# CMSC330-Organization of Programming Languages Summer 2023 - Final 

CMSC330 Course Staff<br>University of Maryland<br>Department of Computer Science

## Name:

$\qquad$

UID: $\qquad$

I pledge on my honor that I have not given or received any unauthorized assistance on this assignment/examination

Signature: $\qquad$

## Ground Rules

- You may use anything on the accompanying reference sheet anywhere on this exam
- Please write legibly. If we cannot read your answer you will not receive credit
- You may not leave the room or hand in your exam within the last 10 minutes of the exam
- If anything is unclear, ask a proctor. If you are still confused, write down your assumptions in the margin

| Question | Points |
| :---: | :---: |
| Q1 | 10 |
| Q2 | 10 |
| Q3 | 15 |
| Q4 | 12 |
| Q5 | 6 |
| Q6 | 4 |
| Q7 | 10 |
| Q8 | 13 |
| Total | 80 |

## Problem 1: Language Concepts

$\mathrm{x}:$ 'a $\& i 32, \mathrm{y}:$ 'b $\& i 32$ have the same type
Operational Semantics is to evaluator as CFG is to parser
The reference counting garbage collection strategy uses less space than the stop and copy one (on average)
If you cannot eagerly evaluate, then you also cannot lazily evaluate a $\lambda$-calculus expression
Expressions and Statements can be used interchangeably

True

Problem 2: Interpreters
Consider the following Grammar and assume semantics follows Python's behavior

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & \Rightarrow M+E|M||E| M-E \mid M \\
M & \Rightarrow N * M|N \& \& M| N / M \mid N \\
N & \Rightarrow!P \mid P \\
P & \Rightarrow n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text { true } \mid \text { false } \mid(E)
\end{aligned}
$$

Which step of the interpreter (if any) would the following fail at?

2(+) 3-6

| (A) Lexing | B Parsing (C) Evaluating | (D) It would pass | (A) Lexing | B Parsing | (C) Evaluating | (D) It would pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4/5/6 |  |  |  | 2-(6)-5 |  |
| (A) Lexing | (B) Parsing (C) Evaluating | D It would pass | A Lexing | (B) Parsing | (C) Evaluating | D It would pass |
|  | !true \&\& false |  |  |  | $!4+6$ |  |
| (A) Lexing | (B) Parsing (C) Evaluating | D It would pass | (A) Lexing | (B) Parsing | C Evaluating | (D) It would pass |
|  | $1.2+(2-4)$ |  |  | false II | (true \&\& ! false) |  |
| Lexing | (B) Parsing (C) Evaluating | (D) It would pass | (A) Lexing | (B) Parsing | (C) Evaluating | D It would pass |
|  | false \|| 1 |  |  |  | $M+E$ |  |
| (A) Lexing | (B) Parsing (C) Evaluating | D It would pass | A Lexing | (B) Parsing | (C) Evaluating | (D) It would pass |

## Problem 3: Operational Semantics

Kids and their weird slang! How is an old man like Cliff supposed to keep up?
Consider the following rules for CringeCode, which uses "based" for true and "cringe" for false with Python as the Metalanguage:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Rule 1: } \overline{\text { based } \Rightarrow \text { based }} & \text { Rule 2: } \overline{\text { cringe } \Rightarrow \text { cringe }} \\
\text { Rule 3: } \frac{A ; e_{1} \Rightarrow v_{1} \quad v_{2}==\text { not } v_{1}}{A ; e_{1} \mathrm{jk} \Rightarrow v_{2}} & \text { Rule 4: } \frac{A ; e_{1} \Rightarrow v_{1} A ; e_{2} \Rightarrow v_{2} \quad v_{3}==v_{1} \text { or } v_{2}}{A ; e_{1}, e_{2} \text { idk } \Rightarrow v_{3}} \\
\text { Rule 5: } \frac{A, x: v(\mathrm{x})=v}{A, x: v ; \mathrm{x} \Rightarrow v} & \text { Rule 6: } \frac{A ; e_{1} \Rightarrow v_{1} \quad A, \mathrm{x}: v_{1} ; e_{2} \Rightarrow v_{2}}{A ; \text { AFAIK } x \text { 's } e_{1} \cdot e_{2} \Rightarrow v_{2}} \\
\text { Rule 7: } \frac{A ; e_{1} \Rightarrow v_{1} A ; e_{2} \Rightarrow v_{2} \quad v_{1}==v_{2}}{A ; e_{1} \text { is } e_{2} \Rightarrow \text { based }} & \text { Rule 8: } \frac{A ; e_{1} \Rightarrow v_{1} A ; e_{2} \Rightarrow v_{2} \quad v_{1}!=v_{2}}{A ; e_{1} \text { is } e_{2} \Rightarrow \text { cringe }}
\end{array}
$$

Using the above rules, prove the following sentence evaluates to cringe:
A; AFAIK cliff is cringe. cliff, cringe jk idk is cringe


## Problem 4: Rust Features

```
fn main(){
    let m = String::from("Hello");
    let t = String::from("World");
    let mut z = String::from("CMSC330");
    { let w = m;
        { let c = foo(w,t);
                let d = bar(&z,&z,&c);
                z = String::from(d);
        }
    };
    println!("{z}")
}
fn foo(a:String, b: String) >> String{
    if a.len() > b.len() {a} else {b}
}
fn bar<'a,'b>(x:&'a str,
            y:&'b str,
            p:&'a str) -> &'a str{
    if x == y {x} else {p}
}
```


## Ownership

If there is no owner, write "NONE".

| Who is the owner of "Hello" immediately after line 6 is run? |
| :--- |
| None |
| Who is the owner of "World" immediately after line 14 is run? |

## Lifetimes

What is the last line executed before "Hello" dropped? $\quad 15$
What is the last line executed before "World" dropped? $\quad 8$

At what line does z's lifetime end? $\square$
11

7
At what line does c's lifetime end?


## Problem 5: OCaml Typing

Given the following type, write an expression that matches that type. You may not use type annotations, and all pattern matching must be exhaustive.
(a) 'a list -> ('b list -> 'a -> 'b list) -> 'b list -> int
$\square$

Given the expression, write down its type.
(b) fun a b c -> (map c a)::[[1]]
$\square$

## Problem 6: Lambda Calculus

Perform a single $\beta$-reduction using the eager (call by value) evaluation strategy on the outermost expression. If you cannot reduce it, write Beta Normal Form. Do not $\alpha$-convert your final answer.

$$
\text { (a) }(x \lambda x . x x)(\lambda x . x x) \quad \text { [2 pts] }
$$

Perform a single $\beta$-reduction using the lazy (call by name) evaluation strategy on the outermost expression. If you cannot reduce it, write Beta Normal Form. Do not $\alpha$-convert your final answer.
(b) $(\lambda x . x y x)((\lambda x .(x x)) x)$

## $((\lambda x .(x x)) x) y((\lambda x .(x x)) x)$

## Problem 7: Ocaml Programming

Recall the move function for a FSM. It takes in a character, a state, and a FSM, and it returns a list of states. Let's modify this a little bit. Given a partial FSM, you will move on all states with the symbol provided. Your return type will be (int * int list) list, where the int is the state you moved on, and the int list is the states you can move to. You may not use the rec keyword but you can make non-recursive helper functions.

```
type partial_fsm = (int list * (int * string * int) list);
(* int list is state list.
(int * string * int) list is transition list.
let states = [1;2;3;4] in
let trans = [(1,"a",2);(1,"a",3);(2,"a",4)] in
let pfsm = (states,trans) in
move_all pfsm "a" => [(1,[2;3]);(2,[4]);(3,[]);(4,[])]
Order does not matter *)
let move_all pfsm symbol =
    let (states,trans) = pfsm in
    fold (fun a h ->
            (h,
            fold (fun a t ->
            let (s,c,d) = t in if s = h && c = symbol
            then d::a else a)
            [] trans):: a)
[] states;;
```


## Problem 8: Rust Programming

Write a lexer in Rust for the grammar: ( E -> E + E \| E E \| n) where n is any integer. Your tokens are "Number", "Add", and "Sub". For example lexer("3 + 2-1") returns a vector that looks like ["Number", "Add", "Number", "Sub", "Number"]. Note: To seperate negative integers and subtraction, there will be a space between numbers and the subtraction symbol. For example:

```
lex("3 - 4") == ["Number", "Sub", "Number"]
lex("3 -4") == ["Number", "Number"]
fn lex(sentence:&str) -> Vec<&str>{
    let mut ret:Vec<&str> = Vec::new();
    let mut pos = o;
    let a = Regex::new(r"^\+").unwrap();
    let s = Regex::new(r"^-").unwrap();
    let n = Regex::new(r"^(\d+)").unwrap();
    let w = Regex::new(r"^ ").unwrap();
    while pos < x.len(){
        if a.is_match(&x[pos..]){
                ret.push("Add");
                pos = pos + 1;
            }else if s.is_match(&x[pos..]){
                ret.push("Sub");
                pos = pos + 1;
            }else if n.is_match(&x[pos..]){
                ret.push("Number");
                let cap = n.captures(&x[pos..]).unwrap();
                pos = pos + cap.get(1).unwrap().as_str().len();
            }else if w.is_match(&x[pos..]){
                pos = pos + 1;
            }else{
                panic!("Not allowed");
            }
    };
    ret
}
```

