

Regular Graph Properties

by

John Brownfield

William Gasarch

1 Introduction

Consider the following question:

Is the set of Hamiltonian graphs regular?

To pose this question properly we need to specify (a) which strings represent graphs, (b) what do to if a string that does not represent a graph is input.

Def 1.1 All strings are over the alphabet $\{0, 1, \$\}$. Let x be a string of the form $\$x_1\$x_2\$ \cdots \$x_n\$$ where the following happen:

1. $(\forall i)[x_i \in \{0, 1\}^n]$. We will let

$$x_i = x_{i1} \cdots x_{in}.$$

2. View the string as the following matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \cdots & x_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

3. For all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $x_{ij} = x_{ji}$.
4. For all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $x_{ii} = 0$.

Any string of the form above is interpreted as the adjacency matrix of a graph.

We identify a graph G with the string that is its adjacency matrix, as above. Hence we will say things like *Run DFA M on G* .

Example 1.2 The graph K_4 is the string

$$\$0111\$1011\$1101\$1110\$$$

Def 1.3 Let \mathcal{G} be a set of graphs.

1. Let \mathcal{G} is a *graph property* if \mathcal{G} satisfies the following property: for all pairs of graphs, (G_1, G_2) , if G_1 and G_2 are isomorphic then either $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{G}$ or $G_1, G_2 \notin \mathcal{G}$.
2. A graph property \mathcal{G} is *regular* if there exists a DFA M such that the following hold:
 - (a) If $G \in \mathcal{G}$ then $M(G)$ accepts.
 - (b) If $G \notin \mathcal{G}$ then $M(G)$ rejects.
3. If w is a string that does not represent an adjacency matrix then we have *no condition* on what $M(w)$ is.

2 Graph Properties that are Regular

Def 2.1

1. If $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$ then $\#_1(w)$ is the number of 1's in w .
2. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. A is *regular* if the following set is regular:

$$\{w \in \{0, 1\}^* : \#_1(w) \in A\}$$

is regular.

Theorem 2.2 Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be regular.

1. The following graph property is regular:

$$\mathcal{G} = \{G = (V, E) : (\forall v \in V)[|\deg(v)| \in A]\}.$$

2. The following graph property is regular:

$$\mathcal{G} = \{G = (V, E) : |E| \in A\}.$$

Proof:

1) Let $W = \{w \in \{0,1\}^* : \#_1(w) \in A\}$. W is regular by the definition of $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ being regular. Let α be the regular expression such that $L(W) = \alpha$.

A graph G is in \mathcal{G} iff every row of its adjacency matrix is in L . Consider the regular expression

$$\beta = \$(\alpha\$)^*$$

It is easy to see that

$G \in \mathcal{G}$ implies $G \in L(\beta)$.

$G \notin \mathcal{G}$ implies $G \notin L(\beta)$.

2) We leave this to the reader.

■

Corollary 2.3 *Let $d, m \in \mathbb{N}$. The following graph properties are regular.*

1. *The set of graphs where $(\forall v)[\deg(v) \geq d]$.*
2. *The set of graphs where $(\forall v)[\deg(v) = d]$.*
3. *The set of graphs where $(\forall v)[\deg(v) \leq d]$.*
4. *Let $A \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$. The set of graphs where*

$$\{\deg(v) \pmod{m} : v \in V\} \subseteq A\}.$$

5. *The set of Eulerian graphs. (This is the $m = 2, A = \{0\}$ case.)*
6. *The set of graphs $G = (V, E)$ such that $|E| \equiv d \pmod{m}$.*

Open Problem 2.4 *Aside from Eulerian graphs and (arguably) the set of graphs of constant degree, are there any other interesting graph properties that are regular.*

3 Graph Properties that are not Regular

Theorem 3.1 *Let \mathcal{G} be a graph property. Assume there exists $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and graphs G_1, G_2 such that the following hold:*

1. G_1 and G_2 have n vertices $\{1, \dots, n\}$.
2. $\deg_{G_2}(1) - \deg_{G_1}(1) \geq d$.
3. $G_1 - \{1\} \equiv G_2 - \{1\}$.
4. $G_1 \in \mathcal{G}$ and $G_2 \notin \mathcal{G}$

Then \mathcal{G} is not regular.

Proof:

Assume \mathcal{G} is regular via DFA M . Assume that M has n states. Let m be a number to be picked later; however, it is large. Run M on the strings

$\$0^m 1^0\$$
 $\$1^1 0^{m-1}\$$
 $\$1^2 0^{m-2}\$$
 \vdots
 $\$1^m 0^0\$$

Let m be so large that there exists $0 \leq m_1 < m_2 \leq m$ such that

1. $M(1^{m_1} 0^{m-m_1}) = M(1^{m_2} 0^{m-m_2}) = p$ (p is a state in M).
2. $m_2 - m_1 \geq d$

■