

Announcements

- Reading chapter 6 (6.7) and chapter 7 (7.1-7.4)
- Midterm #1 is March 5 in class
- Late Policy for programs
 - no late work will be accepted
 - illness and family emergency will be considered on a case by case basis

Implementing Semaphores

- declaration

```
type semaphore = record
  value: integer;
  L: list of process;
end;
```

- P(S): $S.value = S.value - 1$

```
if S.value <= 0 then {
  add this process to S.L
  block;
};
```

- V(S): $S.value = S.value + 1$

```
if S.value > 0 then {
  remove process P from S.L
  wakeup(P);
}
```

Readers/Writers Problem

- Data area shared by processors
- Some processors read data, other processors can read or write data
 - Any number of readers may simultaneously read the data
 - Only one writer at a time may write
 - If a writer is writing to the file, no reader may read it
- Two of the possible approaches
 - readers have priority or writers have priority

Readers have Priority

```
reader()
{
  repeat
    P(x);
    readcount = readcount + 1;
    if readcount = 1 then P (wsem);
    V(x);
    READUNIT;
    P(x);
    readcount = readcount - 1;
    if readcount = 0 V(wsem);
    V(x);
  forever
};

writer()
{
  repeat
    P(wsem);
    WRITEUNIT;
    V(wsem)
  forever
}
```

Comments on Reader Priority

- semaphores $x, wsem$ are initialized to 1
- note that readers have priority - a writer can gain access to the data only if there are no readers (i.e. when readcount is zero, $signal(wsem)$ executes)
- possibility of starvation - writers may never gain access to data

Writers Have Priority

reader

```
repeat
  P(z);
  P(rsem);
  P(x);
  readcount++;
  if (readcount == 1) then
    P(wsem);

  V(x);
  V(rsem);
V(z);
readunit;
P(x);
  readcount- -;
  if readcount == 0 then
    V (wsem)

V(x)
forever
```

writer

```
repeat
  P(y);
  writecount++;
  if writecount == 1 then
    P(rsem);

  V(y);
  P(wsem);
writeunit
  V(wsem);
  P(y);
  writecount--;
  if (writecount == 0) then
    V(rsem);

  V(y);
forever;
```

Notes on readers/writers with writers getting priority

Semaphores $x, y, z, wsem, rsem$ are initialized to 1

```
P(z);  
  P(rsem);  
  P(x);  
    readcount++;  
    if (readcount==1) then  
      P(wsem);  
  V(x);  
  V(rsem);  
V(z);
```



readers queue up on semaphore z ; this way only a single reader queues on $rsem$. When a writer signals $rsem$, only a single reader is allowed through

Deadlocks

- System contains finite set of resources
 - memory space
 - printer
 - tape
 - file
 - access to non-reentrant code
- Process requests resource before using it, must release resource after use
- Process is in a deadlock state when every process in the set is waiting for an event that can be caused only by another process in the set

Formal Deadlocks

- 4 *necessary* deadlock conditions:

- Mutual exclusion - at least one resource must be held in a non-sharable mode, that is, only a single process at a time can use the resource. If another process requests that resource, the requesting process must be delayed until the resource is released
- Hold and wait - There must exist a process that is holding at least one resource and is waiting to acquire additional resources that are currently held by other processors

Formal Deadlocks

- No preemption: Resources cannot be preempted; a resource can be released only voluntarily by the process holding it, after that process has completed its task
- Circular wait: There must exist a set $\{P_0, \dots, P_n\}$ of waiting processes such that P_0 is waiting for a resource that is held by P_1 , P_1 is waiting for a resource held by P_2 etc.
- Note that these are not sufficient conditions

Deadlock Prevention

- Ensure that one (or more) of the necessary conditions for deadlock do not hold
- Hold and wait
 - guarantee that when a process requests a resource, it does not hold any other resources
 - Each process could be allocated all needed resources before beginning execution
 - Alternately, process might only be allowed to wait for a new resource when it is not currently holding any resource

Deadlock Prevention

- Mutual exclusion

- Sharable resources do not require mutually exclusive access and cannot be involved in a deadlock.

- Circular wait

- Impose a total ordering on all resource types and make sure that each process claims all resources in increasing order of resource type enumeration

- No Preemption

- virtualize resources and permit them to be preempted. For example, CPU can be preempted.

Deadlock Avoidance

- Require additional information about how resources are to be requested - decide to approve or disapprove requests on the fly
- Assume that each process lets us know its maximum resource request
- Safe state:
 - system can allocate resources to each process (up to its maximum) in *some order* and still avoid a deadlock
 - A system is in a safe state if there exists a *safe sequence*

Safe Sequence

- Sequence of processes $\langle P_1, \dots, P_n \rangle$ is a safe sequence if for each P_i , the resources that P_i can request can be satisfied by the currently available resources plus the resources held by all $P_j, j < i$
- If the necessary resources are not immediately available, P_i can always wait until all $P_j, j < i$ have completed