

# Open Problems Column

William Gasarch  
Univ of MD at College Park

## 1 This Issues Column!

This issue's Open Problem Column we revisit three prior columns for which progress was made on the open problems posed.

## 2 Request for Open Problems In Memory of Luca Trevisan

Luca Trevisan passed away on June 19, 2024 at the age of 52, of cancer. I am putting together an open problems column of open problems in his honor.

If you are interested in contributing then please email me a document with the following specifications.

1. It can be as short as half-a-page or as long as 2 pages. One way to make it short is to give many references or pointers to papers with more information.
2. It should be about an open problem that is either by Luca or inspired by Luca or a problem you think Luca would care about.
3. In LaTeX. Keep it simple as I will be cutting-and-pasting all of these into one column.

Deadline is Oct 1, 2024.

## 3 My Usual Request for Columns!

I invite any reader who has knowledge of some area to contact me and arrange to write a column about open problems in that area. That area can be (1) broad or narrow or anywhere inbetween, and (2) really important or really unimportant or anywhere inbetween.

# Revisiting Three Open Problem Columns

## William Gasarch

### 1 The Busy Beaver Function

Scott Aaronson wrote an open problems column on the Busy Beaver Function [1] in 2020. It is an excellent article that discusses the function, what is known, and why its important. We summarize some of it to give context for the progress made; however,

1. For a fuller account of what was known in 2020, see Scott’s article referenced above.
2. For a fuller account of the breakthrough I will discuss, see Scott Aaronson’s blog post on the result [2], Ben Brubaker’s superb article in Quanta Magazine [6], or bbchallenge’s own announcement [22].

**Def 1.1**  $BB(n)$  is the largest number of steps that an  $n$ -state Turing machine that halts will take to halt (BB stands for Busy Beaver). Note that to define  $BB(n)$  rigorously you need to specify the details of the Turing machine model you are using. See the paper of Aaronson for these details.

It is easy to see that  $BB(n)$  is not computable. Indeed, it grows faster than any computable function.

Scott’s open problems column presented the following table of known values of  $BB(n)$ .

$n$	$BB(n)$	Reference
1	1	Trivial
2	6	Lin & Rado 1963 [12]
3	21	Lin & Rado 1963 [12]
4	107	Brady 1083 [5]
5	$\geq 47, 176, 870$	Marxen & Buntrock-1989 [13]
6	$\geq 7.4 \times 10^{36,534}$	Kropitz 2011 [11]
7	$\geq 10^{2 \times 10^x}, x = 10^{10^{18,705,353}}$	Wythagoras 2014 (see [14, Section 4.6])

1. Kropitz in 2020 improved the lower bound on  $BB(6)$  to  $TOW(10, 15)$  which is an exponential tower of of 15 10’s.
2. Kropitz’s result implies that  $BB(7)$  also has that lower bound.

#### 1.1 $BB(5) = 47, 176, 870$

In July of 2024 it has been announced that  $BB(5)$  is indeed 47, 176, 870. We quote Scott Aaronson’s blog post on the result [2]:

Today (July 2), an international collaboration called `bbchallenge` [22] is announcing that it's determined, and even formally verified using the Coq [23] proof system, that  $BB(5)$  is equal to 47,176,870- the value that's been *conjectured* since 1990 when Heiner Marxen and Jurgen Buntrock [13] discovered a 5-state TM that runs for exactly 47,176,870 steps before halting, when started on a blank tape.

## 1.2 BB(6) Might Be Hopeless

There are two reasons why  $BB(6)$  may never be found.

### 1.2.1 Too Many Machines to Check

Lets first talk about  $BB(5)$ . A while back a Busy Beaver hobbyist who goes by the name of *Skelet* claimed to have determined the status of all 5-state Turing machines except 43 of them [18]. (This result has not been refereed; however, there were a small number of 5-state TM's whose status is not known. We will assume that number 43 claimed by Skelet is correct.)

Hence to find  $B(5)$  there were only 43 machines to reason about. The difficulty is proving that a machine does not halt. The difficulty *is not* that there are lots of machines. Note that 43 is a small number.

For  $B(6)$  there are far more machines to check. So even with the methods developed for  $B(5)$  to prove that a particular TM does not halt (and note that they cannot always work), there are too many machines to check. Of course, there might be some unforeseen breakthrough, but I just don't see it happening.

### 1.2.2 Might Need Hard Math

Lets first talk about  $BB(27)$ . A Busy Beaver hobbyist who goes by the name *Code Golf Addict* claims that there is a 27-state TM  $M$  such that [3].

**$M$  halts iff the Goldbach Conjecture is false.**

(This result has not been refereed; however, there are larger values of  $n$  such that there is an  $n$ -state TM  $M$  with that property. We will assume that the machine claimed by Code Golf Addict is correct).

Hence to determine  $BB(27)$  one must solve Goldbach's conjecture. Hence  $BB(27)$  is unlikely to be known anytime soon. We note that even if Goldback's conjecture is solved, there are other obstacles to finding  $BB(27)$ .

Is there a hard open problem that is needed to solve  $BB(6)$ ? There is an open problem that is needed to solve  $BB(6)$  that is *related* to the Collatz Conjecture and *seems* hard.

#### Def 1.2

1. The *Collatz Function* is defined as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{2} & \text{If } x \text{ is even} \\ 3x + 1 & \text{If } x \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

2. The *Collatz Conjecture* is that if  $n \geq 1$  then  $f(n), f(f(n)), \dots$  is eventually 1. There is much empirical evidence for this conjecture; however, it seems hard to prove. Paul Erdős has said *Math is not ready for this problem*.

Consider the following problem:

$$8, f(8), f(f(8)), \dots$$

Stop when the number of odd terms is bigger than twice the number of even terms. Scott Aaronson reports that Tristan Sterin [19] claims that there is a 6-state machine  $M$  such that  **$M$  halts iff the sequence above that begins  $f(8)$  halts.**

Hence to determine  $\text{BB}(6)$  one must solve determine if that sequence halts. This seems like a hard problem. And again, even if one solved that one problem there are many more programs to look at which may also involved hard math problems.

## 2 The BEE Sequence

Bill Gasarch & Emily Kaplitz & Erik Metz [9] studied the following sequence.

**Def 2.1** The BEE sequence is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 1 \\ (\forall n \geq 2)[a_n &= a_{n-1} + a_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}]. \\ \text{(BEE stands for Bill-Emily-Erik.)} \end{aligned}$$

They proved the following (some of which was already known).

**Theorem 2.2**

1. Let  $m \in \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$ .  $(\exists^\infty n)[a_n \equiv 0 \pmod{m}]$ .
2. Let  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .  $(\forall n)[a_n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}]$ .

Based on a lot of empirical evidence they made the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 2.3** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Then  $(\exists^\infty n)[a_n \equiv 0 \pmod{m}]$ .

Van Doorn [21] generalized and expanded the questions raised by Gasarch & Kaplitz & Metz.

**Def 2.4** Let  $0 \leq x \leq m - 1$ .

1.  $S_{x,m}(n) = |\{k : 1 \leq k \leq n \wedge a_k \equiv x \pmod{m}\}|$ .
2.  $d_{x,m} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{x,m}(n)}{n}$ . ( $d_{x,m}$  might not exist.)
3.  $\underline{d}_{x,m} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{x,m}(n)}{n}$ . ( $\underline{d}_{x,m}$  always exists.)

By Theorem 2.2 the following is known.

1. Let  $r \in \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{0,r}(n) = \infty$ .
2. Let  $r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Then  $(\forall n)[S_{0,r}(n) = 0]$ .

Van Doorn proved the following.

**Theorem 2.5**

1. For  $x \in \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7\}$  then  $S_{x,8}(n) \geq \frac{n}{6} - 2 \log(n) - 11$ .
2. For  $x \in \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7\}$  then  $d_{x,8} = \frac{1}{6}$ . (This follows from Part 1.)
3. There is an algorithm that does the following:
  - **Input:**  $(x, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  such that either (a)  $x = 0$ , or (b)  $x$  is divisible by the largest odd divisor of  $m$  and  $x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .
  - **Output:** A non-zero lower bound on  $\underline{d}_{x,m}$ .
4. Using the algorithm in the last part, the following lower bounds were presented.
  - (a)  $\underline{d}_{0,3}$ ,
  - (b)  $\underline{d}_{0,5}$ ,
  - (c)  $\underline{d}_{0,6}$ ,  $\underline{d}_{3,6}$ ,
  - (d)  $\underline{d}_{0,7}$ ,
  - (e)  $\underline{d}_{0,9}$ ,
  - (f)  $\underline{d}_{0,11}$ ,
  - (g)  $\underline{d}_{0,10}$ ,  $\underline{d}_{5,10}$ ,
  - (h)  $\underline{d}_{3,12}$ ,  $\underline{d}_{6,12}$ ,  $\underline{d}_{9,12}$ ,
  - (i)  $\underline{d}_{0,13}$ ,
  - (j)  $\underline{d}_{0,14}$ ,  $\underline{d}_{7,14}$ ,
  - (k)  $\underline{d}_{0,15}$ ,

Van Doorn has emailed me the following:

*And in my paper I mentioned that I have actually checked with a computer for all  $m \leq 15$ . But please encourage your readers to improve upon this! Simply write a better computer program than what I came up with in order to check more cases and get better lower bounds.*

Van Doorn has some speculation and tenuous conjecture. If you are interested then read his paper.

### 3 A Sequence from an Oliver Roeder Column

Gasarch wrote an an Open Problems Column [10] about a sequence from Oliver Roeder's Riddler Column.

**Def 3.1** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . A sequence is  $n$ -linked if

1. Every element in the sequence is in  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .
2. No element appears more than once in the sequence.
3. Every element is either a factor or multiple of the previous element (except the first element which has no previous element).

*Example:* The following is a 100-linked sequence of length 17.

17, 1, 47, 94, 2, 4, 20, 5, 10, 90, 3, 21, 7, 42, 6, 30, 15

**Open Problem 3.2** Let  $k(n)$  be the length of the longest linked  $n$ -sequence. Get upper and lower bounds on  $k(n)$  asymptotically.

The following problem was implicit.

**Open Problem 3.3** It is known that  $k(100) = 77$  by a computer program. Find a human-readable proof of this result and also find more values of  $k$ , perhaps by computer.

After the paper appeared I began working on the open problems with David Harris and his son Tomas. We later found that a lot was known about it. See the blog posts [8] (or just read the rest of this section) for what was known, and [7] for how we did not know these results when I wrote the column (Spoiler Alert-Some of the papers were in French but some of the fault is mine.)

Here is what was already known:

1. In 1983 Pollington [15] proved  $k(n) \geq ne^{\text{polylog}(n)}$ .
2. In 1983 Pomerance [16] proved  $K(n) \leq o(n)$ .

3. In 1995 Tenenbaum [20] proved (in a paper written in French) that there exists  $a, b$  such that

$$\frac{n}{(\log n)^a} \leq k(n) \leq \frac{n}{(\log n)^b}$$

4. In 2021 Saias [17] showed, in a paper written in French, that

$$k(n) \geq (0.3 - o(1)) \frac{n}{\log n}.$$

These results use hard an interesting math which.

Gaetan Berthe emailed me a description of a program that he wrote with Paul Revenant which finds actual values for  $k(n)$ . For that description, see the blog post [8]. He also send me a table [4]. of the first 1000 values of  $k(n)$ , Gaetan pointed out that these numbers have not been refereed; however, they are likely correct.

## References

- [1] S. Aaronson. The busy beaver frontier (an open problems column). *SIGACT News*, 53(3):31–55, 2020.  
<https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/open/busybeaver.pdf>.
- [2] S. Aaronson. BusyBeaver(5) is now known to be 47,176,870, 2024.  
<https://scottaaronson.blog/?p=8088>.
- [3] C. G. Addict. No name, 2016.  
<https://gist.github.com/anonymous/a64213f391339236c2fe31f8749a0df6> is a pointer to a github site with code.
- [4] G. Berthe. No title, 2020.  
{<https://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/BLOGPAPERS/1-1000.txt>} Year is uncertain.
- [5] A. H. Brady. The determination of the value of Rado’s noncomputable function  $\Sigma(k)$  for four-state Turing machines. *Mathematics of Computation*, pages 647–665, 1983.
- [6] B. Brubaker. With fifth Busy Beaver, researchers approach computation’s limits. *Quanta*, 2024.  
<https://www.quantamagazine.org/amateur-mathematicians-find-fifth-busy-beaver-turing>
- [7] W. Gasarch, D. Harris, and T. Harris. The Roeder seq problem was solved before I posted it (how we missed it), 2022.  
<https://blog.computationalcomplexity.org/2022/04/the-roeder-problem-was-solved-before-i.html>.

- [8] W. Gasarch, D. Harris, and T. Harris. The Roeder seq problem was solved before I posted it (math), 2022.  
<https://blog.computationalcomplexity.org/2022/04/the-roeder-seq-problems-was-solved.html>.
- [9] W. Gasarch, E. Kaplitz, and E. Metz. Open Problems Column: How does  $a_n = a_{n-1} + a_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  behave mod? *SIGACT News*, 53(3), 2022.  
<https://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/open/cseq.pdf>.
- [10] W. I. Gasarch. Guest column: The third P=?NP poll. *SIGACT News*, 50(1):38–59, 2019.  
<https://www.cs.umd.edu/users/gasarch/papers/poll3.pdf>.
- [11] P. Kropitz. Busy beaver problem. Master’s thesis, Charles University in Prague, 2011.  
<https://is.cuni.cz/webapps/zzp/detail/49210/>.
- [12] S. Lin and T. Radó. Computer studies of Turing machine problems. *Journal of the Association of Computing Machinery (JACM)*, 12(2):196–212, 1965.
- [13] H. Marxen and J. Buntrock. Attacking the Busy Beaver 5. *Bulletin of the European association of theoretical computer science (BEATCS)*, 40:247–251, 1990.  
<http://turbotm.de/~heiner/BB/mabu90.html>.
- [14] P. Michel. Busy Beaver competition: a historical survey, 2019.  
<https://arxiv.org/abs/0906.3749>.
- [15] A. Polloington. There is a long simple path in the divisor graph. *Ars Combinatorics*, 16:303–304, 1983.
- [16] C. Pomerance. On the longest simple graph in the divisor graph. *Congressus Numerantium*, pages 291–204, 1983.  
<https://math.dartmouth.edu/~carlp/divisorgraph.pdf>.
- [17] E. Saias. Etude du graphe divisoriel 5 (In French), 2021.  
<https://arxiv.org/pdf/2107.03855>.
- [18] Skelet. Skelet’s 43 machines, 2003.  
<https://bbchallenge.org/skelet>.
- [19] T. Sterin. No name, 2016.  
[https://bbchallenge.org/1RB1RA\\_OLC1LE\\_1LD1LC\\_1LA0LB\\_1LF1RE\\_---ORA](https://bbchallenge.org/1RB1RA_OLC1LE_1LD1LC_1LA0LB_1LF1RE_---ORA).
- [20] G. Tenenbaum. Corrigendm et etude du graphe divisoriel. *Sur un probleme de crible et ses applications-2*, 28:291–204, 1995.



- [21] W. van Doorn. On the congruence properties and growth rate of a recursively defined sequence, 2024.  
<https://arxiv.org/pdf/2406.09532>.
- [22] C. Website, 2024.  
<https://bbchallenge.org/72006367>.
- [23] Wikipedia. Coq (software).  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coq\\_\(software\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coq_(software)).