict" model is based on sequential consistency
  - "relaxed" based on release consistency

### Forall Loop

- Forms basis of parallelism
- Add forth parameter to for loop "affinity"
  - Where code is executed is based on "affinity"
- Lacks explict barrier before/after execution
  - Differs from openMP
- Supports nested forall loops

## Split-phase Barriers

#### Traditional Barriers

Once enter barriers, busy-wait until everyone arrives

### Split-phase

- Announce intention to enter barrier (upc\_notify)
- Perform some **local** operations
- Wait for everyone else (upc\_wait)

### Advantage

Allows work while waiting for processes to arrive

### Disadvantage

- Must find work to do
- Takes time to communicate both notify and wait

# Programming Assignment Notes

- Assume that memory is limited
  - don't replicate the board on all nodes
- Need to provide load balancing
  - goal is to speed computation
  - must trade off
    - communication costs of load balancing
    - computation costs of making choices
    - benefit of having similar amounts of work for each processor
- Consider "back of the envelop" calculations
  - how fast can pvm move data?
  - what is the update time for local cells?
  - how big does the board need to be to see speedups?

### **HPF Model of Computation**

- goal is to generate loosely synchronous program
  - original target was distributed memory machines
- Explicit identification of parallel work
  - forall statement
- Extensions to FORTRAN
  - the forall statement has been added to the language
  - the rest of the HPF features are comments
    - any HPF program can be compiled serially
- Key Feature: Data Distribution
  - how should data be allocated to nodes?
  - critical questions for distributed memory machines
  - turns out to be useful for SMP too since it defines locality

# HPF Language Concepts

#### Virtual processor

- an abstraction of a CPU
- can have one and two dimensional arrays of VPs
- each VP may map to a physical processor
  - several VP's may map to the same processor

### Template

- a virtual array (no data)
- used to describe how real array are aligned with each other
- templates are distributed onto to virtual processors

#### Align directives

- expresses how data different arrays should be aligned
- uses affine functions
  - align element I of array A with element I+3 of B

## **Distribution Options**

#### BLOCK

divide data into N (one per VP) contiguous units

#### • CYCLIC

assign data in round robin fashion to each processor

### • BLOCK(n)

- groups of n units of data are assigned to each processor
- must be exactly (array size)/n virtual processors

### • CYCLIC(n)

- n units of contiguous data are assigned round robin
- CYCLIC is the same as CYCLIC(1)

## Computation

- Where should the computation be performed?
- Goals:
  - do the computation near the data
    - non-local data requires communication
  - keep it simple
    - HPF compilers are already complex
- Compromise: "owner computes"
  - computation is done on the node that contains the lhs of a statement
  - non-local data for the rhs operands are sent the node as needed

# Finding the Data to Use

- Easy Case
  - the location of the data is known at compile time
- Challenging case
  - the location of the data is a known (invertable) function of input parameters such as array size
- Difficult Case (irregular computation)
  - data location is a function of data
  - indirect array used to access data A[index[I],j] = ...

# Challenging Case

- Each processor can identify its data to send/recv
  - use a pre-processing loop to identify the data to to move

```
for each local element I

receive_list = global_to_proc(f(I))

send_list = global_to_proc(f-1(I))

send data in send_list and receive data in receive_list

for each local rhs element I

perform the computation
```

## Irregular Computation

- Pre-processing step requires data to be sent
  - since we might need to access non-local index arrays
- two possible cases
  - gather a(I) = b(u(I))
    - pre-processing builds a receive list for each processor
    - send list is known based on data layout
  - scatter a(u(I)) = b(I)
    - pre-processing builds a send list for each processor
    - receive list is known based on data layout

# **Communication Library**

- How is it different from pvm?
  - abstraction based on distributed, but global arrays
    - provides some support for index translation
    - pvm has local arrays
  - multicast is in one dimension of a array only
  - shifts and concatenation provided
  - special ops for moving vectors of send/recv lists
    - precomp\_read
    - postcomp\_write

#### Goals

- written in terms of native message passing
- tries to provide a single portable abstraction to compile to

### Performance Results

- How good are the speedup results?
  - only one application shown
  - speedup is similar to hand tuned message passing program
    - one extra log(n) communication operations slows perf
  - how good is the hand tuned program?
    - speedup is only 6 on 16 processors
- What is figure 4 showing?
  - compares performance on two different machines
  - no explanation
    - is this showing the brand x is better then brand y?
    - does it show that their compiler doesn't work on brand y?
  - lesson: figures should always tell a story
    - don't require the reader to guess the story