Parallel Networks and File Systems

Abhinav Bhatele, Department of Computer Science

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND

Announcements

- Assignment 5's due date has been extended to Nov 30
  - Required only for 818X students
  - 416 students: extra credit also due on Nov 30
- Quiz 3: next week
- CUDA assignment extra credit due on Dec 7

High-speed interconnection networks

- Typically supercomputers and HPC clusters are connected by low latency and high bandwidth networks
- The connections between nodes form different topologies
- Popular topologies:
  - Fat-tree: Charles Leiserson in 1985
  - Mesh and torus networks
  - Dragonfly networks

Network components

- Network interface controller or card
- Router or switch
- Network cables: copper or optical

Definitions

- Network diameter: length of the shortest path between the most distant nodes on the network.
- Radix: number of ports on a router

N-dimensional mesh / torus networks

- Each switch has a small number of nodes connected to it (typically 1)
- Each switch has direct links to 2n switches where n is the number of dimensions
- Torus = wraparound links
- Examples: IBM Blue Gene, Cray X* machines



Fat-tree network

- Router radix = k, Number of nodes on each router = k/2
- A pod is a group of k/2 switches, Max. number of pods = k

Fat-tree network

- Router radix = k, Number of nodes on each router = k/2
- A pod is a group of k/2 switches, Max. number of pods = k

Fat-tree network

- Router radix = k, Number of nodes on each router = k/2
- A pod is a group of k/2 switches, Max. number of pods = k



Fat-tree network

- Router radix = k, Number of nodes on each router = k/2
- A pod is a group of k/2 switches, Max. number of pods = k



Fat-tree network

- Router radix = k, Number of nodes on each router = k/2
- A pod is a group of k/2 switches, Max. number of pods = k



Fat-tree network

- Router radix = k, Number of nodes on each router = k/2
- A pod is a group of k/2 switches, Max. number of pods = k



Fat-tree network

- Router radix = k, Number of nodes on each router = k/2
- A pod is a group of k/2 switches, Max. number of pods = k



Fat-tree network

- Router radix = k, Number of nodes on each router = k/2
- A pod is a group of k/2 switches, Max. number of pods = k



Fat-tree network

- Router radix = k, Number of nodes on each router = k/2
- A pod is a group of k/2 switches, Max. number of pods = k



Dragonfly network

- Two-level hierarchical network using high-radix routers
- Low network diameter



One supernode in the PERCS topology



Life-cycle of a message

Source

Source

Source

Source

Source

Message origin points : destination, frequency, size, etc. determined by application
1 micro sec - 10s of sec

Life-cycle of a message



Packetization and injection : delay:100s of ns

Message origin points : destination, frequency, size, etc. determined by application
1 micro sec - 10s of sec

Life-cycle of a message



Message origin points :
destination, frequency,
size, etc. determined
by application
1 micro sec - 10s of sec

Life-cycle of a message



Packetization
and injection :
delay:100s of ns

Links - congestion points
traversal time: 1-50 ns

Path finding
delay ~100 ns
Temp storage in buffers

Message origin points :
destination, frequency,
size, etc. determined
by application
1 micro sec - 10s of sec

Life-cycle of a message



Packetization and injection : delay:100s of ns

Links - congestion points traversal time: 1-50 ns

Path finding
delay ~100 ns
Temp storage in buffers

Message destination points: application dependent
1 micro sec - 10s of sec

Message origin points : destination, frequency, size, etc. determined by application
1 micro sec - 10s of sec

Congestion due to network sharing

- Sharing refers to network flows of different programs using the same hardware resources: links, switches
- When multiple programs communicate on the network, they all suffer from congestion on shared links



Congestion due to network sharing

- Sharing refers to network flows of different programs using the same hardware resources: links, switches
- When multiple programs communicate on the network, they all suffer from congestion on shared links



Congestion due to network sharing

- Sharing refers to network flows of different programs using the same hardware resources: links, switches
- When multiple programs communicate on the network, they all suffer from congestion on shared links



Congestion due to network sharing

- Sharing refers to network flows of different programs using the same hardware resources: links, switches
- When multiple programs communicate on the network, they all suffer from congestion on shared links



Congestion due to network sharing

- Sharing refers to network flows of different programs using the same hardware resources: links, switches
- When multiple programs communicate on the network, they all suffer from congestion on shared links



Congestion due to network sharing

- Sharing refers to network flows of different programs using the same hardware resources: links, switches
- When multiple programs communicate on the network, they all suffer from congestion on shared links



Routing algorithm

- Decides how a packet is routed between a source and destination switch
- Static routing: each router is pre-programmed with a routing table
  - Can change it at boot time
- Dynamic routing: routing can change at runtime
- Adaptive routing: adapts to network congestion

Performance variability

Performance of control jobs running the same executable and input varies as they are run from day-to-day on 128 nodes of Cori in 2018-2019



Bhatele et al. The case of performance variability on dragonfly-based systems, IPDPS 2020

Performance variability due to congestion

- No variability in computation time
- All of the variability can be attributed to communication performance
- Factors:
  - Placement of jobs
  - Contention for network resources



Bhatele et al. http://www.cs.umd.edu/~bhatele/pubs/pdf/2013/sc2013a.pdf

Impact of other jobs



April 11



April 16

Impact of other jobs



April 11

MILC job in green



April 16

25% higher messaging rate

Different approaches to mitigating congestion

- Network topology aware node allocation
- Congestion or network flow aware adaptive routing
- Within a job: network topology aware mapping of processes or chares to allocated nodes

topology-aware node allocation



topology-aware node allocation



topology-aware node allocation



topology-aware node allocation



topology-aware node allocation



topology-aware node allocation



topology-aware node allocation



topology-aware node allocation



topology-aware node allocation

Solution: allocate nodes in a manner that prevents sharing of links by multiple jobs while maintaining high utilization



AFAR: adaptive flow aware routing



AFAR: adaptive flow aware routing

Solution: dynamically re-route traffic to alleviate hot-spots

Given: traffic for each pair of nodes in the system and the current routing

1. Calculate current load (network traffic) on all links in system
2. Find link with maximum load
3. If maximum > threshold, re-route one flow crossing that link to an under-utilized link
4. Repeat from 1. using new routing



AFAR: adaptive flow aware routing

Solution: dynamically re-route traffic to alleviate hot-spots

Given: traffic for each pair of nodes in the system and the current routing

1. Calculate current load (network traffic) on all links in system
2. Find link with maximum load
3. If maximum > threshold, re-route one flow crossing that link to an under-utilized link
4. Repeat from 1. using new routing



AFAR: adaptive flow aware routing

Solution: dynamically re-route traffic to alleviate hot-spots

Given: traffic for each pair of nodes in the system and the current routing

1. Calculate current load (network traffic) on all links in system
2. Find link with maximum load
3. If maximum > threshold, re-route one flow crossing that link to an under-utilized link
4. Repeat from 1. using new routing



AFAR: adaptive flow aware routing

Solution: dynamically re-route traffic to alleviate hot-spots

Given: traffic for each pair of nodes in the system and the current routing

1. Calculate current load (network traffic) on all links in system
2. Find link with maximum load
3. If maximum > threshold, re-route one flow crossing that link to an under-utilized link
4. Repeat from 1. using new routing



AFAR: adaptive flow aware routing

Solution: dynamically re-route traffic to alleviate hot-spots

Given: traffic for each pair of nodes in the system and the current routing

1. Calculate current load (network traffic) on all links in system

2. Find link with maximum load

3. If maximum > threshold, re-route one flow crossing that link to an under-utilized link

4. Repeat from 1. using new routing



AFAR: adaptive flow aware routing

Solution: dynamically re-route traffic to alleviate hot-spots

Given: traffic for each pair of nodes in the system and the current routing

1. Calculate current load (network traffic) on all links in system

2. Find link with maximum load

3. If maximum > threshold, re-route one flow crossing that link to an under-utilized link

4. Repeat from 1. using new routing



AFAR: adaptive flow aware routing

Solution: dynamically re-route traffic to alleviate hot-spots

Given: traffic for each pair of nodes in the system and the current routing

1. Calculate current load (network traffic) on all links in system
2. Find link with maximum load
3. If maximum > threshold, re-route one flow crossing that link to an under-utilized link
4. Repeat from 1. using new routing



AFAR: adaptive flow aware routing

Solution: dynamically re-route traffic to alleviate hot-spots

Given: traffic for each pair of nodes in the system and the current routing

1. Calculate current load (network traffic) on all links in system
2. Find link with maximum load
3. If maximum > threshold, re-route one flow crossing that link to an under-utilized link
4. Repeat from 1. using new routing



Topology-aware mapping

- Within a job allocation, map processes to nodes intelligently
- Inputs: application communication graph, machine topology
- Graph embedding problem (NP-hard)
- Many heuristics to come up with a solution
- Can be done within a load balancing strategy

When do parallel programs perform I/O?

- Reading input datasets
- Writing numerical output
- Writing checkpoints

Non-parallel I/O

- Designated process does I/O
- All processes send data to/receive data from that one process
- Not scalable

Parallel filesystem

- Home directories and scratch space are typically on a parallel file system
- Mounted on all login and compute nodes
- Also referred to as I/O sub-system



http://wiki.lustre.org/Introduction_to_Lustre

Parallel filesystem



MDS = Metadata Server
MDT = Metadata Target
OSS = Object Storage Server
OST = Object Storage Target

Links between cluster and filesystem



Different parallel filesystems

- Lustre: open-source (lustre.org)
- BeeGFS: community supported (beegfs.io)
  - Commercial support too
- GPFS: General Parallel File System from IBM, now called Spectrum Scale
- PVFS: Parallel Virtual File System

Tape drive

- Store data on magnetic tapes
- Used for archiving data
- Use robotic arms to access the right tape: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d-eWDuEo-3Q

Burst buffer

- Fast, intermediate storage between compute nodes and the parallel filesystem
  - Typically some form of non-volatile (NVM) memory, for persistence, high capacity, and speed (reads and writes)
  - Slower, but higher capacity, than on-node memory (DRAM)
  - Faster, but lower capacity, than disk storage on parallel file system
- Two designs:
  - Node-local burst buffer
  - Remote (shared) burst buffer

I/O libraries

- High-level libraries: HDF5, NetCDF
- Middleware: MPI-IO
- Low-level: POSIX IO

Different I/O patterns

- One process reading/writing all the data
- Multiple processes reading/writing data from/to shared file
- Multiple processes reading/writing data from/to different files
- Different performance depending upon number of readers/writers, file sizes, filesystem etc.

I/O profiling tools

- Darshan
  - Lightweight profiling tool from Argonne National Lab
- Recorder
  - Research prototype from UIUC

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND

Abhinav Bhatele

5218 Brendan Iribe Center (IRB) / College Park, MD 20742

phone: 301.405.4507 / e-mail: bhatele@cs.umd.edu